







Q



Journal of Property Research >

Volume 28, 2011 - <u>Issue 2</u>

722 17
Views CrossRef citations to date 0
Altmetric

Papers

Rental housing market segmentation in Germany according to ownership

Oliver Bischoff & Wolfgang Maennig

Pages 133-149 | Received 20 Feb 2010, Accepted 20 Aug 2010, Published online: 18 Apr 2011



Abstract

We analyze landlord market heterogeneity in the German rental housing market. Using data from the German Social-Economic Panel survey for the years 2000–2005, we firstly identify significant differences in implicit prices for housing attributes between private, public, and association landlords in a cross-section analysis through comprehensive segmentation testing. Based on that, we secondly present a balanced panel analysis for the entire period to investigate market price heterogeneity over time. In general, we detect the length of residence and the building size as the most important determinants for landlord segmentation. Most notably, differences between private and non-private landlords are identified.

Keywords:

residential rental market

landlords

market segmentation

Germany

Acknowledgements

We wish to thanks three anonymous referees for their helpful comments and suggestions.

Notes

- 1. For sale prices, besides these market attributes, the length of time on the market of housing objects for sale can become important for creating a homogenous market environment (Pryce & Gibb, 2006).
- 2. For market segmentation concerning one office rental market, see Dunse and Jones (2002).
- 3. For implications on real estate prices, see Dust and Maennig (2008).
- 4. While Dascher (2005) casts doubt on the subsidised demolition policy and advocates a rent reduction by half, Maennig (2006) emphasises the necessity for creating reinvestment incentives for owners.
- 5. For general information about GSOEP see Frick, Jenkins, Lillard, Lipps and Wooden (2007).
- 6. We assume a dualist rental market (Kemeny, Kersloot, & Thalmann, 2005).
- 7. For 2000 and 2001, rents in Deutschmark are converted into euros.
- 8. Table 1 presents unbalanced data.
- 9. The theoretical perspective of the effects of long-standing tenants and the rent level is taken from Hubert (1995). For concerning a comparison between short-term and long-term discounts see Barker (2003).
- 10. Our panel is very short, contains mainly time-invariant and binary variables, so that the correlation between these factors and the fixed effects is expected to be very high. The essential impact of those attributes we are interested in will be therefore already captured in the incidental parameters in most parts very likely. The consequences are

highly collinear relationships among the exogenous variables that yield insignificant or spurious results. That is why we conduct pooling regressions. We did not include additional waves due to the household selection criteria of avoiding missing values.

- 11. That implies, we exclude the necessity of sit discounts given only in the first year, because based on stylised facts described in Section 2, landlords may prefer and reward long-term tenants. IV- egressions, where lagged duration is used as an instrument for duration, lead to similar parameter results.
- 12. Although there exists a dummy variable called «Central Business District» describing the housing environment of residential buildings, the applicable number contained here in the sample is marginally small and hence cannot be used.
- 13. Herewith, we also address the problem of heteroskedasticity, which, as Stevenson (2004) points out, can appear due to varying property ages.
- 14. The literature does not mention any limit value. While Schnare and Struyk (1976) interpret a reduction by 10% as an indication of market segmentation, Dale-Johnson (1982) deem 5% as sufficient for this purpose. The tests performed are described in the Appendix.
- 15. The correct interpretation of the estimated coefficients γ_j in semi-logarithmic models is derived from exp $(\gamma_j \Delta t)$ with Δt representing the change over time (Halvorsen & Palmquist, 1980). For the purposes of our paper, we have interpreted only the coefficients that have been computed precisely.
- 16. Maurer, Pitzer and Sebastian (2004) find for the Paris housing market a u-shaped impact for construction age. Apartments constructed before 1850 and after 1947 demonstrate a positive impact on property prices, contrary to dwellings built between those years.
- 17. Specific architectural styles eventually preferred by owner classes affect construction costs. In our case, these different styles are partly captured by the age of buildings and the housing environment.
- 18. The calculation is based on the following: exp(-0.3117+0.1252)-1.

Related Research Data

The influence of submarkets on water view house price premiums in New Zealand

Source: International Journal of Housing Markets and Analysis

Is the Private Rented Sector an Efficient Producer of Housing Service? Private

Landlords in Denmark and their Economic Strategies

Source: International Journal of Housing Policy

International Real Estate Review

Source: International Real Estate Review Do Housing Submarkets Really Matter?

Source: SSRN Electronic Journal

Tenure flexibility and the supply of private rental housing

Source: Regional Science and Urban Economics

Market segmentation and valuing amenities with hedonic models: The case of

hazardous waste sites

Source: Journal of Urban Economics

Why is the German Homeownership Rate so Low?

Related research 1



People also read

Recommended articles

Cited by

Information for

Authors

R&D professionals

Editors

Librarians

Societies

Opportunities

Reprints and e-prints

Advertising solutions

Accelerated publication

Corporate access solutions

Open access

Overview

Open journals

Open Select

Dove Medical Press

F1000Research

Help and information

Help and contact

Newsroom

All journals

Books

Keep up to date

Register to receive personalised research and resources by email



Sign me up











Accessibility



Copyright © 2025 Informa UK Limited Privacy policy Terms & conditions Cookies



Registered in England & Wales No. 01072954 5 Howick Place | London | SW1P 1WG