Home ▶ All Journals ▶ Housing Policy Debate ▶ List of Issues ▶ Volume 23, Issue 1 The Trajectory of REOs in Southern Calif ....

Housing Policy Debate >

Volume 23, 2013 - Issue 1: Assessing the Foreclosure Crisis From the Ground Up

507 40

Views CrossRef citations to date Altmetric

Commentary

## The Trajectory of REOs in Southern California Latino Neighborhoods: An Uneven Geography of Recovery

Deirdre Pfeiffer 🔀 & Emily Tumpson Molina

Pages 81-109 | Received 21 Nov 2011, Accepted 21 Aug 2012, Published online: 21 Dec 2012

 https://doi.org/10.1080/10511482.2012.731655 **66** Cite this article

> Sample our Social Sciences >> Sign in here to start your access

Full Article

Figures & data

References

**66** Citations

**Metrics** 

➡ Reprints & Permissions

Read this article

### **Abstract**

history r

likely

suggest

Although a rich literature exists on the determinants and effects of concentrated foreclosures, little is known about what drives variation in how long real estate owned (REO) properties take to sell and to whom, particularly in Latino communities heavily affected by the current foreclosure crisis. This research employs multilevel and event

About Cookies On This Site sale to a Latino S neighbo

We and our partners use cookies to enhance your website experience, learn how our site is used, offer personalised features, measure the effectiveness of our services, and tailor content and ads to your interests while you navigate on the web or interact with us across devices. You can choose to accept all of these cookies or only essential cookies. To learn more or manage your preferences, click "Settings". For further information about the data we collect

from you, please see our Privacy Policy

an Latino Essential Onlid more rgely Latino Settings e results nd nomic

Accept All

od of sale,

f majority

segrega mobility-enability areas. Q Keywords: foreclosure neighborhood minorities

### Acknowledgments

The authors gratefully acknowledge the University of California, Santa Barbara's Chicano Studies Institute for providing funding for this research, as well as Dr. Paul Ong at the University of California Los Angeles for assisting with data purchase. They also would like to thank the two anonymous reviewers for their helpful critical feedback.


### Notes

- 1. Rivera et al. (2008) estimate that Latinos will experience a net loss of at least \$75.8 billion for subprime loans made between 1998 and 2006. In a study of 25 Latino households that foreclosed, Bowdler, Quercia, and Smith (2010) found that they experienced an average loss of about \$89,000.
- 2. California foreclosure counts are provided primarily by two companies, DataQuick and RealtyTrac. DataQuick reports trustee's deeds (completed foreclosures) recorded, while RealtyTrac reports notices of trustee sale and REOs. DataQuick was a better source for this project because it included information on foreclosures sold at auction.
- 3. Conventional and Federal Housing Administration loan limits were raised from \$417,000 and \$362,790 to \$729,750 for high-cost metropolitan areas. The Housing and Economic Recovery Act of 2008 set the credit at \$7,500. The American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 increased it to \$8,000 (see Internal Revenue Service, 2011).

# 4. Our of Survey of estimate at his with condition neighbor census t

### About Cookies On This Site

We and our partners use cookies to enhance your website experience, learn how our site is used, offer personalised features, measure the effectiveness of our services, and tailor content and ads to your interests while you navigate on the web or interact with us across devices. You can choose to accept all of these cookies or only essential cookies. To learn more or manage your preferences, click "Settings". For further information about the data we collect from you, please see our <a href="Privacy Policy">Privacy Policy</a>



likely changed in regions affected by foreclosures during the 2000s, which may bias our estimates. In particular, communities with higher foreclosure rates may have experienced faster housing filtering and minority population growth, among other shifts (Lauria & Baxter, 1999; Y. Li & Morrow-Jones, 2010). We address this issue further in the conclusion.


- 5. Census tracts in Los Angeles, Riverside, and San Bernardino counties were matched to nearest elementary schools by entering the address associated with their centroid into the California School Finder search engine. Tracts were only matched to regular schools; charter, alternative, special education, and continuation schools were not included. School data for the 2006–2007 school year was compiled from Ed-Data and the California Department of Education. About 4% of properties in the Inland Empire were missing nearest elementary school data due to new school construction.
- 6. There is unresolved debate about the best way to identify urban or inner-city, suburban, and exurban communities, let alone whether such designations are useful (Nelson & Sanchez, 1999). Our definition loosely corresponds with Marcelli (2004)'s housing age-based delineation of the Southern California exurbs (defined as "suburbs" in his article). On average, 37% of the housing stock in the sample exurban tracts was built after 1980 compared to only 15% in the suburban and urban tracts.
- 7. Paul Willen at the Federal Reserve Bank of Boston and Stefano Giglio at Harvard University contributed to the keyword compilation. Real estate owned keywords included "bank," "bk," "loan," "mortgage," "servicing," along with the names of major U.S. and international banks. If a buyer had a surname or included keywords such as "llc," "llp," "real," "prop," and "family trust," it was identified as not a REO.
- 8. Family trusts were identified by coding for "family" and "trust" in the buyer name field. Lists subsequently were reviewed for consistency. Typical corporate investors

included Property commur



About Cookies On This Site

We and our partners use cookies to enhance your website experience, learn how our site is used, offer personalised features, measure the effectiveness of our services, and tailor content and ads to your interests while you navigate on the web or interact with us across devices. You can choose to accept all of these cookies or only essential cookies. To learn more or manage your preferences, click "Settings". For further information about the data we collect from you, please see our <a href="Privacy Policy">Privacy Policy</a>



- 10. Although DataQuick does not provide information about owner-occupancy status, the fact that about one-fifth of the family trust investors and just under half of the LLC investors bought at least two properties in the sample Latino communities during the studied period suggests speculative intentions. One way to tease out occupancy status is by examining mortgage records. However, given the size of our sample, the possibility of buyers misrepresenting their status when applying for a mortgage, and the fact that one-third of the family trust investors and two-fifths of the LLC investors had no recorded loan information, we decided that pursuing this method would be unproductive.
- 11. See Appendix E Census List of Spanish Surnames in the "Downloads" section at <a href="http://fcds.med.miami.edu">http://fcds.med.miami.edu</a>. The approximately 12,500 names on the list were culled from Spanish-surname lists developed by the U.S. Census and New Mexico's SEER's Guest Program, as well as underrepresented Caribbean and South American names present in the Miami phonebook.
- 12. This process involves converting the data from wide to long form, or from a file of foreclosures to a file of foreclosure months, with each row representing a foreclosure during a particular month that it was on the market. Properties that foreclosed in January 2007 may have up to 36 rows of data, while properties that foreclosed in June 2009 may have only one row of data. Properties that sold at auction, the omitted category, are counted as month 0. The dependent variable is dichotomous, accounting for whether or not a foreclosure sold in a given month, with sales receiving a 1. Once a property is sold, it is not included in subsequent months, as it is no longer at risk of being sold. The independent variables remain constant for each month that a foreclosure is in the analysis. See Treiman (2008) for more information on this type of discrete-time hazard rate modeling.


## over clust and Latithe near propincreas.

### About Cookies On This Site

We and our partners use cookies to enhance your website experience, learn how our site is used, offer personalised features, measure the effectiveness of our services, and tailor content and ads to your interests while you navigate on the web or interact with us across devices. You can choose to accept all of these cookies or only essential cookies. To learn more or manage your preferences, click "Settings". For further information about the data we collect from you, please see our <a href="Privacy Policy">Privacy Policy</a>

Accept All ntage black at risk, with Essential Onlylining with a n Settings ed to, the rion

- 14. These models were improvements over clustered logistic regression models that controlled for intraclass correlation among properties in the same tract, and coefficients changed dramatically.
- 15. The Academic Performance Inxex measures spring test performance; it ranges from 200 to 1,000.
- 16. There were no majority Latino census tracts in exurban northern Los Angeles County in our sample.
- 17. Properties located in fast-growing Riverside and San Bernardino counties had an average square footage of 1,547, compared to 1,232 among properties located in the Los Angeles suburbs or the cities of Los Angeles, Long Beach, Riverside, San Bernardino, and Ontario.
- 18. It is important to note that our measures of median home prices at the census tract level are almost certainly biased by their inclusion of both foreclosure auction and REO sales that tend to depress prices. However, our measures of median sales price in 2007 and foreclosure rate 2007–2009 are not as highly correlated as one might expect (r = -0.35). Still, it is likely that changes in neighborhood foreclosure rates are driving price changes at this relatively small geography, making it difficult to tease out the relative effects of each of these factors.



Information for

**Authors** 

R&D professionals

**Editors** 

Librarians

Societies

Opportunities

Reprints and e-prints

Advertising solutions

Accelerated publication

Corporate access solutions

Open access

Overview

Open journals

**Open Select** 

**Dove Medical Press** 

F1000Research

Help and information

Help and contact

Newsroom

All journals

Books

### Keep up to date

Register to receive personalised research and resources by email



Sign me up











Copyright © 2024 Informa UK Limited Privacy policy Cookies Terms & conditions

Taylor & Francis Group an informa business

Accessibility

Registered in England & Wales No. 3099067 5 Howick Place | London | SW1P 1WG

### About Cookies On This Site



We and our partners use cookies to enhance your website experience, learn how our site is used, offer personalised features, measure the effectiveness of our services, and tailor content and ads to your interests while you navigate on the web or interact with us across devices. You can choose to accept all of these cookies or only essential cookies. To learn more or manage your preferences, click "Settings". For further information about the data we collect from you, please see our <a href="Privacy Policy">Privacy Policy</a>



Essential Onl

Settings