







► Rainmakers: The Most Successful Criminal

Journal of Criminal Justice Education > Volume 20, 2009 - Issue 1

168 11

Views CrossRef citations to date Altmetric

Original Articles

Rainmakers: The Most Successful Criminal Justice Scholars and Departments In Research Grant Acquisition

Elizabeth Ehrhardt Mustaine & Richard Tewksbury

Pages 40-55 | Published online: 29 Jan 2009

66 Cite this article

▶ https://doi.org/10.1080/10511250802680993

Sample our to the latest two volumes for 14 days

Full Ar

Repri

Abstra

Academ

and cita

academ emer

individu

grant do aggrega

unique,

publicati

We Care About Your Privacy

We and our 870 partners store and access personal data, like browsing data or unique identifiers, on your device. Selecting "I Accept" enables tracking technologies to support the purposes shown under "we and our partners process data to provide," whereas selecting "Reject All" or withdrawing your consent will disable them. If trackers are disabled, some content and ads you see may not be as relevant to you. You can resurface this menu to change your choices or withdraw consent at any time by clicking the ["privacy preferences"] link on the bottom of the webpage [or the floating icon on the bottom-left of the webpage, if applicable]. Your choices will have effect within our Website. For more details, refer to our Privacy Policy. Here

We and our partners process data to provide:

I Accept

Reject All

Show Purpose tion counts

been

and

explores this

nts

al amount of


sures at the

tion is a

tional

Notes

- 1. A total of 11 faculty responded to email requests for vitas with information that either they did not wish to share their vita or they did not maintain information about the number and dollar values of grants they had received. These individuals are not included in the analysis.
- 2. This top 10 list has only seven members because following these seven individuals were seven scholars who had received funding from six state agencies. Cutting the list at seven instead of 14 is more meaningful.
- 3. Here again, the list has only nine members because the next grouping was eight individuals having attained research funds from three different foundations.



Information for Open access Authors Overview R&D professionals Open journals Editors **Open Select** Librarians **Dove Medical Press** Societies F1000Research Opportunities Help and information Reprints and e-prints Advertising solutions Newsroom Accelerated publication Corporate access solutions Books Keep up to date Register to receive personalised research and resources by email Sign me up X or & Francis Group Copyright Registered 5 Howick Pl