Taylor & Francis
Online

Home ▶ All Journals ▶ Economics, Finance & Business ▶ Applied Financial Economics ▶ List of Issues
▶ Volume 14, Issue 4 ▶ The profitability of daily stock market ....

Original Articles

The profitability of daily stock market indices trades based on neural network predictions: case study for the S&P 500, the DAX, the TOPIX and the FTSE in the period 1965–1999

Teo Jasic & Douglas Wood

66 Cite this article   ↗ https://doi.org/10.1080/0960310042000201228

📄 Full Article   🖼 Figures & data   📄 References   66 Citations   📊 Metrics

🖨 Reprints & Permissions   Read this article   ◄ Share

Abstract

A variety of new and powerful time series tools are available to test for predictive components in data which previously have been regarded as weak form efficient. The key issue is whether these new tools support profitable trading. A method is introduced based on univariate neural networks using untransformed data inputs to provide short-term predictions of the stock market indices returns. The profitability of trading signals generated from the out-of-sample short-term predictions for daily returns of S&P 500, DAX, TOPIX and FTSE stock market indices is evaluated over the period 1965–1999. The results provide strong evidence of high and consistent predictability contrasting the

previous finding of weak form efficiency for index series and is notable because two of the series (S&P 500 and DAX) are confirmed as random using conventional tests. The out-of-sample prediction performance of neural networks is evaluated using RMSE, NMSE, MAE and sign and direction change statistics against a benchmark linear autoregressive model. Significant information advantage is confirmed by the Pesaran–Timmermann test. Finally, it is shown that buy and sell signals derived from neural network predictions are significantly different from unconditional one-day mean return and are likely to provide significant net profits for plausible decision rules and transaction cost assumptions.

Notes

§The results and interpretations in this article are the author's alone and do not necessarily reflect the position of Accenture.

Additional information

Notes on contributors

Douglas Wood
§The results and interpretations in this article are the author's alone and do not necessarily reflect the position of Accenture.

Related research ⓘ

| People also read | Recommended articles | Cited by 55 |

Information for

Authors

R&D professionals

Editors

Librarians

Societies

Opportunities

Reprints and e-prints

Advertising solutions

Accelerated publication

Corporate access solutions

Open access

Overview

Open journals

Open Select

Dove Medical Press

F1000Research

Help and information

Help and contact

Newsroom

All journals

Books

Keep up to date

Register to receive personalised research and resources by email

✉ Sign me up