

1516 Views | CrossRef citations to date | 0 Altmetric

Original Articles

Setting the optimal make-whole call premium

Eric A. Powers & Sudipto Sarkar

Pages 461-473 | Published online: 26 Oct 2012

Cite this article <https://doi.org/10.1080/09603107.2012.727972>

Sample our
Economics, Finance,
Business & Industry Journals

>> **Sign in here** to start your access
to the latest two volumes for 14 days

Full Article | Figures & data | References | Citations | Metrics

Reprints & Permissions | **Read this article** | Share

We Care About Your Privacy

We and our 880 partners store and access personal data, like browsing data or unique identifiers, on your device. Selecting I Accept enables tracking technologies to support the purposes shown under we and our partners process data to provide. Selecting Reject All or withdrawing your consent will disable them. If trackers are disabled, some content and ads you see may not be as relevant to you. You can resurface this menu to change your choices or withdraw consent at any time by clicking the Show Purposes link on the bottom of the webpage. Your choices will have effect within our Website. For more details, refer to our Privacy Policy. [Here](#)

We and our partners process data to provide:

Use precise geolocation data. Actively scan device

I Accept

Reject All

Show Purposes



Notes

¹ The risk-free rate component is referred to as the Adjusted Treasury Rate. For bonds issued in the US, it is set in one of two ways. Either it is the yield-to-maturity (based on an average of primary dealer quote prices) for the closest maturity US Treasury, or it is a linearly interpolated value based on surrounding maturity Constant Maturity Treasury yields as published in the Federal Reserve's weekly statistical H.15 release. The bond's indenture will specify which method will be used.

² Our emphasis is exclusively on publicly issued, US dollar denominated corporate debt. This style of call provision with a floating call price, however, is also prevalent elsewhere. The call provision first seems to have been employed in privately issued debt in the early 1980s (Kahan and Tuckman, [1993](#); Kwan and Carleton, [1995](#)). Make-whole call provisions have been present (under the name 'Canada Call' or 'Doomsday Call') in publicly issued Canadian corporate bonds since 1987 (Kaplan, [1998](#); Jacoby and Stangeland, [2004](#)). The first publicly issued US corporate bond that we can identify with a make-whole call provision is the one issued by Harvard University in 1992.

³ Setting
by a thu
callable
Subsequ
variabilit
price ste



influenced
ed-price
yment.
ome
h the call

⁴ Power
How
([2004](#))
guidance

provisions.
Powers
rovide no

⁵ These s
call prov
first one
remainin

fixed price
sions for the
r the
an

alternative method of reducing refunding risk for investors. Finally, there are 726 bonds with make-whole call provisions that are either initially call-protected or where the call provision expires at least one coupon period prior to bond maturity. Many callable-convertible bonds are now make-whole callable-convertible.

⁶ We replace as missing 16 yield-to-maturity observations that appear to be improperly entered and which are more than 10% away from the value given by the yield approximation formula of Henderson ([1907](#)). In calculating credit spreads, we use a linear interpolation whenever the maturity period of the bond is in between the available CMT maturities.

⁷ Sarkar ([2001](#)) uses a similar model to calculate the optimal call price for a bond with a fixed-price call provision.

⁸ In general, a fixed-price callable bond will be called and replaced when the yield on new debt is low enough. This can happen if risk-free interest rates have fallen and/or the firm's default risk has fallen. Therefore, it might seem necessary to include both the interest rate risk (i.e. stochastic interest rates) and default risk in our model. Because of the manner in which a make-whole call price floats, however, it is clear that calls will not occur just because risk-free interest rates fall (Jacoby and Stangeland, [2004](#)). Hence, the interest rate risk is effectively irrelevant and assuming a constant risk-free interest rate is appropriate for our analysis.

⁹ This is (1989), principle maturity keeps the translate

¹⁰ We trigger. trigger Note, ho in turn d best and



¹¹ We know that the payoff to equityholders (new proceeds - call price + tax benefit - floatation costs) when the call option is exercised must be positive. However, we cannot rule out the possibility that parameters exist where the second best call policy would result in a wealth transfer from equityholders to bondholders (i.e. new proceeds - call price < 0). This is possible if the net inflows from outsiders are large enough.

¹² Expected bankruptcy cost is indirectly affected by changes in p due to the effect on coupon rate of the par bond. This, however, is a secondary effect.

¹³ The tax benefit and floatation cost associated with recapitalization are the fourth and fifth terms in Equation [19](#) - they are $\tau F(c/(r+p) - 1)$ and $(1-\tau)\beta D_N V_C$, respectively. The tax benefit decreases with p but does not depend on V_C . Because V_C is negatively related to p , however, floatation cost is also negatively related to p . When p is small, V_C is very sensitive to p . For example, in our base case, for $p = 0, 0.02\%$ and 0.04% , $V_C = 2313.9, 1863.9$ and 1575.8 . V_C , however, is much less sensitive to variation in p when p is larger. For example, when $p = 0.26\%, 0.28\%$ and 0.30% , $V_C = 663.2, 634.6, 608.8$. Thus, for reasonable parameter values, floatation cost declines more rapidly than tax benefit when p is small, while the relationship is reversed when p is larger.

¹⁴ When estimating σ , we set x to the weighted average maturity of the issuing firm's publicly traded debt.

¹⁵ There is a large literature on the impact of the tax rate on the time period of debt maturity. For example, see [Bailey \(1969\)](#) and [Bailey \(1970\)](#). During the time period from 1993 to 2000, 39% of the debt was issued with a term capital structure. This is a significant increase from 20% since 2003. This increase is likely due to the subsequent to the Jobs and Growth Tax Relief Reconciliation Act of 2003. The Jobs and Growth Tax Relief Reconciliation Act of 2003 provides that dividends are taxed at a rate of 15% and interest is taxed at a rate of 15%. This has led to a significant increase in the amount of debt issued with a term capital structure.

¹⁶ The value of σ is estimated using the data from [Bailey \(1969\)](#). The data is based on recognition of the debt maturity structure (2005), however, the data is not the same as the data used by many other researchers).

¹⁷ The unique behaviour of the optimal make-whole premium to credit spread ratio as the tax rate parameter is varied is an artifact of our modelling assumptions for V . When τ is increased, the unlevered firm value V remains constant rather than decreasing (as it would in the real world) due to the increased tax burden. Levered firm value, however, increases due to the increase in tax shield benefits. Thus, the leverage ratio falls and credit spread decreases accordingly. The higher tax rate makes calling the bond more attractive because of the bigger tax write-off generated by the call premium. When these two effects are combined together, they explain why the optimal make-whole premium and credit spread are negatively correlated as tax rate is varied. Given the artificial nature of this particular relationship, limited attention should be given to it.

¹⁸ Because the refunding cost β does not affect default risk directly, credit spread is relatively insensitive to variation in β . This partly explains why the ratio of make-whole premium to credit spread varies significantly more if β is varied than if any other parameter is varied. In addition, the range of β values displayed in the exhibit is wide compared to the range of the other parameters - the largest β value is three times the smallest β value.

¹⁹ The Rent Way note was called in November 2006 when Rent-A-Center bought out Rent Way. The calculated call price was 117.351. The note, however, was trading between restrictive with substantial retireme

with
pay such a
rly

Relat



Information for

- Authors
- R&D professionals
- Editors
- Librarians
- Societies

Opportunities

- Reprints and e-prints
- Advertising solutions
- Accelerated publication
- Corporate access solutions

Open access

- Overview
- Open journals
- Open Select
- Dove Medical Press
- F1000Research

Help and information

- Help and contact
- Newsroom
- All journals
- Books

Keep up to date

Register to receive personalised research and resources by email

 Sign me up



Copyright

Accessib

Registered
5 Howick Pl

or & Francis Group
orma business

