Taylor & Francis Online

Log in | Register | Cart

Home ▶ All Journals ▶ Applied Financial Economics ▶ List of Issues ▶ Volume 14, Issue 4
▶ Intra-day periodicity, temporal aggregat ....

Original Articles

Intra-day periodicity, temporal aggregation and time-to-maturity in FTSE-100 index futures volatility

David G. McMillan & Alan E. H. Speight

66 Cite this article   ⬈ https://doi.org/10.1080/0960310042000201165

Sample our
Economics, Finance,
Business & Industry Journals
>> Sign in here to start your access
to the latest two volumes for 14 days

📄 Full Article   🖼 Figures & data   📑 References   66 Citations   📊 Metrics

🖨 Reprints & Permissions   Read this article

Abstract

Intra-day periodicity has been widely observed in financial data. Recent research examining intra-day foreign exchange rate volatility dynamics reports that failure to account for this periodicity results in inconsistent GARCH parameter estimates in relationship to theoretical predictions on temporal aggregation. This article seeks to appraise [...] rket. The nature o[...]ning the temporal [...] re not adjusted [...] sine-cosine wave[...] nsistent results, [...] latility of successi[...]ts of single contract[...] f periodicity-adjusted data.

Notes

[1] For reviews, see Bollerslev et al. (1992), Bera and Higgins (1993), and Bollerslev et. al. (1994).

[2] See, for example, Guillaume et al. (1995), Müller et al. (1996), Dacorogna et al. (1997) and Andersen and Bollerslev (1997a).

[3] See, for example, Wood et al. (1985), Harris (1986), McInish and Wood (1988) for evidence of a 'U'-shape pattern in the intra-day volatility of stock returns traded on the NYSE, Jain and Joh (1988) for the S&P 500 index, and Eckman (1992) for S&P 500 index futures.

[4] Andersen and Bollerslev (1997a), for example, found that such adjustment leads to improved GARCH model performance in their analysis of deutschmark–dollar exchange rate and S&P 500 stock index futures returns, but still note some discrepancy between estimated and theoretically implied GARCH coefficients under temporal aggregation. Also see Guillaume et al. (1995).

[5] For further elaboration of this issue see, for example, Andersen et al. (1999).

[6] Various explanations for why such intra-day patterns exist have been proposed in the context of models of market microstructure. The private information model of Admati and Pfleiderer (1988), following Kyle (1985), proposes that the 'U'-shape pattern is results from the concentration of privately informed trading when the activity of liquidity traders is greatest, typically at the beginning and end of the day. In contrast, Brock and Kleidon (1992) similarly predict that market turnover will be highest at the beginning trading subject t

[7] This 1991 sample 93 sample analysed

[8] Since me criterion must be set in order to obtain a continuous price series. The point at which the price

data taken switches from one contract to another is frequently termed the rollover date. In the data analysed here, contract price splicing is conducted on the day prior to expiry, resulting in 14 rollover dates due to the quarterly expiry cycle of FTSE-100 futures in March, June, September and December. This is a standard method of constructing an continuous price series from high frequency futures data (Becker et al. 1995), and given that the contracts examined are heavily traded, such a sampling procedure should induce no systematic bias.

[9] Eighteen days were discovered to have been lost from the data-tape. These days were: 2 March 1992; 21 May 1992; 31 July 1992; 29 and 30 September 1992; 7 October 1992; 5–8, 23, 26 July 1993; and 7–10, 13, and 14 December 1993.

[10] An alternative approach employed in the literature is to take price data on the nearest maturity (front month) contract until the day that traded volume in that contract is exceeded by traded volume in the second nearest contract (e.g. Abhyankar et al., 1995). This procedure ensures that price data for the most liquid contract is always used. Inspection of traded volume for FTSE-100 futures contracts reveals that volume rollover was indeed on the day immediately prior to expiry in all but one case, and on the expiry date itself in the remaining case. The standard splicing criterion described in the text may therefore also be considered reasonable from a liquidity perspective.

[11] The standard errors of these statistics in their corresponding asymptotic normal distributions are given by $(6/T)^{1/2}$ and $(24/T)^{1/2}$, or 0.0088 and 0.0176 respectively (Jarque and Bera, 1987).

[12] This is in contrast to results reported for the absolute returns of intra-day deutschmark-US dollar exchange rates and S&P-500 stock index futures by Andersen and Bollerslev (1997a), for example. As those authors note, but do not investigate further, the presence of ency signals here suggests res market. For a lendar effec

[13] Among troducing a simple d), Dacorogna et al. (1993) and Guillaume et al. (1995) suggested using time scale

transformations, based on polynomial approximations to activity in distinct geographical regions over the twenty-four hour trading cycle. The former approach has the disadvantage of requiring a large number of additional parameters to be estimated at the higher intra-day frequencies. The innovative latter approach, while appealing in the context of the foreign exchange market, has little direct bearing in the context analysed here, and our attention therefore focuses on the more general adjustment procedures described in the text.

[14] The restriction $\rho<1$ is also required for stability and covariance stationarity of the error process, and as a necessary condition for the existence of a finite unconditional variance. As shown by Nelson (1990), the integrated-GARCH arising under $\rho = 1$ (Engle and Bollerslev, 1986) nevertheless remains strictly stationary and ergodic.

[15] In the terminology of Drost and Nijman, financial returns are modelled as 'flow' variables, such that $r_t = \Delta x_t$, where x_t, the (logarithmic) security price, is a 'stock' variable.

[16] While the first-order GARCH model is a widely preferred specification for the modelling of return volatility dynamics, and that specification corresponds to the class of models for which theoretical aggregation results are available, it does not necessarily provide the preferred specification at all intra-day frequencies. Moreover, that serial dependence in conditional mean will in general increase the order of the implied low frequency weak GARCH model beyond the order of the high frequency GARCH(1, 1) model. However, Nelson (1990, 1992) establishes general conditions under which first-order GARCH models will, even if misspecified, produce consistent estimates and satisfy the temporal aggregation convergence results given in the text, though it should be noted that those conditions are derived in the absence of any deterministic periodicity in the volatility process.

[17] Note t

where β

freq

not on

frequen

while the

For further details, see Drost and Nijman, (1993), p. 920, Table 1and Equations 13–18 in particular.

[18] Among the various possible explanations for this paradoxical result, it has been suggested that intra-day volatility may be reflect the aggregation of numerous volatility components, each of which is endowed with a particular dependence structure due to the arrival of heterogeneous information flows (Andersen and Bollerslev, 1997b). If the decay of the short-run component(s) dominates over inter-day frequencies, and the long-run volatility component(s) dominate over inter-day and lower frequencies, the aggregation of such component processes will give rise to the near-integrated dependencies commonly found in the inter-day volatilities of many asset prices. For further discussion of this and related approaches, see Andersen and Bollerslev (1997b) and Müller et al. (1997).

Related research ⓘ

| Recommended articles | Cited by 9 |

Keep up to date

Register to receive personalised research and resources by email

✉ Sign me up

Copyright © 2024 Informa UK Limited   Privacy policy   Cookies   Terms & conditions

Accessibility

Registered in England & Wales No. 3099067
5 Howick Place | London | SW1P 1WG

Taylor & Francis Group
an informa business

About Cookies On This Site

We and our partners use cookies to enhance your website experience, learn how our site is used, offer personalised features, measure the effectiveness of our services, and tailor content and ads to your interests while you navigate on the web or interact with us across devices. You can choose to accept all of these cookies or only essential cookies. To learn more or manage your preferences, click "Settings". For further information about the data we collect from you, please see our Privacy Policy

Accept All

Essential Only

Settings