



Feminist Economics >

Volume 19, 2013 - [Issue 3: Critical and Feminist Perspectives on Financial and Economic Crises](#)

2,948 87

Views | CrossRef citations to date | Altmetric

8

Articles

Race, Gender, Power, and the US Subprime Mortgage and Foreclosure Crisis: A Meso Analysis

Gary DymSKI , Jesus Hernandez & Lisa Mohanty

Pages 124-151 | Published online: 03 May 2013

 Cite this article  <https://doi.org/10.1080/13545701.2013.791401>

Sample our
Social Sciences
Journals



>> [Sign in here](#) to start your access
to the latest two volumes for 14 days

 Full Article

 Figures & data

 References

 Citations

 Metrics

 Reprints & Permissions

Read this article

 Share

Abstract

This study addresses two largely unanswered questions about the United States subprime crisis: why were minority applicants, who had been excluded from equal access to mortgage credit prior to the spread of subprime loans, superincluded in subprime mortgage lending? And why didn't the flood of mortgage credit in the 2000s housing boom – an oversupply of credit suggesting supercompetition – reduce the proportion of minority and women borrowers burdened with unpayable subprime mortgages? This contribution develops a meso analysis showing how banking strategies were shaped by and reinforced patterns of racial and gender inequality, permitting lenders in evolving financial markets to offer new loan instruments to previously excluded loan applicants, and to exercise social power over – and thus extract rent from – these borrowers.

Keywords:

Race subprime mortgages discrimination foreclosure power meso analysis

JEL Codes:

G21 D02 B41

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors acknowledge the helpful comments of Arthur Paris, Jessica Gordon Nembhard, Stephanie Seguino, and of the anonymous referees of this journal on preliminary drafts of this paper. Any remaining errors are the authors' responsibility.

Notes

Only two of these references mention racial or gender discrimination per se; and these papers caution that definitive evidence of this sort of discrimination has not been shown.

Further, the terms "subprime" and "crisis" appeared jointly in 272 NBER working papers; only ten of these (3.7 percent) also used the word "discrimination" at least once. The terms "foreclosure" and "crisis" appeared jointly in 103 papers, with only seven (6.8 percent) also using the word "discrimination" at least once.

This argument has been forcefully debunked – see, for example, Elizabeth Laderman and Carolina Reid (2008) – and is not discussed further here. Nonetheless, Levine ([2010](#)) makes an argument about the influence of the CRA that is very similar to Wallison's ([2009](#)).

The term "segregation" is used here to denote geographic areas in which a disproportionate percentage of residents are racial minorities.

California Reinvestment Coalition [CRC], Community Reinvestment Association of North Carolina, Empire Justice Center, Massachusetts Affordable Housing Alliance,

Neighborhood Economic Development Advocacy Project, Ohio Fair Lending Coalition, and Woodstock Institute (2010).

For 1998–2002 loans, these authors found that a 1 percent decrease in housing price appreciation was associated with a 7 percent increase in foreclosures and a 3 percent decrease in distressed prepaid loans.

For further elaboration on the legal context of discrimination law, and for summaries of empirical research on credit market and housing market discrimination, see Gary A. Dymksi ([2006](#)) and Devah Pager and Hana Shepherd (2008).

Joseph E. Stiglitz and Andrew Weiss (1981) show how banks might rationally redline minority neighborhoods if race is correlated with repayment risk.

Goolsbee ([2007](#)) describes the positive social and economic impacts of subprime lending.

Carolyn B. Aldana and Gary A. Dymksi ([2004](#)) illustrate these patterns for Los Angeles County, California, in the 1990s.

HMDA data are used. [Figure 1](#) presents an interrupted time-series because HMDA data are not available as continuous time-series. Raw HMDA data require “scrubbing” before they can be reliably reported, and different analysts use different conventions when scrubbing. [Figure 1](#) uses exclusively data reported in Glenn B. Canner and Wayne Passmore (1994), Robert B. Avery, Kenneth P. Brevoort, and Glenn B. Canner (2006, 2008), and Robert B. Avery, Neil Bhutta, Kenneth P. Brevoort, and Glenn B. Canner (2010).

This structural situation virtually invites fraud; and according to the FCIC's (2010) thorough report, fraud permeated many aspects of the subprime lending and securitization process.

Several rate sheets used by large California mortgage companies in 2006 show the incentives at work. For example, raising the initial start rate by 0.5 percent would result in a 1 percent “rebate” to the loan broker – on a \$ 300,000 loan, this would work out to a bonus of \$ 3,000.

Avis Jones-DeWeever ([2009](#)) presents race and gender disparities for 2007 subprime loan ratios very similar to those shown here for 2005.

Note that applicants' average income levels are relatively flat by race and ethnicity across racial and ethnic residential concentration categories. That is, statistics shown in [Figures 3](#) and [4](#) are not proxying for income differentials.

This near-unanimity of view may be changing. A recent Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis working paper concludes that “despite decades of policies to eliminate racial discrimination and redlining, minorities are paying more for their loans and borrowers in historically credit-disadvantaged neighborhoods still do not have equal access to credit markets” (Andra C. Ghent, Rubén Hernández-Murillo, and Michael T. Owyang 2011: 32).

Related Research Data

[Housing-Finance Intervention and Private Incentives: Helping Minorities and the Poor](#)

Source: Journal of money credit and banking

[Race, ethnicity and subprime home loan pricing](#)

Source: Journal of Economics and Business

[SUBPRIME MORTGAGE SEGMENTATION IN THE AMERICAN URBAN SYSTEM](#)

Source: Tijdschrift voor Economische en Sociale Geografie

[From the Subprime to the Exotic: Excessive Mortgage Market Risk and Foreclosures](#)

Source: Journal of the American Planning Association

[The cultural affinity hypothesis and mortgage lending decisions](#)

Source: The Journal of Real Estate Finance and Economics

[The Economics of Discrimination](#)

Source: Unknown Repository

[A Critical Approach to the Subprime Mortgage Crisis in the United States: Rethinking the Public Sector in Housing](#)

Source: City and Community

Related research

People also read

Recommended articles

Cited by
87

[The Asian Crisis, Gender, and the International Financial Architecture](#) >

Nahid Aslanbeigui et al.
Feminist Economics
Published online: 2 Dec 2010

Depletion: THE COST OF SOCIAL REPRODUCTION >

Shirin M. Rai et al.

International Feminist Journal of Politics

Published online: 22 May 2013

International financial subordination: a critical research agenda >

Ilias Alami et al.

Review of International Political Economy

Published online: 19 Jul 2022



Information for

[Authors](#)

[R&D professionals](#)

[Editors](#)

[Librarians](#)

[Societies](#)

Opportunities

[Reprints and e-prints](#)

[Advertising solutions](#)

[Accelerated publication](#)

[Corporate access solutions](#)

Open access

[Overview](#)

[Open journals](#)

[Open Select](#)

[Dove Medical Press](#)

[F1000Research](#)

Help and information

[Help and contact](#)

[Newsroom](#)

[All journals](#)

[Books](#)

Keep up to date

Register to receive personalised research and resources by email



Sign me up



Copyright © 2026 Informa UK Limited [Privacy policy](#)

[Cookies](#) [Terms & conditions](#) [Accessibility](#)

Registered in England & Wales No. 01072954
5 Howick Place | London | SW1P 1WG



Taylor & Francis
by informa