







On Tuesday 1 July 2025, 04:00-21:00 GMT, we'll be making some site updates on Taylor & Francis. Online. You'll still be able to search, browse and read our articles, where access rights already apply. Registration, purchasing, activation of tokens, eprints and other features of Your Account will be unavailable during this scheduled work.

Home ▶ All Journals ▶ Social Sciences ▶ Journal of Criminal Justice Education ▶ List of Issues ▶ Volume 20, Issue 1 ▶ Rainmakers: The Most Successful Criminal

Journal of Criminal Justice Education > Volume 20, 2009 - Issue 1

171 12

Views CrossRef citations to date Altmetric

Original Articles

Rainmakers: The Most Successful Criminal Justice Scholars and Departments In Research Grant Acquisition

Elizabeth Ehrhardt Mustaine & Richard Tewksbury

Pages 40-55 | Published online: 29 Jan 2009

66 Cite this article

https://doi.org/10.1080/10511250802680993



We Care About Your Privacy

We and our 909 partners store and access personal data, like browsing data or unique identifiers, on your device. Selecting "I Accept" enables tracking technologies to support the purposes shown under "we and our partners process data to provide," whereas selecting "Reject All" or withdrawing your consent will disable them. If trackers are disabled, some content and ads you see may not be as relevant to you. You can resurface this menu to change your choices or withdraw consent at any time by clicking the ["privacy preferences"] link on the bottom of the webpage [or the floating icon on the bottom-left of the webpage, if applicable]. Your choices will have effect within our Website. For more details, refer to our Privacy Policy. Here

We and our partners process data to provide:

I Accept

Reject All

Show Purpose

Acad and c academ emergin

Full A

Repri

Abstra

method individu grant do

aggrega

and been explores this nts al amount of sures at the tion is a

tion counts

unique, useful way to measure research productivity, that is related to traditional publication count approaches for both individuals and departments.

Notes

- 1. A total of 11 faculty responded to email requests for vitas with information that either they did not wish to share their vita or they did not maintain information about the number and dollar values of grants they had received. These individuals are not included in the analysis.
- 2. This top 10 list has only seven members because following these seven individuals were seven scholars who had received funding from six state agencies. Cutting the list at seven instead of 14 is more meaningful.
- 3. Here again, the list has only nine members because the next grouping was eight individuals having attained research funds from three different foundations.



Information for Open access Authors Overview R&D professionals Open journals Editors **Open Select** Librarians **Dove Medical Press** Societies F1000Research Opportunities Help and information Reprints and e-prints Advertising solutions Newsroom Accelerated publication Corporate access solutions Books Keep up to date Register to receive personalised research and resources by email Sign me up X or & Francis Group Copyright