



Investment Analysts Journal >

Volume 45, 2016 - [Issue 3](#)

1,594 220

Views

0

CrossRef citations to date

Altmetric

Articles

Endogeneity in CEO power: A survey and experiment

Frank Li

Pages 149-162 | Received 19 Feb 2015, Accepted 04 Feb 2016, Published online: 28 May 2016

Cite this article <https://doi.org/10.1080/10293523.2016.1151985>



Check for updates

Sample our
Economics, Finance,
Business & Industry Journals
>> [Sign in here](#) to start your access
to the latest two volumes for 14 days

Full Article

Figures & data

References

Citations

Metrics

Reprints & Permissions

Read this article

Share

ABSTRACT

The endogeneity problem has always been one, if not the only, obstacle to understanding the true relationship between different aspects of empirical corporate finance. Variables are typically endogenous, instruments are scarce, and causality relations are complicated. As the first attempt to summarise different econometric methods that are commonly used to address endogeneity concerns in the context of corporate governance, we explore the relation between CEO power and firm performance, as an experiment, to illustrate how these methods can be used to mitigate the endogeneity problem and by how much. After carefully dealing with the endogeneity issues, we find strong evidence that the true relationship between CEO power and subsequent firm performance is negative, suggesting CEOs are overpowered in some firms. Furthermore, we show that all the prevailing econometric remedies are generally effective in mitigating the endogeneity problem to some degree (i.e., to

correct the sign from positive to negative), but quantitatively the effects vary considerably. Among all the remedies, GMM has the greatest correction effect on the bias, followed by instrumental variables, fixed effect models, lagged dependent variables and the addition of more control variables. As for a combination of the methods, firm fixed effects, year fixed effects and the addition of more meaningful control variables appear to work as well, even without a valid instrumental variable.

KEYWORDS:

Endogeneity problem

CEO power

CEO entrenchment

firm performance

GMM

fixed effects

instrumental variable

lagged dependent variable

JEL-CODES:

G34

G32

C58

D23

J33

This article is part of the following collection(s):

[Investment Analysts Journal 50th Anniversary Collection](#)

Notes

1. We exclude special cases such as firms with co-CEOs, interim CEOs, missing CEOs, etc. After such screenings, we find about 5% of times that the CEO's pay is not the highest in the firm. Including these negative gaps or not does not significantly change the empirical results reported in this study.

Related research

People also read

Recommended articles

Cited by
220

Information for

Authors

R&D professionals

Editors

Librarians

Societies

Opportunities

Reprints and e-prints

Advertising solutions

Accelerated publication

Corporate access solutions

Open access

Overview

Open journals

Open Select

Dove Medical Press

F1000Research

Help and information

Help and contact

Newsroom

All journals

Books

Keep up to date

Register to receive personalised research and resources
by email

 Sign me up

  

  

Copyright © 2026 Informa UK Limited Privacy policy Cookies Terms & conditions

Accessibility



Registered in England & Wales No. 01072954
5 Howick Place | London | SW1P 1WG