

1,785

Views

38

CrossRef citations to date

1

Altmetric

INTRODUCTION

Governing lipitor and lipstick: Capacity, sequencing, and power in international pharmaceutical and cosmetics regulation

David Bach & Abraham L. Newman

Pages 665-695 | Published online: 04 Oct 2010

 Cite this article

 <https://doi.org/10.1080/09692291003723706>

Sample our
Politics & International
Relations Journals



>> [Sign in here](#) to start your access
to the latest two volumes for 14 days

 Full Article

 Figures & data

 References

 Citations

 Metrics

 Reprints & Permissions

Read this article

 Share

ABSTRACT

Over the last three decades, the pharmaceutical and cosmetics industries have become increasingly global. To ensure product safety and consumer protection, regulators in leading markets have applied domestic rules extraterritorially and have joined forces to harmonize rules through transgovernmental cooperation. Yet whereas the United States has long been the dominant player in international market regulation of pharmaceuticals, the European Union has decisively shaped global rules for cosmetics. What explains differences in agenda setting power across the two closely-related industries? We compare and contrast the expectations of a realist account focused on market size and a liberal functionalist argument centered on the role of market friction with a historical institutionalist explanation stressing the relative sequential development over time of domestic regulatory capacity in leading markets. The

empirical evidence shows that domestic regulatory institutions systematically shape international market regulation. Historical institutionalism provides an important complement to existing transgovernmental research, offering clear expectations for the origins of and terms of influence within such cooperation. More generally, it opens up a rich toolbox for the analysis of industry-level global market governance, which affects the daily lives of many millions of consumers.

KEYWORDS:

Sequencing transgovernmental politics regulatory capacity historical institutionalism pharmaceuticals
cosmetics

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This paper has benefitted tremendously from workshops at Temple University, Georgetown University, and UC Berkeley, that led to this special issue; we would like to thank all participants as well as the sponsoring institutions. In particular, we would like to acknowledge the extremely helpful comments and suggestions on various earlier drafts from Adriana Brown, Tim Büthe, Richard Deeg, Dan Drezner, Henry Farrell, Orfeo Fioretos, Kate McNamara, Craig Pollack, Elliot Posner, David Vogel, Steve Vogel, Steve Weber, and John Zysman. Earlier versions were also presented at the Annual Meeting of the International Studies Association, San Francisco, March 26–28, 2008 and the Joint Session of the European Consortium for Political Research (ECPR), Lisbon, April 14–19, 2009. Part of this research was supported by a Spanish Ministry of Science and Innovation grant (ECO2008-01527).

Notes

1 Many issues shape international markets for pharmaceuticals and cosmetics. This paper focuses on the fundamental decision of whether to allow a product to enter the consumer market and the safety standards that producers must follow during production.

- 2 This argument draws on historical institutional work such as [Zysman \(1994\)](#) and [Thelen \(2004\)](#).
- 3 Jurisdictions can consciously build-up regulatory capacity, as happened during the period of telecommunications liberalization, but such build-up does not happen overnight. See ([Vogel, 1996](#); [Gilardi, 2002](#)).
- 4 The paper focuses on structural power embodied in regulatory capacity and not the underlying preferences of the lead regulators. For an examination of preferences see ([Singer, 2007](#)).
- 5 A growing rationalist literature on the design of international institutions considers these questions. See ([Koremenos, Lipson and Snidal, 2001](#)).
- 6 This transposes sequencing arguments developed in the comparative setting to international issues. See (Posner, this issue; Newman 2008b; [Pierson, 2004](#)).
- 7 IMS Health, 2004. IMS World Review. Norwalk, CT.
- 8 The World Health Situation. Geneva: World Health Organization. Chapter 3.
- 9 See www.ich.org.
- 10 See “EMA inspections growing, get tougher than FDA audits, Wyeth exec says,” Warning Letter Bulletin, 12 July 2004.
- 11 See ([Henney, 2001](#)).
- 12 International Accord on Drug Testing Standards Will Delete LD50 Animal Testing Requirements, F-D-C REP. (“The Blue Sheet”), Nov. 20, 1991, at 9.
- 13 Data are taken from presentations made in April 2004 and April 2007 by Euromonitor.
- 14 Data are taken from presentations made in April 2004 and April 2007 by Euromonitor.
- 15 Author interview with an industry spokesperson. Brussels, 2007.
- 16 See Shefali Srinivas, “Tougher Regulations for Cosmetics Next Year.” Straits Times, November 22, 2007.

17 See the ASEAN Cosmetics Association at <http://www.aseancosmetics.org/default>.

18 See Laurel Naversen Geraghty, "Should You Worry About the Chemicals in Your Makeup?" The New York Time, July 7, 2005.

19 On the issue of preference formation, see (Fioretos, this issue).

Related Research Data

[The European regulatory state and global public policy: micro-institutions, macro-influence](#)

Source: Journal of European Public Policy

[Taking Temporality Seriously: Modeling History and the Use of Narratives as Evidence](#)

Source: American Political Science Review

[How Institutions Evolve](#)

Source: Unknown Repository

[Organizing Babylon - On the Different Conceptions of Policy Networks](#)

Source: Public Administration

[How Institutions Create Historically Rooted Trajectories of Growth](#)

Source: Industrial and Corporate Change

[Politics in Time](#)

Source: Unknown Repository

[Policy credibility and delegation to independent regulatory agencies: a comparative empirical analysis](#)

Related research

People also read

Recommended articles

Cited by
38

[An overview of current practices for regulatory risk assessment with lessons learnt from cosmetics in the European Union >](#)

Emma Arnesdotter et al.
Critical Reviews in Toxicology
Published online: 5 Aug 2021

Information for

[Authors](#)

[R&D professionals](#)

[Editors](#)

[Librarians](#)

[Societies](#)

Opportunities

[Reprints and e-prints](#)

[Advertising solutions](#)

[Accelerated publication](#)

[Corporate access solutions](#)

Open access

[Overview](#)

[Open journals](#)

[Open Select](#)

[Dove Medical Press](#)

[F1000Research](#)

Help and information

[Help and contact](#)

[Newsroom](#)

[All journals](#)

[Books](#)

Keep up to date

Register to receive personalised research and resources by email



Sign me up



Copyright © 2026 Informa UK Limited [Privacy policy](#)

[Cookies](#) [Terms & conditions](#) [Accessibility](#)

Registered in England & Wales No. 01072954
5 Howick Place | London | SW1P 1WG



Taylor & Francis
by informa