

158 | 22

Views | CrossRef citations to date | Altmetric | 0

Original Articles

Testing purchasing power parity hypothesis for transition economies

Ebru Guven Solakoglu

Pages 561-568 | Published online: 19 Aug 2006

Cite this article <https://doi.org/10.1080/09603100500426531>

Sample our
Economics, Finance,
Business & Industry Journals
>> [Sign in here](#) to start your access
to the latest two volumes for 14 days

Full Article

Figures & data

References

Citations

Metrics

Reprints & Permissions

Read this article

Abstract

This study tests the PPP hypothesis for transition economies by using a panel approach.

The results

convergence

'less open

economies

We Care About Your Privacy

We and our 850 partners store and/or access information on a device, such as unique IDs in cookies to process personal data. You may accept or manage your choices by clicking below, including your right to object where legitimate interest is used, or at any time in the privacy policy page. These choices will be signaled to our partners and will not affect browsing data. [Privacy Policy](#)

We and our partners process data to provide:

Use precise geolocation data. Actively scan device characteristics for identification. Store and/or access information on a device. Personalised advertising and content, advertising and content measurement, audience research and services development.

List of Partners (vendors)

I Accept

Essential Only

Show Purpose



Notes

¹ EBRD,

² Also see

³ Note that the results we get from this exercise would neither be meaningful nor powerful since the period in question is 12 unbalanced years.

⁴ Levin and Lin ([1993](#)) indicated from simulation exercises that the problem of limited power in unit root tests is severe for small samples: 'For example, if 50 observations are generated by a stationary univariate model with first-order autocorrelation of 0.9, the augmented Dickey-Fuller (Dickey and Fuller, [1981](#)) test procedure (allowing for intercept and time trend, and using a 5 percent confidence level) rejects the unit root hypothesis in only 8 percent of the replications.'

⁵ If a deterministic element like an intercept and/or a time trend is present in the data but not included in the model, the unit root test will be inconsistent (Levin and Lin, [1992](#)).

⁶ As most of the univariate tests for transition countries suggested, an augmented Dickey-Fuller (ADF) test is conducted for one lag ($k = 1$).

⁷ The Im, Pesaran and Shin test procedure estimates each equation separately by OLS as in univariate tests, and test statistics are obtained by taking the averages of ADF t-statistics for each equation. In addition, Im, Pesaran and Shin perform the LM test, which is based on the standardized cross section average of the individual LM statistics in their 1997 study. Their simulations indicate better performance of the t-test and LM test over the Levin and Lin test in small samples, where the t-test performs better than the LM test. Therefore, we only report t-statistics for the IPS test procedure.

⁸ Nickell ([1991](#)) suggests the following formula for adjustment $\hat{\alpha}_T = (A_T - \rho)(T - 1)^{-1}$, where $A_T = -(1 + \rho) + \rho(T - 1)^{-1}$.

⁹ Karlsson et al. (2008) use $N = 10$, two LL tests model, and Levin and Lin test (Levin and Lin, 1993) results, we can find that the results are similar (T = 12, N = 21). So we use the Levin and Lin tests, and the Fisher test. Also see Im, Pesaran and Shin tests for



¹⁰ Note that some studies argue that rejection of unit root by a single country is enough to reject the unit root for the whole panel. Although time series for this study is too short to further discuss the unit root statistics for each country, we conducted a panel test on countries for which we do not reject a unit root, and obtained similar results to those above.

¹¹ Wu ([1996](#)) found the speed at which real exchange rates restore to equilibrium taking approximately two and a half years for one-time deviation from parity to be reduced by a half while supporting the long-run PPP for eighteen countries during the post-Bretton Woods period. Frankel and Rose ([1996](#)) found this speed to take approximately four years for a panel of 150 countries for a longer and unbalanced period (from 1948 to 1992).

¹² Score definitions are detailed under EBRD, annual transition reports, [Section II](#).

¹³ Note that report adds another level of score described with a plus and minus signs to all categories. Plus and minus signs are treated by adding 0.3 and subtracting 0.3 from the full value. Therefore the highest score for an element would be 4.3, totalling to 12.9 for three elements.

¹⁴ Notice that Bulgaria with a score of 10.9 is at the margin. Also note that it is an acceding country set to join the EU in 2007. With curiosity we repeated our test placing Bulgaria in the 'more open' sample, and the results did not change significantly.

¹⁵ The data for openness factor starts in 1995. Therefore, we truncated and estimated the data accordingly. For comparison, we also estimated the original model using the truncated data.

¹⁶ Note that the results for the first and second sections supported the results for the third section in this section.



Relat

Unco


Central and Eastern European economies

use of

Source: Informa UK Limited

PURCHASING POWER PARITY IN LESS-DEVELOPED AND TRANSITION ECONOMIES: A REVIEW PAPER

Source: Wiley

Linking provided by  ScholarSplorer

Related research

People also read

Recommended articles

Cited by
22

The purchasing power parity hypothesis for a high inflation country: a re-examination of the case of Turkey >

M. Ege Yazgan

Applied Economics Letters

Published online: 6 Oct 2010



Information for

- Authors
- R&D professionals
- Editors
- Librarians
- Societies

Opportunities

- Reprints and e-prints
- Advertising solutions
- Accelerated publication
- Corporate access solutions

Open access

- Overview
- Open journals
- Open Select
- Dove Medical Press
- F1000Research

Help and information

- Help and contact
- Newsroom
- All journals
- Books

Keep up to date

Register to receive personalised research and resources by email

 Sign me up



✕