



Applied Financial Economics >

Volume 21, 2011 - [Issue 5](#)

648 | 13 | 0  
Views | CrossRef citations to date | Altmetric

Original Articles

# Stock characteristics and herding in financial analyst recommendations

Wen-Yi Lin, Po-Jung Chen  & Sheng-Syan Chen

Pages 317-331 | Published online: 21 Dec 2010

 Cite this article  <https://doi.org/10.1080/09603107.2010.528367>

 Sample our Economics, Finance, Business & Industry journals, sign in here to start your access, latest two full volumes FREE to you for 14 days

 Full Article

 Figures & data

 References

 Citations

 Metrics

 Reprints & Permissions

Read this article

 Share

## Abstract

Most studies investigating the herding of financial analysts focused on the impact of analyst attributes on herding, while firm characteristics may also contribute significantly to herding. The primary objective of this study is to examine whether analyst recommendations prefer stocks with firm characteristics associated with future returns and demonstrate the so-called 'characteristic herding' behaviour. Thus, in this study, we incorporate within Welch's (2000) model those characteristics of firms relating to future returns; as a result, we find that 'characteristic herding' is discernible in the recommendations of financial analysts. This tendency towards herding in analyst recommendations increases with the firm size and book-to-price ratio of the stock. One of these two firm characteristics positively correlates with the future returns of stocks while the other displays a negative correlation. Consequently, the 'characteristic herding' of analysts is caused in part by recommendations made on account of stock fundamentals and in part by other reasons. This may dampen the impact of future returns on herding. It has also been observed that herding exists in the market

regardless of bull market or bear market. No significant inferiority is reported in analyst performance with herding when compared to the performance without herding.

## Notes

- <sup>1</sup> See Keynes ([1936](#), p. 156).
- <sup>2</sup> This study uses the software ‘Research Wizard’ provided by Zacks Investment Research to obtain the recommendation data. The top three brokers in the sample are the Bank of America, with a total of 470 observations, followed by A. G. Edwards (461) and J. P. Morgan (423). To avoid legal conflict with individual brokerage houses, Zacks does not permit researchers to buy the detailed database unless they have the approval of all brokerage houses.
- <sup>3</sup> Revisions from or to a ‘6’ are, however, excluded from the sample.
- <sup>4</sup> Refer to Appendix for the details of the calculation process.
- <sup>5</sup> The study also adopted New York Stock Exchange (NYSE) Composite Index and American Composite Index as market conditions indicators to categorize the market and obtained a similar result (result not shown here due to limited space).
- <sup>6</sup> If an analyst made a prior recommendation of 1 (strong buy) for a stock while the current market consensus ( C ) is 3 (hold), making a new recommendation of 2 (buy) or 3 will be grouped into ‘Herding’ or otherwise ‘No herding’. Another scenario is that if an analyst made a prior recommendation of 2 (buy) for a stock while the market consensus is 3 (hold), making a new recommendation of 3 for the same stock will be categorized as ‘Herding’. If the recommendation is 2 or other, however, it is categorized as ‘No herding’.

### Related research

People also read

Recommended articles

Cited by  
13

## Information for

Authors  
R&D professionals  
Editors  
Librarians  
Societies

## Opportunities

Reprints and e-prints  
Advertising solutions  
Accelerated publication  
Corporate access solutions

## Open access

Overview  
Open journals  
Open Select  
Dove Medical Press  
F1000Research

## Help and information

Help and contact  
Newsroom  
All journals  
Books

## Keep up to date

Register to receive personalised research and resources by email

 Sign me up

