

289 | 2 | 1
Views | CrossRef citations to date | Altmetric


Articles

Millionaires and the public in Czech politics

Andrew Roberts 

Pages 353-366 | Received 29 Nov 2017, Accepted 19 Apr 2018, Published online: 02 Jul 2018

 Cite this article  <https://doi.org/10.1080/1060586X.2018.1484031>

 Check for updates

Sample our
Area Studies
Journals
>> [Sign in here](#) to start your access
to the latest two volumes for 14 days

 Full Article

 Figures & data


 References

 Citations

 Metrics

 Reprints & Permissions

Read this article

 Share

We Care About Your Privacy

We and our 887 partners store and access personal data, like browsing data or unique identifiers, on your device. Selecting I Accept enables tracking technologies to support the purposes shown under we and our partners process data to provide. Selecting Reject All or withdrawing your consent will disable them. If trackers are disabled, some content and ads you see may not be as relevant to you. You can resurface this menu to change your choices or withdraw consent at any time by clicking the Show Purposes link on the bottom of the webpage. Your choices will have effect within our Website. For more details, refer to our Privacy Policy. [Here](#)

We and our partners process data to provide:

Use precise geolocation data. Actively scan device

 I Accept

Reject All

Show Purpose



Inequality

Acknowledgements

I wish to thank Jason Seawright, Tim Frye, and anonymous reviewers for helpful comments.

Notes

1. Studies of Europe with similar results include Peters and Ensink ([2015](#)) and Giger, Rosset, and Bernauer ([2012](#)). But see Bartels ([2016](#)) for a case of responsiveness to the less well-off.


2. The communist regime did have elites, but space for the accumulation of capital was very limited. On the privileges of the elite and the so-called “new class” under communism, see Szelenyi ([1978](#)).

3. Several of the surveys also included Slovak millionaires, though there were fewer (50–70 versus 150–200 Czech millionaires), and sometimes the parallel survey of the Slovak public is lacking. For these reasons, we did not consider them here. Overall, opinion differences between Slovak millionaires and the public were of a similar magnitude to the Czech Republic.

4. Data

5. The short-term development of the public was considered to be a long-term public was

6. We did

7. Pa  , where
indebted

8. Gilens

issues co

of the

9. A hand gauge of the two items.



Additional information

Funding

This work was supported by the Grant Agency of the Czech Republic under the auspices of the project “The Quality of Democracy: The Czech Republic in Comparative Perspective” [GA 15-2274S)].

Related research

People also read

Recommended articles

Cited by
2



Information for

- Authors
- R&D professionals
- Editors
- Librarians
- Societies

Opportunities

- Reprints and e-prints
- Advertising solutions
- Accelerated publication
- Corporate access solutions

Open access

- Overview
- Open journals
- Open Select
- Dove Medical Press
- F1000Research

Help and information

- Help and contact
- Newsroom
- All journals
- Books

Keep up to date

Register to receive personalised research and resources by email

 Sign me up



Copyright © 2024 John Wiley & Sons, Inc. All rights reserved. John Wiley & Francis Group
Wiley is a registered trademark of John Wiley & Sons, Inc. All other trademarks are the property of their respective owners.

Accessibility

Registered
5 Howick Place

