

258 | 6

Views | CrossRef citations to date | 0 | Altmetric

Articles

The demand for Divisia money in the United States: evidence from the CFS Divisia M3 aggregate

Gabriel De La Fuente , Luis P. De La Horra & Javier Perote

Pages 41-45 | Published online: 17 Apr 2019

Cite this article <https://doi.org/10.1080/13504851.2019.1606403>

Check for updates

Sample our
Economics, Finance,
Business & Industry Journals
>> [Sign in here](#) to start your access
to the latest two volumes for 14 days

Full Article

Reprints

ABSTRACT

In this paper

the period

Barnett

account

Divisia

providing

stage, w

stage, w

Consiste

demand

can be u

We Care About Your Privacy

We and our 855 partners store and access personal data, like browsing data or unique identifiers, on your device. Selecting "I Accept" enables tracking technologies to support the purposes shown under "we and our partners process data to provide," whereas selecting "Reject All" or withdrawing your consent will disable them. If trackers are disabled, some content and ads you see may not be as relevant to you. You can resurface this menu to change your choices or withdraw consent at any time by clicking the ["privacy preferences"] link on the bottom of the webpage [or the floating icon on the bottom-left of the webpage, if applicable]. Your choices will have effect within our Website. For more details, refer to our Privacy Policy. [Here](#)

We and our partners process data to provide:

.....

I Accept

Reject All

Show Purpose States for

Developed by

e into

dition,

gregates,

s. In a first

In a second

cs.

ble money

y measured,

Acknowledgments

We gratefully acknowledge the financial support of the Spanish Ministry of Economy and Competitiveness under projects ECO2017-84864-P and ECO2016-75631-P.

Disclosure statement

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the authors.

Correction Statement

This article has been republished with minor changes. These changes do not impact the academic content of the article.

Notes

¹ Source [stability.org/](#).

² The no

³ Wh  measure is
the us of interest
rate is o and Tieslau
(1995) p e of
different e the
cointegr could not
existenc
affect th

⁴ The use of dummy variables in this context finds justification in money demand literature. See, for instance, Dreger and Wolters ([2010](#)) or Anderson, Bordo, and Duca ([2017](#)).

⁵ Their estimates range between 0.70 and 0.90 for broad money aggregates (CFS Divisia M2 and CFS Divisia MZM) over the period 1983–2017.

⁶ Unlike our initial model, this model excludes the deterministic trend for two reasons. First, when we include the trend, the variable representing the transactions demand for money becomes negative. Second, the opportunity cost of money, as measured by the three-month US Treasury Bill, becomes non-significant. These two results are at odds with both the theoretical and empirical literature on money demand, suggesting that the model is mis-specified when the trend is introduced.

Additional information

Funding

This work was supported by the Spanish Ministry of Economy and Competitiveness under research projects ECO2017-84864-P and ECO2016-75631-P.

Related

Estimat

Ry
Aplic
Publishe



Information for

- Authors
- R&D professionals
- Editors
- Librarians
- Societies

Opportunities

- Reprints and e-prints
- Advertising solutions
- Accelerated publication
- Corporate access solutions

Open access

- Overview
- Open journals
- Open Select
- Dove Medical Press
- F1000Research

Help and information

- Help and contact
- Newsroom
- All journals
- Books

Keep up to date

Register to receive personalised research and resources by email

 Sign me up

- 
- 
- 
- 
- 

Copyright

Accessib

Registered
5 Howick Pl

or & Francis Group
orma business



✕

Cookie consent

Accept

Reject

Manage preferences