Taylor & Francis
Online

Home ▶ All Journals ▶ Economics, Finance & Business ▶ Applied Economics Letters ▶ List of Issues
▶ Volume 28, Issue 9 ▶ Corona, crisis and conditional heterosce ….

Research Article

Corona, crisis and conditional heteroscedasticity

Tamás Kiss & Pär Österholm ✉

Check for updates

📄 Full Article   🖼 Figures & data   📑 References   66 Citations   📊 Metrics

🖨 Reprints & Permissions   Read this article   ◁ Share

ABSTRACT

In this paper, we illustrate the macroeconomic risk associated with the early stage of the corona-virus outbreak. Using monthly data ranging from July 1991 to March 2020 on a recently developed coincidence indicator of global output growth, we estimate an autoregressive model with GARCH effects and non-Gaussian disturbances. Our results indicate that i) accounting for conditional heteroscedasticity is important and ii) risk, measured as the volatility of the shocks to the process, is at a very high level – largely on par with that experienced around the financial crisis of 2008–2009.

KEYWORDS:

GARCH   non-Gaussianity   fan charts   global output growth

JEL CLASSIFICATION:

Acknowledgments

Disclosure statement

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the authors.

Notes

[1] The Bank of England and the IMF instead employ methods that explicitly aim to account for time-variation in uncertainty; see Britton, Fisher, and Whitley (1998) and IMF (2009) for details.

[2] Abberger et al. (2020) also provide a leading composite indicator for global output growth. Unreported analysis (available on request) based on the leading indicator yields very similar results.

[3] For a fairly long time, the issue of time-varying volatility of the shocks hitting the economy has received a somewhat stepmotherly treatment in macroeconomics. While important contributions have been made by for example Stock and Watson (2002), Cogley and Sargent (2005) and Hamilton (2010), the vast majority of models being used assume that shocks are homoscedastic.

[4] Lag length was determined by applying the Schwarz (1978) information criterion to AR models assumed to be homoscedastic.

[5] The choice of a GARCH(1,1) specification was based on its robust usefulness in empirical work; see, for example, Hansen and Lunde (2005). The GARCH(1,1)

specification also seems to be appropriate when looking at the estimation results and tests shown in .

[6] An estimate of the half-life can be calculated as $\frac{\ln 0.5}{\ln \hat{\alpha}_1 + \hat{\alpha}_2}$.

Additional information

Funding

Related research ⓘ

People also read	Recommended articles	Cited by 2

Heteroscedasticity testing after outlier removal ›

Vanessa Berenguer-Rico et al.
Econometric Reviews
Published online: 17 Mar 2020

Information for

Authors

R&D professionals

Editors

Librarians

Societies

Opportunities

Reprints and e-prints

Advertising solutions

Accelerated publication

Corporate access solutions

Open access

Overview

Open journals

Open Select

Dove Medical Press

F1000Research

Help and information

Help and contact

Newsroom

All journals

Books

Keep up to date

Register to receive personalised research and resources by email

✉ Sign me up

Copyright © 2026   Informa UK Limited   Privacy policy

Cookies   Terms & conditions   Accessibility

Registered in England & Wales No. 01072954
5 Howick Place | London | SW1P 1WG

Taylor & Francis
by informa