

Defence and Peace Economics >

Volume 17, 2006 - [Issue 1](#)

178 | 8 | 0
Views | CrossRef citations to date | Altmetric

Original Articles

EUROPEAN DEFENCE FIRMS: THE INFORMATION BARRIER ON PRIVATE FINANCE*

Damien Besancenot  & Radu Vranceanu

Pages 23-36 | Accepted 24 May 2005, Published online: 25 Jan 2007

 Cite this article  <https://doi.org/10.1080/10242690500216580>

Sample our
Politics & International
Relations Journals
>> [Sign in here](#) to start your access
to the latest two volumes for 14 days

 Full Article  Figures & data  References  Citations  Metrics

 Reprints & Permissions

Read this article

Share

Abstract

After the fall of the Berlin Wall, European governments adopted a hands-off policy towards the defence industrial base, in an attempt to increase the sector's efficiency and reactivity. In this context, one topical issue is how to motivate defence firms to apply for private rather than public finance. Since banks have no prior experience with European defence firms, a problem of asymmetric information may block this transition. The problem is analysed within the framework of a game between defence firms and banks. It is shown that the Bayesian Equilibrium might correspond to a situation where low-risk firms prefer the state-financed scheme; yet, in a perfect information set-up, the same firms would apply for bank credit. In order to facilitate the transition to private finance, the government might decide to subsidize investors who agree on financing

defence firms; the state aid should be made available during a transitory learning period.

*This research has benefited from the financial support of the Observatoire Economique de la Defence (OED) within the French Ministry of Defence. The views expressed in this paper are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the OED. The designations employed and the presentation of the material do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of the OED. The authors are grateful to one anonymous referee, Jean Belin, Jean-Yves Caro and Marianne Guille for their suggestions and remarks that helped them to improve the quality of the text.

Keywords:

European defence firms

Private finance

Bayesian Equilibrium

Asymmetric information

Notes

*This research has benefited from the financial support of the Observatoire Economique de la Defence (OED) within the French Ministry of Defence. The views expressed in this paper are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the OED. The designations employed and the presentation of the material do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of the OED. The authors are grateful to one anonymous referee, Jean Belin, Jean-Yves Caro and Marianne Guille for their suggestions and remarks that helped them to improve the quality of the text.

¹See, on these changes of the EU defence sector landscape, Deitrick ([1999](#)), Guyot and Vranceanu ([2001](#)), Hartley ([2003](#)), Hartley and Sandler ([2003](#)), Kollias et al. ([2004](#)), The Economist ([2005](#)).

²The average leverage ratio of US defence firms is about 35% (Goyal et al., [2002](#)).

³See also the survey by Jaffe and Stiglitz ([1990](#)).

⁴For instance, see the surveys by Rogerson ([1994](#), [1995](#)). Either the firm or the government may defect on the initial terms of the contract. The higher the market

power of one of the contracting parties, the higher the probability to aim at renegotiating the contract or shirking.

⁵Adding a second risk, related to external uncertainty stemming from the randomness of the government's commitment, would not change in a significant way the main insights of the model.

⁶Actually, firms hold several overlapping projects and the class of risk is a weighted average of the risks specific to each project. Assuming that the firm may be represented by one project is tantamount to considering a firm running identical-risk projects.

⁷These operating costs come due at the end of the period. The total production cost is $K(1 + r) +$ under private finance or $K(1 + i) +$ under public finance.

⁸Under a fixed price contract, many governments used to finance a fraction of the debt at a low interest rate. We do not introduce here a linear combination of private and public funding since this generalization would not alter the main insights of the model.

⁹Since $F(1) = 1$, the branch of F located to the right of the asymptote is outside the domain of existence of π^L .

¹⁰If π_1 were smaller than π_4 , the two regions would not overlap and this separating equilibrium would not have been possible. We also mention that π_1 can be smaller or bigger than π_3 .

¹¹A pooling equilibrium where all firms would choose the private finance can be put forward for relatively low default risks π^L and π^H .

Related research

People also read

Recommended articles

Cited by
8

Information for

[Authors](#)

[R&D professionals](#)

[Editors](#)

[Librarians](#)

[Societies](#)

Opportunities

[Reprints and e-prints](#)

[Advertising solutions](#)

[Accelerated publication](#)

[Corporate access solutions](#)

Open access

[Overview](#)

[Open journals](#)

[Open Select](#)

[Dove Medical Press](#)

[F1000Research](#)

Help and information

[Help and contact](#)

[Newsroom](#)

[All journals](#)

[Books](#)

Keep up to date

Register to receive personalised research and resources by email



Sign me up



Copyright © 2026 Informa UK Limited [Privacy policy](#)

[Cookies](#) [Terms & conditions](#) [Accessibility](#)

Registered in England & Wales No. 01072954
5 Howick Place | London | SW1P 1WG

 Taylor and Francis
Group