

Applied Economics Letters >

Volume 26, 2019 - [Issue 19](#)

1,849 54

Views | CrossRef citations to date | Altmetric

0


Articles

Weak efficiency of the cryptocurrency market: a market portfolio approach

David Vidal-Tomás, Ana M. Ibáñez & José E. Farinós 

Pages 1627-1633 | Published online: 25 Mar 2019

 Cite this article

 <https://doi.org/10.1080/13504851.2019.1591583>

 Check for updates

Sample our
Economics, Finance,
Business & Industry Journals
>> **Sign in here** to start your access
to the latest two volumes for 14 days



 Full Article

 Figures & data

 References

 Citations

 Metrics

 Reprints & Permissions

Read this article

 Share

ABSTRACT

Cryptocurrencies have attracted the attention of many investors and policymakers given the increase in popularity of Bitcoin. In this context, we analyse the cryptocurrency market by means of cap-weighted and equally weighted market portfolios that include all the altcoins available for three different periods (2015–2017, 2016–2017 and 2017). By using the most traditional tests of efficiency, we observe three main features of the cryptocurrency market: it is weak-form inefficient due to the behaviour of all the altcoins, it is more inefficient over time, especially in 2017, and the creation of new cryptocurrencies has not significantly changed the efficiency of the market.

KEYWORDS:

Cryptocurrency

altcoin

Bitcoin

market efficiency

Disclosure statement

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the authors.

Notes

¹ From 1 January 2014 to 31 December 2017 there are only 13 cryptocurrencies that have been trading the entire sample period. This period has not been analysed due to the scant number of digital currencies in comparison with the rest of the market portfolios.:

² We have only analysed those cryptocurrencies that have been trading for at least one year (2017) in order to obtain robust results. The list of the different cryptocurrencies is provided as supplementary material.

³ Considering our data, during 2015–2017 there are 59 cryptocurrencies, in 2016 (sample period 2016–2017) there are 22 new cryptocurrencies, compared to 2015 (sample period 2015–2017), and in 2017 there are 37 new cryptocurrencies, compared to 2016 (sample period 2016–2017).

⁴ Given that we are analysing three different periods, including different years (2015–2017, 2016–2017 and 2017), we cannot compare the test results of weak-form efficiency of these three sample periods since a different outcome could arise from a particular behaviour of the cryptocurrencies in one of the years that is not included in the rest of the sample periods. To avoid this issue, we focus on each year separately since the time span that we analyse is the same, i.e. one year. Therefore, the result will be related to the different number of altcoins rather than the sample period.

⁵ We use simple returns, instead of logarithm returns, in order to create properly the market portfolio since, mathematically, the logarithm of the sum is not equal to the sum of logarithms, i.e. it is not possible to create a market portfolio with logarithm

returns. For robustness purposes, having calculated the returns of the market portfolio, we transform the simple market returns into logarithm market returns, $\ln(1+r_{m,t})=r_{m,t}$, obtaining similar results (see [Table A1](#) in the Appendix).

⁶ This definition of random walk is the most restrictive one, which is denoted as random walk 1 by Campbell, Lo, and MacKinlay et al. ([1997](#)). We obtain the random walk 2 and 3 by relaxing the main assumptions. The random walk 2 includes processes characterized by independent but not identically distributed increments. On the other hand, for the random walk 3, we only hold the uncorrelated increments assumption, i.e. processes with dependent but uncorrelated increments (Campbell, Lo, and MacKinlay et al. [1997](#); Escanciano and Lobato [2009b](#)).

⁷ Despite the fact that there is not a strict connection between random walks and the Efficient Market Hypothesis (e.g. LeRoy ([1973](#)) and Lucas Jr ([1978](#)) show that the Efficient Market Hypothesis holds at the same time that prices do not follow random walks), in the empirical finance literature, authors are focused on the weak-form efficiency to examine whether future price changes are purely unpredictable based on the asset's price history (LeRoy [1973](#); Escanciano and Lobato [2009b](#)).

⁸ We test the joint hypothesis that all the autocorrelation coefficients (up to 3 lags) are simultaneously zero.

⁹ Given that in the case of the BDS test it is necessary to choose the embedding dimensions, specifically from 2 to 5, in the results we show the average of the statistics and p-values.

¹⁰ The only exception is found in the DFA test when analysing the sample period 2015–2017 for the cap-weighted market with logarithm returns (see [Table A1](#) in the Appendix).

Additional information

Funding

This work was supported financially by the Spanish Ministry of Education [FPU2015/01434].

People also read

Recommended articles

Cited by
54

[Information Efficiency in the Cryptocurrency Market:The Efficient-Market Hypothesis >](#)

Ho-Jun Kang et al.

Journal of Computer Information Systems

Published online: 10 May 2021

[On the evolution of cryptocurrency market efficiency >](#)

Akihiko Noda

Applied Economics Letters

Published online: 3 May 2020

[How does price \(in\)efficiency influence cryptocurrency portfolios performance? The role of multifractality >](#)

Eduardo Amorim Vilela de Salis et al.

Quantitative Finance

Published online: 24 Oct 2023

[View more](#)

Information for

[Authors](#)

[R&D professionals](#)

[Editors](#)

[Librarians](#)

[Societies](#)

Opportunities

[Reprints and e-prints](#)

[Advertising solutions](#)

[Accelerated publication](#)

[Corporate access solutions](#)

Open access

[Overview](#)

[Open journals](#)

[Open Select](#)

[Dove Medical Press](#)

[F1000Research](#)

Help and information

[Help and contact](#)

[Newsroom](#)

[All journals](#)

[Books](#)

Keep up to date

Register to receive personalised research and resources by email



Sign me up



Copyright © 2026 Informa UK Limited [Privacy policy](#)

[Cookies](#) [Terms & conditions](#) [Accessibility](#)

Registered in England & Wales No. 01072954
5 Howick Place | London | SW1P 1WG



Taylor & Francis
by informa