Original Articles

The performance of moving average rules in emerging stock markets

S. G. M. Fifield ✉, D. M. Power & D. G. S. Knipe

66 Cite this article   ↗ https://doi.org/10.1080/09603100701720302

📄 Full Article   🖼 Figures & data   📄 References   66 Citations   📊 Metrics

🖨 Reprints & Permissions   **Read this article**

Abstract

The question of whether active trading strategies outperform the more naïve approaches that are available to investors has returned to the research agenda. The topic had been hotly debated in the early and middle 1960s, but seemed to have been dispatched to the academic sidelines by proponents of the Efficient Market Hypothesis (EMH). However, the developments in behavioural finance which recognize that individuals may make mistakes when valuing securities have revived interest in this topic. In [this paper we follow] a new strand of [research which uses] historic information [... a body] of evidence by e[xamining ...] markets over the p[eriod ...] emerging markets [... counter]parts; moving [...] stock market

indices. In addition, this profitability persisted for longer moving averages, suggesting that trends in share returns were larger and more persistent in emerging markets.

Notes

[1] A number of heuristics are said to be employed by all decision-makers. For example, according to the representative heuristic, individuals generalize about an event by reference to how representative it is of similar events in their past experience. According to the anchoring heuristic, individuals arrive at estimates by starting from an initial value and then making adjustments upwards or downwards to yield a final answer. Individuals are also prone to the available heuristic whereby they assess the probability of an event by the ease with which instances or occurrences can be brought to mind (Kahneman and Tversky, 1982).

[2] For a review of the developments in behavioural finance, the reader is referred to Van der Sar (2004).

[3] Given the focus of this study, only the literature pertaining to moving average rules is reviewed. A more detailed review of the different literatures can be found in Elton and Gruber (1995).

[4] Van Horne and Parker (1967) also examined the performance of a modified version of this rule where the moving average was weighted to place greater emphasis on more recent prices. However, this modification earned less profit than its unweighted counterpart in every instance. A follow-up article by Seelenfreund et al. (1968) employed a quadratic approach to smooth prices but again reached the same result that the

commiss

[5] Brock e

1), (, 0), (1, 50,

and (2,   , 200, 0)

[6] The m   assessed by Brock et

[7] The rules examined were (1, 50, 0), (1, 100, 0), (1, 150, 0), (1, 200, 0), (2, 100, 0), (2, 150, 0), (2, 200, 0), (5, 200, 0) and (1, 50, 1).

[8] In this study, the number of buy and sell signals was very similar for three of the four exchanges studied and, for the Variable Length Moving Average rules, the majority of both buy and sell returns were statistically significantly different from those earned by following a buy-and-hold strategy. These findings differ from the conclusions reached by other studies (Brock et al., 1992; Hudson et al., 1996; Coutts and Cheung, 2000). In these studies, the number of buy signals was greater than the number of sell signals (suggesting a bullish market) and sell signals were the most powerful for prediction purposes.

[9] Specifically, the authors examined daily index prices for Argentina, Brazil, Chile, India, Korea, Malaysia, Mexico, Philippines, Taiwan and Thailand.

[10] In this study, Ratner and Leal examined the same 10 Variable Length Moving Average rules as Brock et al. (1992). A key difference between these studies is the interpretation of the band. While Brock et al. (1992) and indeed most studies which examine moving average rules, evaluated each rule with a trading band around the returns, Ratner and Leal employed a trading band around the Standard Deviation (SD). This resulted in more conservative findings. For example, without the band, 50 of the 60 trading strategies had buy signals greater than sell signals as compared to only 37 strategies when the band was included.

[11] Indices were selected for examination rather than individual shares because of data availability and thin trading problems. Specifically, data for individual securities could not be obtained for all of the sample markets stretching back to 1989. In addition, any individual security price information might have been inappropriate for analysis because shares in emerging markets tend to be thinly traded. The index data employed in the cu___ _____ _____ the compari____ _____ ___er investiga___ ___ __ms in terms of the _____ ___his article may _____ ___ _____ually encapsu____ _____ _____ country. In particula__ _____ ___lex by the IFC; thes_ ____ ____rs. In

addition, the analysis should supply some insight into the theoretical levels of efficiency of the markets covered.

[12] In an analysis of trading strategies in 11 European stock markets, Fifield et al. ([2005]) concluded that no single trading rule could persistently exploit predictable behaviour in share prices, 'since the profitability of the different rules examined varied dramatically from market to market' (p. 17). Most practitioners would argue that a substantial amount of background knowledge is required before attempting to apply any moving average rule to a specific country index.

[13] The variations examined were (1, 50, 0), (1, 50, 1), (1, 150, 0), (1, 150, 1), (5, 150, 0), (5, 150, 1), (1, 200, 0), (1, 00, 1), (2, 200, 0) and (2, 200, 1).

[14] The cost per trade information for Argentina, Chile, India, Korea, Malaysia, Mexico, the Philippines, Taiwan, Thailand, Japan and the United States is taken from Ratner and Leal ([1999]). The estimates of transaction costs in the United Kingdom are from Hudson et al. ([1996]), and costs in Indonesia are from the Standard and Poors (2005). All remaining estimates come from information on the countries stock exchange website (Jakarta Stock Exchange, 2001). In particular, information on transaction costs for Hong Kong are from www.hkex.com.hk, for South Africa from www.jse.co.za, for Sri Lanka from www.lanka.net, for Turkey from www.ise.org and for Zimbabwe from www.zse.co.zw.

[15] The analysis reported in this article was undertaken in foreign currency terms. That is, the article adopts a UK perspective, rather than a local perspective, in order to measure the gains that could accrue to UK institutional investors who employ moving average rules to exploit any predictability in share prices. However, the analysis was also undertaken in 'local' returns unadjusted for currency movements against sterling. The results from this further analysis were not different in character from those arising from usi... ...ar, both the sign and... ...r. Results based u... ...t.

[16] Th... ...50 days: (1, 50, 0), (...50, 1) and (10, 50,... ...xamined, the total...

[17] Closer investigation of the UK results shows that none of the 36 moving average rules outperformed the buy-and-hold strategy. The largest value for the rule profit (5, 50, 5) was only 63.84%, as compared to 210.62% following the buy-and-hold strategy. Similarly, for the United States, all 36 moving average rules failed to better the returns from following the buy-and-hold strategy. For example, the largest rule profit (5, 50, 1) was 182.02% as compared to 364.53% for the corresponding buy-and-hold strategy. Results for the individual rules are available from the authors on request.

[18] This finding holds for all 36 variations of moving average rule studied.

[19] There are several reasons which could explain the success of the moving average rule in Japan. For example, since the early 1990s, Japan's economy has faced its worst slump since World War II. Problems such as low demand, deflation, bankruptcies and bad debt burdens in the banking sector have plagued the economy that used to rank among the top three in the world. Given such difficulties in the macroeconomy, it is little wonder that the security market is suffering. Presumably, the long downward trend in Japanese security prices is being exploited by the moving average rule to yield profits. However, attempts are being made to reform the financial system in the hope that the economy can be reactivated through an increase in the efficiency of the market (Financial Times, 2003). However, although the poor macroeconomic performance of Japan may be a plausible reason for the success of the moving average strategies, Bessembinder and Chan (1995) reported that the moving average rules were successful in predicting share price movements in Japan during an earlier period from January 1975 to December 1989, when a bull market was present. This finding suggests possible inefficiencies in the market and further investigation is warranted.

[20] At 100 days, 12 emerging stock market countries have rule profits that are greater than the buy-and-hold profits (Chile, Indonesia, India, Korea, Malaysia, Mexico, the Philippin__ __ ____ ___ ____ ___ ____ ___ ___ ____ ___ __ 150 days, nine emergin__ ____ ___ ___ ___ ____ __ ____ buy-and-hold pro_____ ____ ___ ___ ____ ____ wan, Thailand___ ___ ___ __

[21] Ov__ ___ __ ___ ___ ___ ____ are less than tho___ ___ ___ ___ ___ __ntina and Hong Ko___ __ ___ ____ ___ __ _rket countrie__ ___ ___ ___ ___ _rage results

over two long-run periods, while South Africa and Turkey have successful mean moving average results over one long-run period, with the buy-and-hold profit exceeding the moving average profits for the other three long-run periods.

𝕏 Facebook LinkedIn ✉ +

Related research ⓘ

Information for

Authors

R&D professionals

Editors

Librarians

Societies

Opportunities

Reprints and e-prints

Advertising solutions

Accelerated publication

Corporate access solutions

Open access

Overview

Open journals

Open Select

Dove Medical Press

F1000Research

Help and information

Help and contact

Newsroom

All journals

Books

Keep up to date

Register to receive personalised research and resources by email

✉ Sign me up