



Applied Economics Letters >

Volume 27, 2020 - Issue 1

311 | 8
Views | CrossRef citations to date | Altmetric

Articles

Vega-informed trading and options market reform

Doojin Ryu, Doowon Ryu & Heejin Yang

Pages 19-24 | Published online: 25 Apr 2019

Cite this article <https://doi.org/10.1080/13504851.2019.1606399>

Check for updates

Sample our
Economics, Finance,
Business & Industry Journals
**>> Sign in here to start your access
to the latest two volumes for 14 days**

Full Article

Figures & data

References

Citations

Metrics

Reprints & Permissions

Read this article

Share

ABSTRACT

This study examines the effect of reform to the KOSPI200 options market on volatility trading. We find that the information quality of net volatility demand significantly changes after the reform. The overall options demand for volatility does not predict spot market volatility before the market reform, but it does so after the reform. The significant information content of volatility trading can be attributed to the vega-weighted net demand of institutional investors.

KEYWORDS:

KOSPI200 options

Market microstructure

Market reform

Vega information

Volatility trading

JEL CLASSIFICATION:

Disclosure statement

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the authors.

Notes

¹ We classify options trades as those made by domestic individual investors, domestic institutional investors, and foreign institutional investors. The proportions and trading volumes of foreign individual investors and government-owned firms are negligible in the KOSPI200 options market.

² The lagged realized volatility (RV) is included in our model to take into account volatility persistency and clustering. If we do not control for the RV time series, the significantly estimated coefficients on the options demand measures do not guarantee volatility information content of options trading. When we incorporate more lagged RV terms into the regression equation, our conclusion remains the same.

³ For robustness, we construct some additional demand measures: 1) the net demand measure (e.g., $D_t = \sum K \sum T 1_{CtK, T} \partial_{CtK, T} \partial_{\sigma t} (\text{BuyCall}_{tK, T} - \text{SellCall}_{tK, T}) / \text{break} + \sum K \sum T 1_{PtK, T} \partial_{PtK, T} \partial_{\sigma t} (\text{BuyPut}_{tK, T} - \text{SellPut}_{tK, T})$); 2) the volume-adjusted demand measure (e.g., $PD_t = \sum K \sum T 1_{CtK, T} \partial_{CtK, T} \partial_{\sigma t} (\text{BuyCall}_{tK, T} / Ovt) + \sum K \sum T 1_{PtK, T} \partial_{PtK, T} \partial_{\sigma t} (\text{BuyPut}_{tK, T} / Ovt)$, where Ovt denotes the total options trading volume on day t .); and 3) the standardized demand measure that mitigates the multicollinearity problem (Kleinbaum, Kupper, and Muller [1988](#)). The additional tests based on these measures yield essentially the same conclusions.

Additional information

Funding

This work was supported by the IREC, The Institute of Finance and Banking, Seoul National University [IREC, The Institute of Finance and Banking, Seoul National University, Seoul].

Related research

People also read

Recommended articles

Cited by
8

Information for

Authors

R&D professionals

Editors

Librarians

Societies

Opportunities

Reprints and e-prints

Advertising solutions

Accelerated publication

Corporate access solutions

Open access

Overview

Open journals

Open Select

Dove Medical Press

F1000Research

Help and information

Help and contact

Newsroom

All journals

Books

Keep up to date

Register to receive personalised research and resources
by email

 Sign me up

