

90 Views | 9 CrossRef citations to date | 0 Altmetric

Original Articles

Intra-day periodicity, temporal aggregation and time-to-maturity in FTSE-100 index futures volatility

David G. McMillan & Alan E. H. Speight

Pages 253-263 | Published online: 07 Aug 2006

Cite this article <https://doi.org/10.1080/0960310042000201165>

Sample our
Economics, Finance,
Business & Industry Journals

>> [Sign in here](#) to start your access to the latest two volumes for 14 days

Full Article | Figures & data | References | Citations | Metrics

Reprints

We Care About Your Privacy

We and our 855 partners store and access personal data, like browsing data or unique identifiers, on your device. Selecting "I Accept" enables tracking technologies to support the purposes shown under "we and our partners process data to provide," whereas selecting "Reject All" or withdrawing your consent will disable them. If trackers are disabled, some content and ads you see may not be as relevant to you. You can resurface this menu to change your choices or withdraw consent at any time by clicking the ["privacy preferences"] link on the bottom of the webpage [or the floating icon on the bottom-left of the webpage, if applicable]. Your choices will have effect within our Website. For more details, refer to our Privacy Policy. [Here](#)

We and our partners process data to provide:

I Accept

Reject All

Show Purpose



Abstra

Intra-day

examinin

account

relati

appr

nature o

tempora

adjusted

wave me

results, t

successi

search

failure to

tes in

seeks to

rket. The

ning the

re not

sine-cosine

nsistent

latility of

ts of single

contracts are also considered, but are statistically rejected for both forms of periodicity-adjusted data.

[Previous article](#)

[View issue table of contents](#)

[Next article](#)

Notes

¹ For reviews, see Bollerslev et al. ([1992](#)), Bera and Higgins ([1993](#)), and Bollerslev et al. ([1994](#)).

² See, for example, Guillaume et al. ([1995](#)), Müller et al. (1996), Dacorogna et al. ([1997](#)) and Andersen and Bollerslev ([1997a](#)).

³ See, for example, Wood et al. ([1985](#)), Harris ([1986](#)), McInish and Wood ([1988](#)) for evidence of a 'U'-shape pattern in the intra-day volatility of stock returns traded on the NYSE, Jain and Joh ([1988](#)) for the S&P 500 index, and Eckman ([1992](#)) for S&P 500 index futures.

⁴ Andersen and Bollerslev ([1997a](#)), for example, found that such adjustment leads to improved GARCH model performance in their analysis of deutschmark-dollar exchange rate and S&P 500 stock index futures returns, but still note some discrepancy between estimate and regression.

Also see

⁵ For further details see [Bollerslev et al. \(1998\)](#).

⁶ Various studies have been conducted in the context of the volatility pattern of Admati and Pfleiderer. The volatility pattern is characterized by a high frequency of liquidity shocks. Brock and Sayers (1998) show that, in contrast, the volatility pattern is best at the beginning of the trading day.

⁷ This data set is from the period 1991-1993 sample and was analysed by Abnyankar et al. ([1999](#)).



⁸ Since multiple futures contract maturities are traded at any given time, some criterion must be set in order to obtain a continuous price series. The point at which the price data taken switches from one contract to another is frequently termed the rollover date. In the data analysed here, contract price splicing is conducted on the day prior to expiry, resulting in 14 rollover dates due to the quarterly expiry cycle of FTSE-100 futures in March, June, September and December. This is a standard method of constructing an continuous price series from high frequency futures data (Becker et al. [1995](#)), and given that the contracts examined are heavily traded, such a sampling procedure should induce no systematic bias.

⁹ Eighteen days were discovered to have been lost from the data-tape. These days were: 2 March 1992; 21 May 1992; 31 July 1992; 29 and 30 September 1992; 7 October 1992; 5-8, 23, 26 July 1993; and 7-10, 13, and 14 December 1993.

¹⁰ An alternative approach employed in the literature is to take price data on the nearest maturity (front month) contract until the day that traded volume in that contract is exceeded by traded volume in the second nearest contract (e.g. Abhyankar et al., [1995](#)). This procedure ensures that price data for the most liquid contract is always used. Inspection of traded volume for FTSE-100 futures contracts reveals that volume rollover was indeed on the day immediately prior to expiry in all but one case, and on the expiry date itself in the remaining case. The standard splicing criterion described from a liquidity

¹¹ The standard normal distribution is not normal, but fat-tailed. This is often tested using the Jarque-Bera test, which is a measure of the deviation of the distribution from normality. The test statistic is given by:

¹² This is a common finding in the literature. For example, Andersen et al. (2003) investigate the impact of news on the volatility of the S&P 500 index. They find that news shocks are a significant source of volatility, and that the impact of news is larger during periods of high volatility. This is consistent with the idea that news is a source of information that is not fully reflected in the market price. For a recent review of the literature on news and market volatility, see Andersen et al. (2015). The calendar effect is also a well-documented phenomenon in the financial markets. For example, the January effect is a well-known anomaly where stock returns are higher in January than in other months. This is often attributed to tax-loss harvesting or to the "January effect" itself, where investors sell their stocks in December and buy them back in January. The calendar effect is also a well-documented phenomenon in the financial markets. For example, the January effect is a well-known anomaly where stock returns are higher in January than in other months. This is often attributed to tax-loss harvesting or to the "January effect" itself, where investors sell their stocks in December and buy them back in January.



¹³ Among alternative approaches, Baillie and Bollerslev ([1991](#)) suggested introducing a simple dummy variable for each intra-day interval, while Müller et al. ([1990](#)), Dacorogna et al. ([1993](#)) and Guillaume et al. ([1995](#)) suggested using time scale transformations, based on polynomial approximations to activity in distinct geographical regions over the twenty-four hour trading cycle. The former approach has the disadvantage of requiring a large number of additional parameters to be estimated at the higher intra-day frequencies. The innovative latter approach, while appealing in the context of the foreign exchange market, has little direct bearing in the context analysed here, and our attention therefore focuses on the more general adjustment procedures described in the text.

¹⁴ The restriction $\rho < 1$ is also required for stability and covariance stationarity of the error process, and as a necessary condition for the existence of a finite unconditional variance. As shown by Nelson ([1990](#)), the integrated-GARCH arising under $\rho = 1$ (Engle and Bollerslev, [1986](#)) nevertheless remains strictly stationary and ergodic.

¹⁵ In the terminology of Drost and Nijman, financial returns are modelled as ‘flow’ variables, such that $r_t = \Delta x_t$, where x_t , the (logarithmic) security price, is a ‘stock’ variable.

¹⁶ While the first-order GARCH model is a widely preferred specification for the

modelling of mode... to the class
of mode... t necessarily
provide... at serial
depende... at serial
frequenc... plied low
model. H... CH(1, 1)
order GA... which first-
the te... s and satisfy
note... hould be
in the vo... periodicity

¹⁷ Note t...
where β ... the high
frequenc... el depend

not only upon the parameters of the high frequency model, but also on the high frequency kurtosis. The implied low frequency kurtosis level is given by:

while the relationship between the kurtosis of the rescaled innovations and is given by:

For further details, see Drost and Nijman, (1993), p. 920, Table 1 and Equations 13–18 in particular.

¹⁸ Among the various possible explanations for this paradoxical result, it has been suggested that intra-day volatility may be reflect the aggregation of numerous volatility components, each of which is endowed with a particular dependence structure due to the arrival of heterogeneous information flows (Andersen and Bollerslev, [1997b](#)). If the decay of the short-run component(s) dominates over inter-day frequencies, and the long-run volatility component(s) dominate over inter-day and lower frequencies, the aggregation of such component processes will give rise to the near-integrated dependencies commonly found in the inter-day volatilities of many asset prices. For further discussion of this and related approaches, see Andersen and Bollerslev ([1997b](#)) and Müller et al. ([1997](#)).

Related

The asy

Adian M
Investm
Pub

Intrada

Danni V
Economi
Publishe

A Comp
China



Futures in

View more

Information for

Authors

R&D professionals

Editors

Librarians

Societies

Opportunities

Reprints and e-prints

Advertising solutions

Accelerated publication

Corporate access solutions

Open access

Overview

Open journals

Open Select

Dove Medical Press

F1000Research

Help and information

Help and contact

Newsroom

All journals

Books

Keep up

Register to receive updates by email



Sign up



Copyright

Accessibility

Registered
5 Howick Place

