

Applied Financial Economics >
Volume 23, 2013 - Issue 6137 | 6 | 0
Views | CrossRef citations to date | Altmetric

Original Articles






Setting the optimal make-whole call premium


Eric A. Powers  & Sudipto Sarkar

Pages 461-473 | Published online: 26 Oct 2012

 Cite this article  <https://doi.org/10.1080/09603107.2012.727972>

Sample our
Economics, Finance,
Business & Industry Journals
>> [Sign in here](#) to start your access
to the latest two volumes for 14 days

 Full Article  Figures & data  References  Citations  Metrics

 Reprints & Permissions [Read this article](#)

Abstract

With a make-whole call, the call price is calculated as the maximum of the par value and the present value of the bond's remaining payments discounted at the prevailing risk-free rate plus a pre-specified spread known as the make-whole premium. The commonly accepted thumb rule in the investment banking community is to set the make-whole premium at 15% of the at-issue credit spread. Using a standard structural model, we calculate the optimal make-whole call premium, i.e. the make-whole

About Cookies On This Site

We and our partners use cookies to enhance your website experience, learn how our site is used, offer personalised features, measure the effectiveness of our services, and tailor content and ads to your interests while you navigate on the web or interact with us across devices. You can choose to accept all of these cookies or only essential cookies. To learn more or manage your preferences, click "Settings". For further information about the data we collect from you, please see our [Privacy Policy](#).

Accept All 

Essential Only

Settings

Notes

¹ The risk-free rate component is referred to as the Adjusted Treasury Rate. For bonds issued in the US, it is set in one of two ways. Either it is the yield-to-maturity (based on an average of primary dealer quote prices) for the closest maturity US Treasury, or it is a linearly interpolated value based on surrounding maturity Constant Maturity Treasury yields as published in the Federal Reserve's weekly statistical H.15 release. The bond's indenture will specify which method will be used.

² Our emphasis is exclusively on publicly issued, US dollar denominated corporate debt. This style of call provision with a floating call price, however, is also prevalent elsewhere. The call provision first seems to have been employed in privately issued debt in the early 1980s (Kahan and Tuckman, [1993](#); Kwan and Carleton, [1995](#)). Make-whole call provisions have been present (under the name 'Canada Call' or 'Doomsday Call') in publicly issued Canadian corporate bonds since 1987 (Kaplan, [1998](#); Jacoby and Stangeland, [2004](#)). The first publicly issued US corporate bond that we can identify with a make-whole call provision is the one issued by Harvard University in 1992.

³ Setting the call price schedule of a fixed-price call provision is also highly influenced by a thumb rule. As noted by Fischer et al. ([1989](#)), the first call price of a fixed-price callable bond is typically equal to the issue price plus the annual coupon payment. Subsequent call prices step down to par value in a linear fashion. There is some variability in the length of initial call protection as well as the speed at which the call price steps down to par.

⁴ Powers and Tsyplakov ([2008](#)) provide a model for valuing make-whole call provisions.

However, Powers and Tsyplakov ([2008](#)) provide no

([2004](#)) is

guidance

⁵ The call price schedule of a fixed price

call provision is typically equal to the issue price plus the annual coupon payment. Subsequent call prices step down to par value in a linear fashion. There is some variability in the length of initial call protection as well as the speed at which the call price steps down to par.

first one

remaining

alternati

About Cookies On This Site

We and our partners use cookies to enhance your website experience, learn how our site is used, offer personalised features, measure the effectiveness of our services, and tailor content and ads to your interests while you navigate on the web or interact with us across devices. You can choose to accept all of these cookies or only essential cookies. To learn more or manage your preferences, click "Settings". For further information about the data we collect from you, please see our [Privacy Policy](#).

Accept All

Essential Only

Settings

with make-whole call provisions that are either initially call-protected or where the call provision expires at least one coupon period prior to bond maturity. Many callable-convertible bonds are now make-whole callable-convertible.

⁶ We replace as missing 16 yield-to-maturity observations that appear to be improperly entered and which are more than 10% away from the value given by the yield approximation formula of Henderson ([1907](#)). In calculating credit spreads, we use a linear interpolation whenever the maturity period of the bond is in between the available CMT maturities.

⁷ Sarkar ([2001](#)) uses a similar model to calculate the optimal call price for a bond with a fixed-price call provision.

⁸ In general, a fixed-price callable bond will be called and replaced when the yield on new debt is low enough. This can happen if risk-free interest rates have fallen and/or the firm's default risk has fallen. Therefore, it might seem necessary to include both the interest rate risk (i.e. stochastic interest rates) and default risk in our model. Because of the manner in which a make-whole call price floats, however, it is clear that calls will not occur just because risk-free interest rates fall (Jacoby and Stangeland, [2004](#)). Hence, the interest rate risk is effectively irrelevant and assuming a constant risk-free interest rate is appropriate for our analysis.

⁹ This is a common assumption in the corporate bond literature, e.g. Fischer et al. (1989), Mauer ([1993](#)), Leland ([1994](#)), etc. With long-maturity bonds, the return of principle has a negligible value and can be ignored (Leland, [1994](#)). Analytically, infinite maturity permits time-independent valuation formulas and optimal policies, which keeps the analysis tractable. Given infinite maturity, the sole purpose of F is to translate the coupon rate c into a dollar denominated cash flow.

¹⁰ We as the default trigger. I the default trigger V the default Note, he is zero. in tu bond, which best and the first- floatatio x benefit - or, we

About Cookies On This Site

We and our partners use cookies to enhance your website experience, learn how our site is used, offer personalised features, measure the effectiveness of our services, and tailor content and ads to your interests while you navigate on the web or interact with us across devices. You can choose to accept all of these cookies or only essential cookies. To learn more or manage your preferences, click "Settings". For further information about the data we collect from you, please see our [Privacy Policy](#).

Accept All

Essential Only

Settings

cannot rule out the possibility that parameters exist where the second best call policy would result in a wealth transfer from equityholders to bondholders (i.e. new proceeds – call price < 0). This is possible if the net inflows from outsiders are large enough.

¹² Expected bankruptcy cost is indirectly affected by changes in p due to the effect on coupon rate of the par bond. This, however, is a secondary effect.

¹³ The tax benefit and floatation cost associated with recapitalization are the fourth and fifth terms in Equation 19 – they are $\tau F(c/(r+p) - 1)$ and $(1-\tau)\beta D_N V_C$, respectively. The tax benefit decreases with p but does not depend on V_C . Because V_C is negatively related to p , however, floatation cost is also negatively related to p . When p is small, V_C is very sensitive to p . For example, in our base case, for $p = 0, 0.02\%$ and 0.04% , $V_C = 2313.9, 1863.9$ and 1575.8 . V_C , however, is much less sensitive to variation in p when p is larger. For example, when $p = 0.26\%, 0.28\%$ and 0.30% , $V_C = 663.2, 634.6, 608.8$. Thus, for reasonable parameter values, floatation cost declines more rapidly than tax benefit when p is small, while the relationship is reversed when p is larger.

¹⁴ When estimating σ , we set x to the weighted average maturity of the issuing firm's publicly traded debt.

¹⁵ There were significant changes in personal tax rates in the United States during the time period of our sample. The top personal income tax rate was 39.6% from 1993 to 2000, 39.1% for 2001, 38.6% for 2002 and 35% since 2003. The top long-term capital gains tax rate was 28% from 1993 to 1996, 20% from 1997 to 2002 and 15% since 2003. Prior to 2003, dividends were taxed as personal income. However, subsequent to the Jobs and Growth Tax Relief and Reconciliation Act of 2003 (JGTRAA), dividends are taxed at the same rate as capital gains. While corporations expend significant resources lobbying for tax breaks, the top marginal tax rate for corporations has remained constant at 35%.

¹⁶ The value of σ is estimated using data from Bailey et al. (1969). The data are based on records from 1969 to 2005, and how they are used is the same as in Bailey et al. (2005). The data are frequently used by many researchers.

About Cookies On This Site

We and our partners use cookies to enhance your website experience, learn how our site is used, offer personalised features, measure the effectiveness of our services, and tailor content and ads to your interests while you navigate on the web or interact with us across devices. You can choose to accept all of these cookies or only essential cookies. To learn more or manage your preferences, click "Settings". For further information about the data we collect from you, please see our [Privacy Policy](#).

Accept All

Essential Only

Settings

¹⁷ The unique behaviour of the optimal make-whole premium to credit spread ratio as the tax rate parameter is varied is an artifact of our modelling assumptions for V . When τ is increased, the unlevered firm value V remains constant rather than decreasing (as it would in the real world) due to the increased tax burden. Levered firm value, however, increases due to the increase in tax shield benefits. Thus, the leverage ratio falls and credit spread decreases accordingly. The higher tax rate makes calling the bond more attractive because of the bigger tax write-off generated by the call premium. When these two effects are combined together, they explain why the optimal make-whole premium and credit spread are negatively correlated as tax rate is varied. Given the artificial nature of this particular relationship, limited attention should be given to it.

¹⁸ Because the refunding cost β does not affect default risk directly, credit spread is relatively insensitive to variation in β . This partly explains why the ratio of make-whole premium to credit spread varies significantly more if β is varied than if any other parameter is varied. In addition, the range of β values displayed in the exhibit is wide compared to the range of the other parameters - the largest β value is three times the smallest β value.

¹⁹ The Rent Way note was called in November 2006 when Rent-A-Center bought out Rent Way. The calculated call price was 117.351. The note, however, was trading between 103 and 105 prior to rumors of the takeover. The note was loaded with restrictive covenants. Presumably, this is what motivated Rent-A-Center to pay such a substantial premium (Brown and Powers ([2012](#)) for a detailed analysis of early retirements of make-whole callable bonds.)

Related



About Cookies On This Site

We and our partners use cookies to enhance your website experience, learn how our site is used, offer personalised features, measure the effectiveness of our services, and tailor content and ads to your interests while you navigate on the web or interact with us across devices. You can choose to accept all of these cookies or only essential cookies. To learn more or manage your preferences, click "Settings". For further information about the data we collect from you, please see our [Privacy Policy](#).

Accept All 

Essential Only

Settings

Information for

- Authors
- R&D professionals
- Editors
- Librarians
- Societies

Opportunities

- Reprints and e-prints
- Advertising solutions
- Accelerated publication
- Corporate access solutions

Open access


- Overview
- Open journals
- Open Select
- Dove Medical Press
- F1000Research

Help and information

- Help and contact
- Newsroom
- All journals
- Books

Keep up to date

Register to receive personalised research and resources by email

 Sign me up



About Cookies On This Site

We and our partners use cookies to enhance your website experience, learn how our site is used, offer personalised features, measure the effectiveness of our services, and tailor content and ads to your interests while you navigate on the web or interact with us across devices. You can choose to accept all of these cookies or only essential cookies. To learn more or manage your preferences, click "Settings". For further information about the data we collect from you, please see our [Privacy Policy](#).

 Accept All

Essential Only

Settings

