



The European Journal of Finance >

Volume 16, 2010 - Issue 5

4,254 62 | 45
Views | CrossRef citations to date | Altmetric

Original Articles

Large debt financing: syndicated loans versus corporate bonds

Yener Altunbaş, Alper Kara & David Marques-Ibanez

Pages 437-458 | Published online: 20 Oct 2009

Cite this article <https://doi.org/10.1080/13518470903314394>

Sample our
Economics, Finance,
Business & Industry Journals
 >> [Sign in here](#) to start your access
to the latest two volumes for 14 days

Full Article

Figures & data

References

Citations

Metrics

Reprints & Permissions

Read this article

Share

Abstract

Following the introduction of the euro, the markets for large debt financing experienced a historical expansion. We investigate the financial factors behind the issuance of syndicated loans for an extensive sample of euro area non-financial corporations. For the first time, we compare these factors to those of its major competitor: the corporate bond market. We find that large firms, with greater financial leverage, more (verifiable) profits and higher liquidation values tend to choose syndicated loans. In contrast, firms with more short-term debt and those perceived by markets as having more growth opportunities favour financing through corporate bonds. Syndicated loans are the preferred instrument at the extreme where firms are very large, profitable but have less growth opportunities.

Keywords:

JEL Classification :

D40

F30

G21

Acknowledgements

The opinions expressed in this paper are those of the authors only and do not necessarily represent the views of the institutions they work for. We are very grateful to two anonymous referees, as well as to Juan Angel Garcia, Marco Io Duca, Steven Ongena, Dimitrios Rakitzis, Carmelo Salleo, Livio Stracca, Barry Howcroft, Mark Tippett and seminar participants at the Loughborough University Business School for very useful comments.

Notes

Our sample period does not incorporate the recent credit crisis in which syndicated loan issuance declined to a larger extend than corporate bond issuance.

This runs contrary to the Modigliani and Miller ([1958](#)) assumptions, which resulted in the 'irrelevance hypothesis' regarding corporate financing decisions.

Theoretically, these models would have the additional complication of the structure of the syndication arrangement ([Sufi 2007](#)).

The issuance of public debt requires substantial fees to be paid to the investment banks underwriting the debt securities. In addition, there are other payments, such as those relating to filing, legal, printing, and trustee fees.

This is in contrast with the extensive theoretical and empirical literature on firms' capital structure ([Tirole 2006](#)).

Both studies classify public debt as 'any publicly traded debt' and private debt as 'any other debt in a firm's books that is not publicly traded'.

This is in contrast to the bulk of previous empirical evidence on firms' financing decisions, which tend to be overwhelmingly based on US data.

Syndicated loan announcements have also been used to evaluate possible bank certification effects on the market value of a firm (Lummer and McConnel [1989](#); Billet, Flannery, and Garfinkel [1995](#); Meggison, Poulsen, and Sinkey [1995](#); Preece and Mullineaux [1996](#)). There is also evidence on the pricing of syndicated loans in relation to lender characteristics and the borrower's default risk (Angbazo, Mei, and Saunders [1998](#); Altman and Suggitt [2000](#); Hubbard, Kuttner, and Palia [2002](#); Thomas and Wang [2004](#); Coleman, Esho, and Sharpe [2006](#)). Yet again, almost all of the research on syndicated loan markets is overwhelmingly centred on the US (Bosch [2007](#) and Steffen and Wahrenburg [2008](#) are two recent interesting exceptions). In addition, this literature does not offer a comparison with the corporate bond market, which is, however, the most obvious benchmark candidate for the syndicated loan market. Thomas and Wang ([2004](#)) is an exception looking at price convergence.

In Section 5.2 we extend the analysis by including those observations where a firm issues both syndicated loans and bonds within a given year. In this alternative specification, we also extend the dependent variable to host the third option of joint issuance. This is further explained in Section 5.2.

Owing to a lack of variation in the discrete dependent variable that leads to a great loss of observations, we use random effect estimates throughout the study. To control for heteroscedasticity we use robust standard errors for multinomial logistic models.

See Smith and Watts ([1992](#)), Barclay and Smith ([1995](#)), Krishnaswami, Spindt, and Subramaniam ([1999](#)), Esho, Lam, and Sharpe ([2001](#)), and Denis and Mihov ([2003](#)). Ideally data on board level representation of lender banks would be extremely helpful to 'flesh out' the existence of a moral hazard problem. We have not found this data at the euro area level.

There are 164 firms included in Category IV ([Table 1](#)). In Model 2, we include issuance observations from Category IV firms when they only issue one type of debt within a year. These add up to 175 syndicated loan and 311 corporate bond observations. We exclude observations in which these firms issue both syndicated loan and corporate bond simultaneously within the same year.

For further details, see [Table 1](#). The total number of cases of debt issuance (2460) by all firms equals the sum of loans and bonds listed in the rows titled ‘Number of loans issued’ and ‘Number of bonds issued’ in [Table 1](#).

Due to data unavailability price factor is not tested in this paper.

Growth in sales (a backward-looking growth indicator) is also found to increase the likelihood of borrowing from bond markets, but only in Model 3.

There are 164 firms in Category IV ([Table 1](#)). In Model 2 only loans (175 observations) and bonds (311 observations) issued by Category IV firms in different years were included in the sample. In Model 3 we include observations from Category IV corresponding to joint issuances (i.e. years in which the firm issues both loan and a bond within the same year).

Other descriptive characteristics comparing the two sets of firms are provided in [Table 5](#).

Ideally, the analysis could have given better results if we had the opportunity to include bilateral loans and other private debt incurred by the firms in our sample. However, owing to data unavailability we rely only on the findings of previous studies.

To check for robustness we ran similar regressions with our original sample of 1377 firms by including the years in which they do not issue any debt. We find that firms’ characteristics affecting the choices of alternative debt options (bond, loan or both within the same year) are similar. This is due to the fact that the differences between the alternative choices are only present at marginal levels after the firms tap the market. However, these unreported findings only capture the characteristics affecting the firms’ decision of whether to borrow (via any of the three options) or not to borrow (no issuance) at all.

We also undertook regressions using debt as a proportion of total assets as a dependent variable. As the focus is on the marginal financing choices of firms we defined this variable as the amount of newly debt raised in the specific financial market (i.e. either bonds or syndicate loans) divided by total assets. Results, available upon request, pointed in the direction of using discrete choice models for the analysis of large debt financing.

The results for year dummy variables are not reported in tables but available upon request.

We only report coefficients of the new variables as our main findings do not display any variation in the new specifications.

Related Research Data

[The integration of bank syndicated loan and junk bond markets](#)

Source: Journal of Banking & Finance

[Monitoring and Reputation: The Choice between Bank Loans and Directly Placed Debt](#)

Source: Journal of Political Economy

[The Choice Between Public and Private Debt: An Analysis of Post-Deregulation](#)

[Corporate Financing in Japan](#)

Source: Unknown Repository

[What's different about banks?](#)

Source: Journal of Monetary Economics

[Default rates in the syndicated bank loan market: A mortality analysis](#)

Source: Journal of Banking & Finance

[How Do Firms Choose Their Lenders? An Empirical Investigation](#)

Source: Review of Financial Studies

[Key factors affecting internationally active banks' decisions to participate in loan syndications](#)

Related research

[People also read](#)

[Recommended articles](#)

Cited by
62

Information for

Authors

R&D professionals

Editors

Librarians

Societies

Opportunities

Reprints and e-prints

Advertising solutions

Accelerated publication

Corporate access solutions

Open access

Overview

Open journals

Open Select

Dove Medical Press

F1000Research

Help and information

Help and contact

Newsroom

All journals

Books

Keep up to date

Register to receive personalised research and resources
by email

 Sign me up

  

  

Copyright © 2026 Informa UK Limited Privacy policy Cookies Terms & conditions

Accessibility



Registered in England & Wales No. 01072954
5 Howick Place | London | SW1P 1WG