

Home ► All Journals ► Economics, Finance & Business ► European Accounting Review ► List of Issues ► Volume 12, Issue 4 ► Accounting for intangible assets: curren

Q

European Accounting Review >

Volume 12, 2003 - Issue 4: Intangibles and Intellectual Capital

3,048313ViewsCrossRef citations to dateAltmetric

Original Articles

Accounting for intangible assets: current requirements, key players and future directions

Stephen Powell 🔽

Pages 797-811 | Published online: 17 May 2010

Solution Cite this article Attps://doi.org/10.1080/09638180310001628473



Abstract

This article provides an international (cross-country) review of current accounting requirements for intangible assets, identifies the key trend setters and considers potential future directions in the area of accounting for intangible assets. Accounting for intangible assets is one of the least developed areas of accounting theory and regulation. This article makes up part of the special forum devoted to furthering debate on accounting for intangible assets.

Notes

¹The views expressed in this article are solely those of the author. The author wishes to thank Dr Anne Wyatt from the University of Melbourne for reviewing an earlier draft of this paper.

²Lev (2001) provides a summary of this evidence.

³Consistent with this, Lev and Zarowin (<u>1999</u>) provide evidence not only of a decline in the usefulness of financial accounting information during the period 1978 to 1996 but also that this decline is most pronounced in enterprises that have increased expenditure on intangible assets (as measured by enterprise expenditure on research and development).

⁴A comprehensive review of the accounting requirements for intangible assets in a range of countries can be found in Stolowy and Jeny-Cazavan (<u>2001</u>).

⁵Throughout this article, the term 'jurisdictions' should be taken to refer to the eight national jurisdictions and also the IASB.

⁶In the UK, FRS 6 'Acquisitions and Mergers' (ASB, <u>1994</u>) sets out a series of conditions that must be satisfied for the transaction to be a merger. The IASB sets out similar conditions for a transaction to be considered a uniting of interests.

⁷In France, only applied research costs can be capitalized; basic research costs must be expensed.

⁸The position in Australia is slightly different to that of EU countries. In Australia, an adopted IAS/IFRS may be applicable to all reporting entities, not just listed companies. However, additional guidance/requirements may be added for not-for-profit entities where it is considered appropriate. Any additional guidance/requirements would not be applicable to for-profit entities (AASB, <u>2003</u>).

⁹The position in New Zealand with respect to the scope of application of any adopted IAS/IFRS is similar to that of Australia. The New Zealand Financial Reporting Standards Board has established a Conversion Working Group to consider whether any additional requirements and guidance are required to ensure that IASB standards adopted in New Zealand can be applied to all entities, including public-sector entities (FRSB, <u>2003</u>).

¹⁰National accounting requirements will still be needed in circumstances not covered by an IAS/IFRS; for example, to cover an issue that is jurisdiction specific. ¹¹Haller (2002) provides a history of financial accounting developments in the EU, including the impact of 2005.

¹²National accounting requirements will still be needed in circumstances not covered by an IAS/IFRS; for example, to cover an issue that is jurisdiction specific.

¹³See Lev (2001), Upton (2001) and Wyatt (2002a) for a further discussion on the economic properties of intangible assets.

¹⁴See Aboody and Lev (<u>1998</u>), Abrahams and Sidhu (<u>1998</u>) and Healy et al. (<u>2002</u>).

¹⁵Under the Lev and Zarowin approach, reinstatement would occur once the project's feasibility has been established.

¹⁶Concerns regarding the degree of judgement required to apply the condition-based capitalization approach was stated by the FASB as one of the reasons why it was not adopted in the US (FASB, <u>1974</u>).

Related Research Data The Role of R&D Capitalisations in Firm Valuation and Perfor Mance Measurement Source: Australian Journal of Management International accounting disharmony: the case of intangibles Source: Accounting Auditing & Accountability Journal Towards a financial reporting framework for intangibles Source: Journal of Intellectual Capital Revalued Financial, Tangible, and Intangible Assets: Associations with Share Prices and Non-Market-Based Value Estimates Source: Journal of Accounting Research R&D Accounting and the Tradeoff Between Relevance and Objectivity Source: Journal of Accounting Research Capital Markets Research And The Goodwill Debate Source: Australian Accounting Review Goodwill Amortization and the Usefulness of Earnings Source: SSRN Electronic Journal

Recommended articles

Cited by 31

Information for

Open access

Authors	Overview
R&D professionals	Open journals
Editors	Open Select
Librarians	Dove Medical Press
Societies	F1000Research
Opportunities	Help and information
Opportunities Reprints and e-prints	Help and information Help and contact
Reprints and e-prints	Help and contact

Keep up to date



Sign me up



Copyright © 2025 Informa UK Limited Privacy policy Cookies Terms & conditions



Taylor & Francis Group an informa business

Accessibility

Registered in England & Wales No. 01072954 5 Howick Place | London | SW1P 1WG