





Home ▶ All Journals ▶ Feminist Economics ▶ List of Issues ▶ Volume 19, Issue ▶ Critical Perspectives on Financial and E

Feminist Economics >

Volume 19, 2013 - Issue 3: Critical and Feminist Perspectives on Financial and Economic Crises

3,529 42
Views CrossRef citations to date Altmetric

Introduction

Repri

Abstra

This con

consequ

distribut

theor

inegl

role of fi

inequali

distribut

econom

role of st

contribu

Critical Perspectives on Financial and Economic Crises: Heterodox Macroeconomics Meets Feminist Economics

Sakiko Fukuda-Parr ≥, James Heintz & Stephanie Seguino

Pages 4-31 | Published online: 16 Aug 2013

Sample our
Economics, Finance,
Business & Industry Journals
>> Sign in here to start your access to the latest two volumes for 14 days

Full Article Figures & data Refe

We Care About Your Privacy

We and our 855 partners store and access personal data, like browsing data or unique identifiers, on your device. Selecting "I Accept" enables tracking technologies to support the purposes shown under "we and our partners process data to provide," whereas selecting "Reject All" or withdrawing your consent will disable them. If trackers are disabled, some content and ads you see may not be as relevant to you. You can resurface this menu to change your choices or withdraw consent at any time by clicking the ["privacy preferences"] link on the bottom of the webpage [or the floating icon on the bottom-left of the webpage, if applicable]. Your choices will have effect within our Website. For more details, refer to our Privacy Policy. Here

We and our partners process data to provide:

I Accept

Reject All

Show Purpose es,

economic

tergroup

n the causal

e and wealth

der

ugh

phasizes the

1

. The study

underscores the long-run effects of the 2006 chais on well-being, highlighted in feminist

economists' research on social reproduction and often missed in the macroeconomics literature.

Q Keywords: Stratification financialization macroeconomics crisis

Q JEL Codes: Z13 B54 E44

Acknowledgements

The authors thank Randy Albelda, Philip Arestis, Gary Dymski, Marilyn Power, Frances Stewart, and the participants in the joint IAFFE/UN Women symposium held in New York, January 21–22, 2013, for helpful comments on and suggestions for this introduction. We are grateful to the Swedish International Development Cooperation Agency for generous financial support for the symposium as well as to UN Women for their collaboration and financial support. Finally, we are indebted to a large number of colleagues and scholars who served as reviewers of papers and workshop discussants.

Notes

X this project. Authors he true We date global e ticularly within th Branko oncepts, 1, 2, ang a weighted Gini, that global inequali nism" Mahmud (2012: 4In partic sector more that

Married families have done better, with poverty rates holding steady at around 4.9 percent until the onset of the crisis, and then rising to approximately 6.2 percent (US Census Bureau 2012).

Bordo and Meissner differ from many others in arguing that inequality was not at the root of the crisis, though they would appear to be missing the demand side of the credit equation with this claim.

The 1999 Financial Services Modernization Act (that is, the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act) removed barriers established by the Glass-Steagall Act of 1933, which prevented a single financial institution from simultaneously operating as a commercial bank, an insurance company, and an investment bank.

Here, too, the role of inequality is evident. Instead of relying on domestic demand to stimulate growth, developing countries rely on the demand stimulus from exports. The resulting global competition puts downward pressure on wages in developing countries as well as developed economies.

Indeed, much of the developing world coped better with the crisis than developed economies. In addition to China, Brazil, and India proved to be more resilient to the crisis, due to their ability to mount expansionary countercyclical policies, as well as ditions - low social sa X ars (Deepak inflation Nayyar : lities See Ingr ation. approac Human cuda-Parr, artha and D Nuss There ar ٦d Stephan macroed perspect A recent าat men's


nanges in

dominar

the US economy and decline in full-time employment in the manufacturing sector (Hanna Rosin 2012). Stephanie Coontz (2012) argues persuasively that the myth of male decline is exaggerated, although she does emphasize the stagnating effect of norms of masculinity that prevent men from adapting to the new economy.

The trajectory of repercussions differed widely, however, as a result of collective action. For example, the Occupy movement transformed the debate on austerity measures in the US. So, too, did efforts to roll back the public sector and reduce workers' bargaining power in states such as Wisconsin. We are grateful to Randy Albelda (personal communication) for emphasizing these points and the role of agency in influencing the impact of the crisis.

Construction and manufacturing were also male-dominated in European countries affected by the crisis, and therefore these countries also experienced large initial negative effects on men's employment. See Ailsa McKay, Jim Campbell, Emily Thomson, and Susanne Ross (2013; this volume) and Maria Karamessini (forthcoming) for the gendered labor market dynamics in the UK and Greece, respectively.



Related research (1)

research

People also read

Recommended articles

Cited by 42

Information for Open access

Authors Overview

R&D professionals Open journals

Editors Open Select

Librarians Dove Medical Press

Societies F1000Research

Opportunities Help and information

Reprints and e-prints Help and contact

Advertising solutions Newsroom

Accelerated publication All journals

Corporat

X

Keep up

Register t by email



Sign







Accessib

Registered 5 Howick P or & Francis Group