

Journal of Criminal Justice Education >

Volume 20, 2009 - [Issue 1](#)

173 | 13 | 3  
Views | CrossRef citations to date | Altmetric

Original Articles

# Rainmakers: The Most Successful Criminal Justice Scholars and Departments In Research Grant Acquisition

Elizabeth Ehrhardt Mustaine & Richard Tewksbury

Pages 40-55 | Published online: 29 Jan 2009

 Cite this article  <https://doi.org/10.1080/10511250802680993>

Sample our  
Law  
Journals  
>> [Sign in here](#) to start your access  
to the latest two volumes for 14 days



 Full Article  Figures & data  References  Citations  Metrics

 Reprints & Permissions

[Read this article](#)

[Share](#)

## Abstract

Academic research productivity has traditionally been measured via publication counts and citations. These measures have been used to evaluate both individuals and academic departments. An additional measure of research productivity has been emerging in recent years: research grant acquisition. The present analysis explores this method of evaluating research productivity. We consider the number of grants individuals have been awarded, the types of granting agencies, and the total amount of grant dollars individuals have received. Additionally, we explore these measures at the aggregated level of criminal justice departments. We find that grant acquisition is a unique, useful way to measure research productivity, that is related to traditional publication count approaches for both individuals and departments.

---

# Notes

1. A total of 11 faculty responded to email requests for vitas with information that either they did not wish to share their vita or they did not maintain information about the number and dollar values of grants they had received. These individuals are not included in the analysis.
  2. This top 10 list has only seven members because following these seven individuals were seven scholars who had received funding from six state agencies. Cutting the list at seven instead of 14 is more meaningful.
  3. Here again, the list has only nine members because the next grouping was eight individuals having attained research funds from three different foundations.
- 

## Related research

People also read

Recommended articles

Cited by  
13

## Information for

[Authors](#)

[R&D professionals](#)

[Editors](#)

[Librarians](#)

[Societies](#)

## Opportunities

[Reprints and e-prints](#)

[Advertising solutions](#)

[Accelerated publication](#)

[Corporate access solutions](#)

## Open access

[Overview](#)

[Open journals](#)

[Open Select](#)

[Dove Medical Press](#)

[F1000Research](#)

## Help and information

[Help and contact](#)

[Newsroom](#)

[All journals](#)

[Books](#)

## Keep up to date

Register to receive personalised research and resources by email



Sign me up



Copyright © 2026 Informa UK Limited [Privacy policy](#)

[Cookies](#) [Terms & conditions](#) [Accessibility](#)

Registered in England & Wales No. 01072954  
5 Howick Place | London | SW1P 1WG



**Taylor & Francis**  
by informa