







Q

Home ▶ All Journals ▶ Economics, Finance & Business ▶ The European Journal of Finance ▶ List of Issues ▶ Volume 26, Issue 4-5 ▶ Financial literacy and student debt

#### The European Journal of Finance >

Volume 26, 2020 - <u>Issue 4-5: Financial Literacy and Responsible Finance in the FinTech Era: Capabilities and Challenges</u>

3,299 37
Views CrossRef citations to date Altmetric
Articles

# Financial literacy and student debt

Nikolaos Artavanis 🛂 & Soumya Karra

Pages 382-401 | Received 14 Jan 2019, Accepted 26 Nov 2019, Published online: 22 Jan 2020





### **ABSTRACT**

Using a large sample of over 1000 students from a major, land-grant, public university in Massachusetts, we examine the financial literacy level of college students, and its implications on the repayment of student debt. We find low levels of financial literacy (39.5%), particularly among female (26%), minority (24%) and first-generation (33%) students. Based on survey responses, we show that students with a deficit in financial literacy are more likely to underestimate future student loan payments; 38.2% of low-literacy students underestimate future payments by more than \$1000 annually, while high financial literacy reduces the probability of significant payment underestimation by 17–18 percentage points. Furthermore, we find evidence of a financial literacy wage gap as students with low financial literacy expect significantly lower starting salaries than their high-literacy peers. As a result, low-literacy students are more vulnerable to unexpected, adverse shocks on their payment-to-income ratios that can impair their future creditworthiness and undermine their ability to service debt post-graduation.



# Acknowledgements

The authors are thankful to Stefanos Kechagias, Georgios Panos, Carly Urban, George Tziros, two anonymous referees, and seminar participants at the IFIN 2018 (University of Glasgow), the FMA 2019 Annual meeting, the HEFW 2019 Summit, and Virginia Tech for helpful comments and suggestions. The authors also wish to thank student respondents who participated in our survey. All errors are our own.

### Disclosure statement

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the authors.

## Notes

- 1 It is important to note that if students also underestimate the amount of debt they hold, as suggested by Akers and Chingos (2014), then our estimates are by definition conservative and they constitute lower bounds of the actual underestimation.
- 2 This is the essence of the 'double-trigger' default models, where negative equity is a necessary condition, and a 'life event' ((e.g. job loss, death/illness, divorce) which results in inability to continue servicing debt is a sufficient condition for mortgage default (see Riddiough and Elliott Thompson 1991; Vandell 1995; Elul et al. 2010).
- 3 A deficit in financial literacy has been associated with a number of inefficient financial outcomes, including debt problems (Lusardi and Tufano 2015) and increased propensity to default (Gerardi, Goette, and Meier 2013; Urban et al. 2014), suboptimal investment

strategies (Van Rooij, Lusardi, and Alessie <u>2011</u>; Hastings and Tejeda-Ashton <u>2008</u>) and use of credit instruments (Lusardi and Tufano <u>2015</u>), lower rates of wealth accumulation (Lusardi, Michaud, and Mitchell <u>2017</u>) and less efficient wealth management (Hilgert, Hogarth, and Beverly <u>2003</u>) and retirement planning (Lusardi and Mitchell <u>2007</u>, <u>2008</u>).

- 4 We find that students that respond 'I do not know' in questions 1 and 2 are less likely to answer the remaining two questions correctly and more likely to answer them both incorrectly. However, this pattern is reversed in question 3. Interestingly, the first two questions involve computations, while the third relies purely on financial knowledge. It is plausible that students with strong numerical skills but no financial knowledge, prefer to abstain from answering the last question.
- 5 It is important to note that our minority student sample size is limited (71), but it is representative of the university's enrollment.
- 6 The financial literacy level of Asian students is not due to the influx of international students; in fact, these students exhibit similar literacy rates (38.9%) to Asian in-state students.
- 7 We note that these figures are self-reported. Akers and Chingos (2014) find that undergraduates underestimate the amount of student debt they hold, in which case, our estimates can be seen as a lower bound of the actual underestimation of student loan payments.
- 8 Among students who report their interest rate, about 30% of minority and 23% of first-generation students borrow at the high-cost brackets (6–12%), when the respective percentage for the entire sample is just 17%.
- 9 In a recent study, Anderson, Conzelmann, and Austin Lacy (2018) find that student borrowers exhibit higher student debt literacy than non-borrowers. We do not find any corroborating evidence here, however we should point out that we are looking at financial literacy from the standard 'Big-Three' questions, while Anderson, Conzelmann, and Austin Lacy (2018) define debt literacy as the level of awareness on government provisions related to student debt repayment.
- 10 Our results on the relative underestimation of actual payments of high and lowliteracy students remain robust, if we include these observations.

- 11 For instance, due to the 'in-bracket' range of the expected payment question and the use of midpoints, a bias of up to \$600 may appear, even if the student responds correctly. This is the case, for example, if the actual monthly payment is \$200 and the respondent marks \$200–300 per month as the expected amount to pay. Due to the use of the midpoint \$250, the student will appear to underestimate her payments by \$600 annually.
- 12 This creates a different type of measurement error that we attempt to address by focusing on large payment underestimations (see endnote 11).
- 13 For the extreme brackets 'less than \$30,000' and 'more than \$70,000', we use \$25,000 and \$75,000, respectively.
- 14 Differences in expected remuneration between low and high financial literacy seniors remain large, but the power of the sample is low, due to the reduced sample size.
- 15 Widely used cutoff points are 36% for total debt (Quercia, McCarthy, and Wachter 2003) and 28% for just housing expenses (front-end ratio). Federal Housing Administration limits for qualifying mortgages are 31% and 43%, for front-end and back-end ratios, respectively.
- 16 It should be noted that our estimates are conservative as expected salaries refer to before-taxes income.
- 17 See Sections 3.3 and 3.4 for a discussion on these concerns.



Information for

**Authors** 

**R&D** professionals

**Editors** 

Librarians

**Societies** 

Opportunities

Reprints and e-prints

Advertising solutions

Accelerated publication

Corporate access solutions

Open access

Overview

Open journals

**Open Select** 

**Dove Medical Press** 

F1000Research

Help and information

Help and contact

Newsroom

All journals

**Books** 

#### Keep up to date

Register to receive personalised research and resources by email



Sign me up











Accessibility



Copyright © 2025 Informa UK Limited Privacy policy Cookies Terms & conditions



Registered in England & Wales No. 01072954 5 Howick Place | London | SW1P 1WG