

819 | 88

Views | CrossRef citations to date | 15 | Altmetric

ARTICLES

# Findings from SHAZ!: A Feasibility Study of a Microcredit and Life-Skills HIV Prevention Intervention to Reduce Risk Among Adolescent Female Orphans in Zimbabwe

Megan S. Dunbar , M. Catherine Maternowska, Mi-Suk J. Kang, Susan M. Laver, Imelda Mudekunya-Mahaka & Nancy S. Padian

Pages 147-161 | Published online: 07 Apr 2010

 Cite this article  <https://doi.org/10.1080/10852351003640849>

Sample our  
Health and Social Care  
Journals  
>> [Sign in here](#) to start your access  
to the latest two volumes for 14 days



 Full Article

 Figures & data

 References

 Citations

 Metrics

 Reprints & Permissions

[Read this article](#)

 Share

## Abstract

This study tested the feasibility of a combined microcredit and life-skills HIV prevention intervention among 50 adolescent female orphans in urban/peri-urban Zimbabwe. Quantitative and qualitative data were collected on intervention delivery, HIV knowledge and behavior, and economic indicators. The study also tested for HIV, HSV-2, and pregnancy. At 6 months, results indicated improvements in knowledge and relationship power. Because of the economic context and lack of adequate support, however, loan repayment and business success was poor. The results suggest that

microcredit is not the best livelihood option to reduce risk among adolescent girls in this context.

#### KEYWORDS:

[Africa](#) [gender](#) [HIV](#) [HSV-2](#) [microcredit](#) [microfinance](#) [orphans](#) [poverty](#) [Zimbabwe](#)

The authors acknowledge Maria Vivas of the University of California, San Francisco, and Julie Roley at RTI International for research support. This project was funded by the Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development, R01HD 3278900951.

## Notes

Note. \*Fisher exact test for significance.

\*\*Violence reported at baseline is reported for ever experienced; at 6 months it represents violence during the study follow-up; thus, p-values not presented as time periods are not equivalent.

## Related research

People also read

Recommended articles

Cited by  
88

## Information for

[Authors](#)

[R&D professionals](#)

[Editors](#)

[Librarians](#)

[Societies](#)

## Opportunities

[Reprints and e-prints](#)

[Advertising solutions](#)

[Accelerated publication](#)

[Corporate access solutions](#)

## Open access

[Overview](#)

[Open journals](#)

[Open Select](#)

[Dove Medical Press](#)

[F1000Research](#)

## Help and information

[Help and contact](#)

[Newsroom](#)

[All journals](#)

[Books](#)

## Keep up to date

Register to receive personalised research and resources by email



Sign me up



Copyright © 2026 Informa UK Limited [Privacy policy](#)

[Cookies](#) [Terms & conditions](#) [Accessibility](#)

Registered in England & Wales No. 01072954  
5 Howick Place | London | SW1P 1WG



**Taylor & Francis**  
by informa