

[Investment Analysts Journal](#) >Volume 45, 2016 - [Issue 3](#)

1,596 220

Views | CrossRef citations to date | Altmetric | 0

Articles

# Endogeneity in CEO power: A survey and experiment

Frank Li 

Pages 149-162 | Received 19 Feb 2015, Accepted 04 Feb 2016, Published online: 28 May 2016

 Cite this article <https://doi.org/10.1080/10293523.2016.1151985>

Sample our  
Economics, Finance,  
Business & Industry Journals  
>> [Sign in here](#) to start your access  
to the latest two volumes for 14 days

 Full Article Figures & data References Citations Metrics Reprints & Permissions[Read this article](#)[Share](#)

## ABSTRACT

The endogeneity problem has always been one, if not the only, obstacle to understanding the true relationship between different aspects of empirical corporate finance. Variables are typically endogenous, instruments are scarce, and causality relations are complicated. As the first attempt to summarise different econometric methods that are commonly used to address endogeneity concerns in the context of corporate governance, we explore the relation between CEO power and firm performance, as an experiment, to illustrate how these methods can be used to mitigate the endogeneity problem and by how much. After carefully dealing with the endogeneity issues, we find strong evidence that the true relationship between CEO power and subsequent firm performance is negative, suggesting CEOs are overpowered in some firms. Furthermore, we show that all the prevailing econometric remedies are generally effective in mitigating the endogeneity problem to some degree (i.e., to

correct the sign from positive to negative), but quantitatively the effects vary considerably. Among all the remedies, GMM has the greatest correction effect on the bias, followed by instrumental variables, fixed effect models, lagged dependent variables and the addition of more control variables. As for a combination of the methods, firm fixed effects, year fixed effects and the addition of more meaningful control variables appear to work as well, even without a valid instrumental variable.

#### KEYWORDS:

Endogeneity problem CEO power CEO entrenchment firm performance GMM fixed effects  
instrumental variable lagged dependent variable

#### JEL-CODES:

G34 G32 C58 D23 J33

This article is part of the following collection(s):

[Investment Analysts Journal 50th Anniversary Collection](#)

## Notes

1. We exclude special cases such as firms with co-CEOs, interim CEOs, missing CEOs, etc. After such screenings, we find about 5% of times that the CEO's pay is not the highest in the firm. Including these negative gaps or not does not significantly change the empirical results reported in this study.

## Related research

People also read

Recommended articles

Cited by  
220

## Information for

[Authors](#)

[R&D professionals](#)

[Editors](#)

[Librarians](#)

[Societies](#)

## Opportunities

[Reprints and e-prints](#)

[Advertising solutions](#)

[Accelerated publication](#)

[Corporate access solutions](#)

## Open access

[Overview](#)

[Open journals](#)

[Open Select](#)

[Dove Medical Press](#)

[F1000Research](#)

## Help and information

[Help and contact](#)

[Newsroom](#)

[All journals](#)

[Books](#)

## Keep up to date

Register to receive personalised research and resources by email



Sign me up



Copyright © 2026 Informa UK Limited [Privacy policy](#)

[Cookies](#) [Terms & conditions](#) [Accessibility](#)

Registered in England & Wales No. 01072954  
5 Howick Place | London | SW1P 1WG



**Taylor & Francis**  
by **informa** •••