

Applied Financial Economics >

Volume 16, 2006 - [Issue 1-2: Purchasing Power Parity and Real Exchange Rates](#)

349 | 41 | 0
Views | CrossRef citations to date | Altmetric

Original Articles

Purchasing Power Parity in economies in transition: evidence from Central and East European countries

Dimitrios Sideris

Pages 135-143 | Published online: 19 Aug 2006

 Cite this article  <https://doi.org/10.1080/09603100500390141>

Sample our
Economics, Finance,
Business & Industry Journals
>> [Sign in here](#) to start your access
to the latest two volumes for 14 days

 Full Article  Figures & data  References  Citations  Metrics

 Reprints & Permissions

Read this article

Share

Abstract

The present study tests for the validity of long-run Purchasing Power Parity (PPP) for 17 European economies in transition. Analysis is performed following the methodological suggestions expressed in recent studies for PPP. Long-run PPP is initially tested for each economy vis-a-vis the USA, using the Johansen cointegration methodology and then for the whole set of countries using the Larsson et al. (2001) panel cointegration technique. The analysis provides support for long-run equilibria, but the coefficients of the estimated cointegrating vectors violate the symmetry and proportionality hypotheses suggested by PPP. We provide some arguments for these findings, based on the existing literature on transition and foreign exchange markets.

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank Nikos Mylonidis, the editor of the present paper and an anonymous referee for helpful comments and suggestions. All remaining errors are entirely my responsibility.

Notes

¹ In this, the categorization of Froot and Rogoff ([1995](#)) is followed.

² Dibooglu and Kutan ([2001](#)) identify the impact of nominal shocks on the nominal and real exchange rates in Hungary and Poland; Suppel ([2003](#)) illustrates the important effect of real shocks to the real exchange rates of Poland, the Czech Republic and the Slovak Republic; Borghijs and Kuijs ([2004](#)) show that it is mainly nominal shocks, which have contributed significantly to nominal exchange rate variability in five CEE Countries (the Czech Republic, Hungary, Poland, the Slovak Republic and Slovenia).

³ Most of these papers find evidence for relative PPP but fail to support strong PPP.

⁴ See Taylor ([1988](#)), for the derivation of Equation [3](#) in a model allowing for transportation costs and measurement errors.

⁵ The panel cointegration analysis uses a common sample length for all countries.

⁶ Their diagnostic tests do not indicate any serious mis-specification (serial correlation and/or non-normality) problem. They are not reported here for space reasons but are available on request.

⁷ They argue that in panel tests testing for the stationarity of real exchange rates, the non-stationarity hypothesis is rejected as a result of a few stationary real exchange rates in the panels. Still, alternative tests to overcome this problem turn out to be generally less powerful (see also Sarno and Taylor, [2002](#)).

⁸ In any case, this becomes evident by the results referring to the individual system analysis.

Related Research Data

[PPP tests in cointegrated panels: evidence from Asian developing countries](#)

Source: Applied Economics Letters

[Nonlinear Mean-Reversion in Real Exchange Rates: Toward a Solution To the Purchasing Power Parity Puzzles](#)

Source: International Economic Review

[Searching for stationarity: Purchasing power parity under the current float](#)

Source: Journal of International Economics

[Equilibrium Exchange Rates in Transition Economies](#)

Source: International Monetary Fund Staff Papers

[Long-run PPP may not hold after all](#)


Source: Journal of International Economics

[Long-run purchasing power parity, prices and exchange rates in transition](#)

Source: Global Finance Journal

[The behavior of real exchange rates during the post-Bretton Woods period](#)

Source: Journal of International Economics



Related research

People also read

Recommended articles

Cited by
41

[Testing the purchasing power parity: evidence from the new EU countries](#) >

Minoas Koukouritakis
Applied Economics Letters
Published online: 16 Jan 2009

[Purchasing Power Parity in Central and East European Countries](#) >

Information for

Authors
R&D professionals
Editors
Librarians
Societies

Opportunities

Reprints and e-prints
Advertising solutions
Accelerated publication
Corporate access solutions

Keep up to date

Register to receive personalised research and resources by email

 Sign me up



Open access

Overview
Open journals
Open Select
Dove Medical Press
F1000Research

Help and information

Help and contact
Newsroom
All journals
Books

Copyright © 2026 Informa UK Limited [Privacy policy](#)

[Cookies](#) [Terms & conditions](#) [Accessibility](#)

Registered in England & Wales No. 01072954
5 Howick Place | London | SW1P 1WG

 **Taylor & Francis**
by **informa**•••