

[Applied Mathematical Finance](#) >  
Volume 16, 2009 - Issue 6

384 Views | 38 CrossRef citations to date | 0 Altmetric

Original Articles

# Valuing the Guaranteed Minimum Death Benefit Clause with Partial Withdrawals

A. C. Bélanger, P. A. Forsyth  & G. Labahn

Pages 451-496 | Received 16 Jun 2008, Published online: 06 Nov 2009


 Download citation  <https://doi.org/10.1080/13504860903075464>

Sample our  
Economics, Finance,  
Business & Industry Journals  
>> [Sign in here](#) to start your access  
to the latest two volumes for 14 days

 Full Article  Figures & data  References  Supplemental  Citations  
 Metrics  Reprints & Permissions [Get access](#)

## Abstract

In this paper, we give a method for computing the fair insurance fee associated with the guaranteed minimum death benefit (GMDB) clause included in many variable annuity contracts. We allow for partial withdrawals, a common feature in most GMDB contracts, and determine how this affects the GMDB fair insurance charge. Our method models the GMDB pricing problem as an impulse control problem. The resulting quasi-variational inequality is solved numerically using a fully implicit penalty method. The numerical results are obtained under both constant volatility and regime-switching models. A complete analysis of the numerical procedure is included. We show that the discrete equations are stable, monotone and consistent and hence obtain convergence to the unique, continuous viscosity solution, assuming this exists. Our results show that the addition of the partial withdrawal feature significantly increases the fair insurance charge for GMDB contracts.

 Key Words: [Variable annuities](#) [guaranteed minimum death benefit \(GMDB\)](#) [viscosity solution](#)  
[impulse control](#) [fully implicit penalty method](#)

## Acknowledgement

This work was supported by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada.

## Notes

<sup>1</sup> Intuitively, this can be viewed as a discretely observed lookback option based on the maximum value of the underlying (Wilmott, 1998).

<sup>2</sup> We remark that our PDE approach can easily be extended to model different withdrawal policies. For example, an alternate withdrawal policy, whereby the deposit is reduced by the amount withdrawn but the death benefit is reduced on a proportional basis, could be easily implemented.

<sup>3</sup> Note that this is trivially true at .



## Related research

People also read

Recommended articles

Cited by  
38

[Variable annuity pricing, valuation, and risk management: a survey >](#)

Runhuan Feng et al.  
Scandinavian Actuarial Journal  
Published online: 28 Mar 2022

[Pricing guaranteed minimum withdrawal benefits under stochastic interest rates >](#)

Jingjiang Peng et al.  
Quantitative Finance  
Published online: 28 Apr 2010

[Pricing Annuity Contracts Under a Business Switching Model >](#)

X. Sheldon Lin ASA, PhD. et al.  
North American Actuarial Journal  
Published online: 28 Dec 2012

## Information for

[Authors](#)  
[R&D professionals](#)  
[Editors](#)  
[Librarians](#)  
[Societies](#)

## Opportunities

[Reprints and e-prints](#)  
[Advertising solutions](#)  
[Accelerated publication](#)  
[Corporate access solutions](#)

## Open access

[Overview](#)  
[Open journals](#)  
[Open Select](#)  
[Dove Medical Press](#)  
[F1000Research](#)

## Help and information

[Help and contact](#)  
[Newsroom](#)  
[All journals](#)  
[Books](#)

## Keep up to date

Register to receive personalised research and resources by email

 [Sign me up](#)

