Taylor & Francis Online

Log in | Register | Cart

Home ▶ All Journals ▶ Applied Financial Economics ▶ List of Issues ▶ Volume 14, Issue 10
▶ Valuing callable convertible bonds: a re ....

Original Articles

Valuing callable convertible bonds: a reduced approach

F. André-le Pogamp & F. Moraux

 Cite this article   https://doi.org/10.1080/0960310042000243574

 Full Article   Figures & data   References   Citations   Metrics

 Reprints & Permissions   Read this article

Abstract

This paper analyses the pricing of corporate callable convertible bonds. It reconciles the applicability of the reduced form approach with optimal strategies usually discussed in the structural approach. One demonstrates that some conditions causing rational voluntary conversions may be effectively neglected. The main contribution of the paper is to show that adjusted American Capped Call options well duplicate 'classical' optimal strategies. Numerical experiments are then conducted

‹   icle ›

Ack

About Cookies On This Site

We and our partners use cookies to enhance your website experience, learn how our site is used, offer personalised features, measure the effectiveness of our services, and tailor content and ads to your interests while you navigate on the web or interact with us across devices. You can choose to accept all of these cookies or only essential cookies. To learn more or manage your preferences, click "Settings". For further information about the data we collect from you, please see our **Privacy Policy**

Accept All

Essential Only

Settings

Notes

[1] Neglected in this study.

[2] The conversion premium being defined as the difference between convertible bond price and conversion value.

[3] For a more complete discussion of this point, refer to André and Moraux (2003).

[4] For instance, Ingersoll (1977a,b) has provided evidence that firms wait, on average until the conversion value exceeds the call price by 43.9%. See also Mikkelson (1981), Asquith (1995).

[5] Refer to Rich (1994) and Reiner and Rubinstein (1991) for a complete description of barrier options.

[6] Alternative reduced form models are Jarrow and Turnbull (1995) and Duffie and Singleton (1999). The major advantage of the chosen framework is to take explicitly into account any possible future up/downgrading among the default.

Related research ⓘ

Recommended articles	Cited by 1

Callable barrier reverse convertible securities ›

Jerome ...
Quantita...
Published...

Born of...

Abe de...
Business...
Published...

Do firms actively manage media coverage when issuing convertible bonds? Evidence from China ›

About Cookies On This Site

We and our partners use cookies to enhance your website experience, learn how our site is used, offer personalised features, measure the effectiveness of our services, and tailor content and ads to your interests while you navigate on the web or interact with us across devices. You can choose to accept all of these cookies or only essential cookies. To learn more or manage your preferences, click "Settings". For further information about the data we collect from you, please see our Privacy Policy

Accept All

Essential Only

Settings

E-Ping Liu et al.
Applied Economics Letters
Published online: 22 Mar 2022

View more

Information for

Authors

R&D professionals

Editors

Librarians

Societies

Opportunities

Reprints and e-prints

Advertising solutions

Accelerated publication

Corporate access solutions

Open access

Overview

Open journals

Open Select

Dove Medical Press

F1000Research

Help and information

Help and contact

Newsroom

All journals

Books

Keep up to date

Register to receive personalised research and resources by email

✉ Sign me up

Copyright

Accessib

Regist
5 How

or & Francis Group
orma business