

260 Views | 6 CrossRef citations to date | 0 Altmetric


Articles

The demand for Divisia money in the United States: evidence from the CFS Divisia M3 aggregate

Gabriel De La Fuente , Luis P. De La Horra   & Javier Perote 


Pages 41-45 | Published online: 17 Apr 2019

 Cite this article  <https://doi.org/10.1080/13504851.2019.1606403>

 Check for updates

Sample our
Economics, Finance,
Business & Industry Journals

>> [Sign in here](#) to start your access to the latest two volumes for 14 days



 Full Article  Figures & data  References  Citations  Metrics

 Reprints

We Care About Your Privacy

We and our 878 partners store and access personal data, like browsing data or unique identifiers, on your device. Selecting I Accept enables tracking technologies to support the purposes shown under we and our partners process data to provide. Selecting Reject All or withdrawing your consent will disable them. If trackers are disabled, some content and ads you see may not be as relevant to you. You can resurface this menu to change your choices or withdraw consent at any time by clicking the Show Purposes link on the bottom of the webpage. Your choices will have effect within our Website. For more details, refer to our Privacy Policy. [Here](#)

We and our partners process data to provide:

Use precise geolocation data. Actively scan device

 I Accept

Reject All

Show Purpose



States for
developed by
e into
dition,
gregates,
s. In a first
In a second
cs.
ble money
y measured,

KEYWORDS: Divisia money demand monetary aggregate cointegration

JEL CLASSIFICATION: C22 E41 E51

Acknowledgments

We gratefully acknowledge the financial support of the Spanish Ministry of Economy and Competitiveness under projects ECO2017-84864-P and ECO2016-75631-P.

Disclosure statement

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the authors.

Correction Statement

This article has been republished with minor changes. These changes do not impact the academic content of the article.

Notes

¹ Source [stability.org/](#).

² The no

³ When the measure is

the u of interest

rate is o and Tieslau

(1995) p e of

different

cointegr the

existenc could not

affect th



⁴ The use of dummy variables in this context finds justification in money demand literature. See, for instance, Dreger and Wolters ([2010](#)) or Anderson, Bordo, and Duca ([2017](#)).

⁵ Their estimates range between 0.70 and 0.90 for broad money aggregates (CFS Divisia M2 and CFS Divisia MZM) over the period 1983–2017.

⁶ Unlike our initial model, this model excludes the deterministic trend for two reasons. First, when we include the trend, the variable representing the transactions demand for money becomes negative. Second, the opportunity cost of money, as measured by the three-month US Treasury Bill, becomes non-significant. These two results are at odds with both the theoretical and empirical literature on money demand, suggesting that the model is mis-specified when the trend is introduced.

Additional information

Funding

This work was supported by the Spanish Ministry of Economy and Competitiveness under research projects ECO2017-84864-P and ECO2016-75631-P.

Relate



×

Information for

- Authors
- R&D professionals
- Editors
- Librarians
- Societies

Opportunities

- Reprints and e-prints
- Advertising solutions
- Accelerated publication
- Corporate access solutions

Keep up to date

Register to receive personalised research and resources by email

 Sign me up

- 
- 
- 
- 
- 

Open access

- Overview
- Open journals
- Open Select
- Dove Medical Press
- F1000Research

Help and information

- Help and contact
- Newsroom
- All journals
- Books



Copyright

Accessib

Registered
5 Howick Pl

or & Francis Group
orma business