







Home ▶ All Journals ▶ Journal of Criminal Justice Education ▶ List of Issues ▶ Volume 20, Issue 1 ▶ Rainmakers: The Most Successful Criminal

Journal of Criminal Justice Education > Volume 20, 2009 - Issue 1

168 11

3

Views CrossRef citations to date Altmetric

Original Articles

Rainmakers: The Most Successful Criminal Justice Scholars and Departments In Research Grant Acquisition

Elizabeth Ehrhardt Mustaine & Richard Tewksbury


Pages 40-55 | Published online: 29 Jan 2009

66 Cite this article

▶ https://doi.org/10.1080/10511250802680993

Sample our
Education
Journals
>> Sign in here to start your access to the latest two volumes for 14 days

Full Article







Metrics

Reprints & Permissions

Read this article

Abstract

Academ' and cital academi emergin method

aggrega

individu

unique, publicati We Care About Your Privacy

We and our 845 partners store and/or access information on a device, such as unique IDs in cookies to process personal data. You may accept or manage your choices by clicking below, including your right to object where legitimate interest is used, or at any time in the privacy policy page. These choices will be signaled to our partners and will not affect browsing data. Privacy Policy

We and our partners process data to provide:

Use precise geolocation data. Actively scan device characteristics for identification. Store and/or access information on a device. Personalised advertising and content, advertising and content measurement, audience research and services development.

List of Partners (vendors)


I Accept and been

Essential Onlexplores this ats

Show Purpose al amount of sures at the tion is a tional

Notes

- 1. A total of 11 faculty responded to email requests for vitas with information that either they did not wish to share their vita or they did not maintain information about the number and dollar values of grants they had received. These individuals are not included in the analysis.
- 2. This top 10 list has only seven members because following these seven individuals were seven scholars who had received funding from six state agencies. Cutting the list at seven instead of 14 is more meaningful.
- 3. Here again, the list has only nine members because the next grouping was eight individuals having attained research funds from three different foundations.



Information for Open access **Authors** Overview R&D professionals Open journals Editors **Open Select** Librarians **Dove Medical Press** Societies F1000Research Opportunities Help and information Reprints and e-prints Advertising solutions Newsroom Accelerated publication Corporate access solutions Books Keep up to date Register to receive personalised research and resources by email Sign me up Taylor & Francis Group Copyright © 2024 Informa UK Limited Privacy policy Cookies Terms & conditions Accessib X

