Applied Economics Letters ›
Volume 27, 2020 - Issue 1

250 Views | 6 CrossRef citations to date | 0 Altmetric

Articles

The demand for Divisia money in the United States: evidence from the CFS Divisia M3 aggregate

Gabriel De La Fuente, Luis P. De La Horra & Javier Perote

Pages 41-45 | Published online: 17 Apr 2019

Cite this article https://doi.org/10.1080/13504851.2019.1606403 Check for updates

Sample our Economics, Finance, Business & Industry Journals
>> Sign in here to start your access to the latest two volumes for 14 days

Full Article | Figures & data | References | Citations | Metrics

Reprints & Permissions | Read this article

ABSTRACT

In this p… States for the peri… loped by Barnett … into account … dition, Divisia a… gregates, prov… s. In a first stage, … In a second stage, w… cs. Consiste… le money demand… measured, can be u…

We Care About Your Privacy

We and our 843 partners store and/or access information on a device, such as unique IDs in cookies to process personal data. You may accept or manage your choices by clicking below, including your right to object where legitimate interest is used, or at any time in the privacy policy page. These choices will be signaled to our partners and will not affect browsing data. Privacy Policy

We and our partners process data to provide:

Use precise geolocation data. Actively scan device characteristics for identification. Store and/or access information on a device. Personalised advertising and content, advertising and content measurement, audience research and services development.

List of Partners (vendors)

I Accept
Essential Only
Show Purposes

KEYWORDS: Divisia money demand monetary aggregate cointegration

JEL CLASSIFICATION: C22 E41 E51

Acknowledgments

We gratefully acknowledge the financial support of the Spanish Ministry of Economy and Competitiveness under projects ECO2017-84864-P and ECO2016-75631-P.

Disclosure statement

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the authors.

Correction Statement

This article has been republished with minor changes. These changes do not impact the academic content of the article.

Notes

[1] Source stability.org/.

[2] The no

[3] When measure is the user interest rate Tieslau (1995) e of different cointegr the existenc ould not affect th

[4] The use of dummy variables in this context finds justification in money demand literature. See, for instance, Dreger and Wolters (2010) or Anderson, Bordo, and Duca (2017).

[5] Their estimates range between 0.70 and 0.90 for broad money aggregates (CFS Divisia M2 and CFS Divisia MZM) over the period 1983–2017.

[6] Unlike our initial model, this model excludes the deterministic trend for two reasons. First, when we include the trend, the variable representing the transactions demand for money becomes negative. Second, the opportunity cost of money, as measured by the three-month US Treasury Bill, becomes non-significant. These two results are at odds with both the theoretical and empirical literature on money demand, suggesting that the model is mis-specified when the trend is introduced.

Additional information

Funding

This work was supported by the Spanish Ministry of Economy and Competitiveness under research projects ECO2017-84864-P and ECO2016-75631-P.

Related research

Copyright © 2024 Informa UK Limited Privacy policy Cookies Terms & conditions

Taylor & Francis Group an informa business

Accessibi

Registered
5 Howick Pl