Metrics

Home ▶ All Journals ▶ European Accounting Review ▶ List of Issues ▶ Volume 16, Issue 2 ▶ The Decision Usefulness of Fair Value Ac

European Accounting Review > Volume 16, 2007 - Issue 2

11,291 159
Views CrossRef citations to date Altmetric

Original Articles

The Decision Usefulness of Fair Value Accounting - A Theoretical Perspective

Joerg-Markus Hitz 🔀

Pages 323-362 | Published online: 29 Jun 2007

Sample our Economics, Finance, Business & Industry journals, sign in here to start your access, latest two full volumes FREE to you for 14 days

Full Article Figures & data References & Citations

♣ Reprints & Permissions Read this article

ABSTRACT

Regulators such as the SEC and standard setting bodies such as the FASB and the IASB argue the case for the conceptual desirability of fair value measurement, notably on the relevance dimension. Recent standards on financial instruments and certain non-financial items adopt the new measurement paradigm. This paper takes issue with the notion of decision usefulness of a fair-value-based reporting system from a theoretical perspective. Emphasis is put on the evaluation of the theoretical soundness of the arguments put forward by regulators and standard setting bodies. The analysis is

conducted as economic (a priori) analysis. Two approaches to decision usefulness are

dopted About Cookies On This Site

We and our partners use cookies to enhance your website experience, learn how our site is used, offer personalised features, measure the effectiveness of our services, and tailor content and ads to your interests while you navigate on the web or interact with us across devices. You can choose to accept all of these cookies or only essential cookies. To learn more or manage your preferences, click "Settings". For further information about the data we collect from you, please see our **Privacy Policy**

Accept All rspective.

be justified to be just

adopted
Findings
from bot
aggr

Also, cor

impleme



of accounting income, its presumed contribution to decision relevance and its disaggregation.

Acknowledgements

I am indebted to Christoph Kuhner for his critical and insightful comments at various stages of this project. Also, the helpful suggestions of two anonymous reviewers and the journal's previous editor, Kari Lukka are gratefully acknowledged. Finally, participation in the European Accounting Association's 2002 doctoral colloquium provided useful comments at a very early stage of this project, and lent valuable stimulus to my research.

Notes

¹See, for example, IAS 39, para. 9; IAS 41, para. 8; IFRS 3, Appendix A; IFRS 4, Appendix A. The broad correspondence of the two standard setters' concepts is demonstrated by the IASB's reaffirmation to adopt SFAS 157 as a basis for the project on Fair Value Measurements.

²In December 2006, the IASB issued a Discussion Paper which states broad agreement with SFAS 157. Thus, final convergence concerning the definition and estimation of fair value is on the horizon. However, since some IAS/IFRS standards appear to employ an entry value notion of fair value, the IASB considers scope limitations for an IFRS on fair value measurements, or, alternatively, introduction of an entry price measurement attribute

About Cookies On This Site

We and our partners use cookies to enhance your website ³See the experience, learn how our site is used, offer personalised features, measure the effectiveness of our services, and mark tailor content and ads to your interests while you navigate on the web or interact with us across devices. You can choose to accept all of these cookies or only essential cookies. To learn more or manage your preferences, click ⁴ Thoma "Settings". For further information about the data we collect from you, please see our Privacy Policy

Accept All an active Essential On 3: IAS 41. Settings usses this eory of the

term in

current

process and drivers of paradigm snifts. While kunn's ideas have been subject to

contentious debate, with himself backtracking on the definition, the general idea of a paradigm as a set of shared beliefs appears useful for tentatively conceptualizing the theoretical basis of fair value measurement.

⁵The implementation of the decision usefulness objective drew from the Trueblood Report. However, the foundations had been developed much earlier both in the academic and the standard setting sphere. Significant contributions involve the AAA's ASOBAT and APB Statement No. 4. For an historical overview see Hendriksen and van Breda (1991, pp. 92–115, 126–131).

⁶See also SFAS 115, para. 40; SFAS 107, para. 39; SFAS 133, para. 220; and SFAS 157, para. C32. For similar conjectures in IASB pronouncements, see, for example, IAS 36, para. BCZ11; IAS 40, para. 40, B36; IASC (1997, ch. 5, paras. 2.6–2.12); and, more recently and broadly in the context of initial recognition, IASB (2005, paras. 99, 101–104).

⁷See SFAC No. 7, especially the section on 'Present Value and Fair Value' (paras. 25–38), and the observations on the evolution and implementation of the fair value paradigm in the following Section 2.3.

⁸For an even earlier exposition of a similar approach, see Schmalenbach (1919) on the concept of a 'dynamic balance sheet'.

⁹In an influential paper, Sprouse <u>(1978)</u> termed those balance sheet positions that are mere by-products of the matching process and do not conform to notions of assets or liabilities 'what-you-may-call-its' (p. 69). He observes: 'Under the revenue/expense view, (...) what constitutes "proper matching" and "nondistortion" is very much in the eyes of the beholder (...) "Matching costs and revenues" is too often an attractive but empty slogan rather than a meaningful concept that one can look to for guidance.' See

About Cookies On This Site

also Gell

¹⁰The pe

merely s

¹¹See R

25-43. 7

and nec

throv

We and our partners use cookies to enhance your website experience, learn how our site is used, offer personalised features, measure the effectiveness of our services, and tailor content and ads to your interests while you navigate on the web or interact with us across devices. You can choose to accept all of these cookies or only essential cookies. To learn more or manage your preferences, click "Settings". For further information about the data we collect from you, please see our Privacy Policy

Accept All ance sheet

Essential Only system

Settings

6, paras.

ne validity

y recently

been reaffirmed by the SEC (SEC, 2003, p. 10).

¹²Former FASB member Arthur Wyatt refers to it as 'possibly the most significant initiative in accounting principles development in over 50 years' (Wyatt, <u>1991</u>, p. 80), a notion emphasized by the testimony of SEC General Counsel James Doty to the US Senate, who made it clear that 'the time has run out on "once-upon-a-time-accounting"'.

¹³A similar reasoning can be found in the IASB's 2005 Discussion Paper on Measurement Bases, which emphasizes that the relevance of fair value as a measurement attribute for initial recognition stems from its 'market value properties', which are assumed to hold in principle for any fair value, that is, prevail irrespective of its estimation. See IASB (2005, paras. 111, 227–231).

¹⁴For instance, market valuation of long-lived non-financial assets was well established in the USA prior to the Great Crash and the ensuing security market regulation in the 1930s (Walker, 1992, pp. 4–8). Although the SEC later prohibited such practice, the issue of market value accounting for certain items was discussed regularly in the USA as well as in other countries (Wyatt, 1991; Christie, 1992, p. 97).

¹⁵For an overview see Nelson (1971). The debate can be traced back as far as to Canning (1929) or Simon (1899). Later, it focused on the scope of current value measurement and the selection of the appropriate measurement basis. Given the diversity of this literature, only some of its more famous contributors are mentioned: for example, Chambers (1965) as an advocate of exit value, Revsine (1970) and Sterling (1981) as advocates of entry value, Edwards and Bell (1964) and their concept of business profit.


¹⁶While Beattie (2002, p. 109), Demski et al. (2002, p. 163) and Scott (2003, p. 174) perceive the move towards fair value measurement as a renaissance of the measurement perspective, Beaver (1998, p. 4), for instance, frames this development

About Cookies On This Site

in an inf

efficienc

We and our partners use cookies to enhance your website experience, learn how our site is used, offer personalised features, measure the effectiveness of our services, and tailor content and ads to your interests while you navigate on the web or interact with us across devices. You can choose to accept all of these cookies or only essential cookies. To learn more or manage your preferences, click "Settings". For further information about the data we collect from you, please see our Privacy Policy




requires an assessment of the welfare implications of different alternatives which can only be properly evaluated on the basis of Kaldor–Hicks efficiency. While Pareto efficiency prescribes that no user will suffer a reduction in welfare from a new accounting regulation and at least one user will experience an increase in personal welfare, Kaldor–Hicks efficiency is less restrictive in that it requires an increase in aggregate welfare, that is, it implies that those users better off can compensate those users that suffer decreases in welfare. See Posner (1998, p. 16); in the context of normative evaluation of accounting alternatives Beaver and Demski (1974, p. 174), Cushing (1977, p. 311) and Ohlson (1987, pp. 2, 6).

¹⁹It is not the aim of this paper to give a thorough discussion on the merits of normative vs. positive research approaches. Based on the arguments given, it is simply assumed that there is a case for a priori reasoning, especially with respect to standard setting questions. For a more general discussion, see Cushing (1977), Christenson (1983), and Watts and Zimmerman (1990). For an assessment of potential standard setting implications of empirical research, especially value-relevance research, see Holthausen and Watts (2001).

²⁰Curiously, the active market criterion outlined in IFRS standards as a means for discriminating sufficiently informative market prices (level one estimates, see note 2) exclusively refers to the time dimension of liquidity, that is, the speed with which a transaction partner can be found, rather than the price dimension as theoretically valid indicator of information quality, which can be measured, for example, on the basis of bid-ask spreads.

²¹The latter also applies to a traditional mixed model, where assets carried at historical cost are mingled with assets valued at a lower current value. However, as pointed out earlier, a stand-alone analysis of the informational properties of historical cost-based



²⁴Although, as pointed out, the frameworks elaborate no concept of informative earnings, several paragraphs hint at the notion of persistent earnings. See, for example, SFAC 1, para. 44; SFAC 5, para. 31; IASB Framework, paras. 28, 72–80.

²⁵These results are turned upside down for residual income. Fair value residual income is constant in time and reflects the competitive advantage, that is, the fraction of sales that is earned on top of market expectations. Transaction-based residual income, on the other hand, increases in time and thus appears less indicative of the competitive advantage.



About Cookies On This Site



We and our partners use cookies to enhance your website experience, learn how our site is used, offer personalised features, measure the effectiveness of our services, and tailor content and ads to your interests while you navigate on the web or interact with us across devices. You can choose to accept all of these cookies or only essential cookies. To learn more or manage your preferences, click "Settings". For further information about the data we collect from you, please see our Privacy Policy

Accept All

Essential Only

Settings

Information for

Authors

R&D professionals

Editors

Librarians

Societies

Opportunities

Reprints and e-prints

Advertising solutions

Accelerated publication

Corporate access solutions

Open access

Overview

Open journals

Open Select

Dove Medical Press

F1000Research

Help and information

Help and contact

Newsroom

All journals

Books

Keep up to date

Register to receive personalised research and resources by email















Copyright © 2024 Informa UK Limited Privacy policy Cookies Terms & conditions



Registered in England & Wales No. 3099067

Registered in England & Wales No. 3099067 5 Howick Place | London | SW1P 1WG

About Cookies On This Site



We and our partners use cookies to enhance your website experience, learn how our site is used, offer personalised features, measure the effectiveness of our services, and tailor content and ads to your interests while you navigate on the web or interact with us across devices. You can choose to accept all of these cookies or only essential cookies. To learn more or manage your preferences, click "Settings". For further information about the data we collect from you, please see our Privacy Policy



Essential Onl

Settings