

New Political Economy >

Volume 13, 2008 - Issue 4

1,960

Views

43

CrossRef citations to date

6

Altmetric

Articles

Korea's Recovery since the 1997/98 Financial Crisis: The Last Stage of the Developmental State

Thomas Kalinowski

Pages 447-462 | Published online: 15 Nov 2008

🗨️ Cite this article 🔗 <https://doi.org/10.1080/13563460802436616>

Sample our
Politics & International
Relations Journals

>> [Sign in here](#) to start your access
to the latest two volumes for 14 days

📄 Full Article

📊 Figures & data

🗨️ Citations

📊 Metrics

📄 Reprints & Permissions

Read this article

We Care About Your Privacy

We and our 843 partners store and/or access information on a device, such as unique IDs in cookies to process personal data. You may accept or manage your choices by clicking below, including your right to object where legitimate interest is used, or at any time in the privacy policy page. These choices will be signaled to our partners and will not affect browsing data. [Privacy Policy](#)

We and our partners process data to provide:

Use precise geolocation data. Actively scan device characteristics for identification. Store and/or access information on a device. Personalised advertising and content, advertising and content measurement, audience research and services development.

List of Partners (vendors)

I Accept

Essential Only

Show Purpose



Korea's Recovery since the 1997/98 Financial Crisis: The Last Stage of the Developmental State

THOMAS KALINOWSKI

In this article, I challenge the view that Korea's successful macroeconomic recovery from the 1997/98 financial crisis was the result of market-oriented reforms and International Monetary Fund (IMF)-prescribed structural adjustments. I show that the recovery was mainly achieved by the 'traditional strengths' of the pre-crisis development model, resting on export orientation and strong state capacity, rather than a transformation into a new 'neoliberal' state. However, I also show that economic liberalisation and market reforms undermine state capacity and restrict a proactive and developmental role of the state. In short, the successful recovery was the last stage of the developmental state in Korea.

The article is organised as follows. In section one, I provide a critical review of the relevant literature on Korean post-crisis restructuring and present my argument in more detail. In section two, I show that the main contributor in stabilising the economy and replenishing foreign currency reserves was Korea's exports, and not the process of regaining foreign investors' confidence through market opening and financial liberalisation. In section three, I illustrate the importance of state intervention for the economic recovery of Korea and, in section four, I argue that this state capacity is a product of the Korean developmental state, which has slowly been undermined by market-oriented reforms. I conclude in section five that Korea's successful recovery has been based on the two pillars of export orientation and state expansion, which face internal and external limitations, making a similar strategy unviable for other countries and even for potential future crises in Korea.

Korea since 1997: restructured or remodelled?



The official IMF position can be found in the Executive Board assessments. For example, the assessment of the IMF Executive Board in the 1999 Article IV Consultations. IMF, 'IMF Concludes Article IV Consultation with Korea', Public Information Notice (PIN) No. 99/115, <http://www.imf.org/external/np/sec/pn/1999/pn99115.htm> (accessed 18 March 2008). The IMF country reports and the large number of staff working papers offer a background analysis of the official view, which highlights the role of market reforms in economic recovery. See for example, IMF Staff, Staff Report for the 2004 Article IV Consultation (Washington DC, 2005), or look at the book edited by former IMF representatives in Seoul: David Coe & Se-Jik Kim (eds), *Korean Crisis and Recovery* (International Monetary Fund, 2002).

Frank Veneroso & Robert Wade, 'The Asian Crisis: The High Debt Model Versus the Wall Street-Treasury-IMF Complex', *New Left Review*, No. 228 (1998), pp. 3-20; Robert Wade, 'From "Miracle" to "Cronyism": Explaining the Great Asian Slump' (1998); Ha-Joon Chang, J. Gabriel Palma & D. Hugh Whittaker, *Financial Liberalization and the Asian Crisis* (Palgrave, 2001); Ha-Joon Chang, Hong-Jae Park & Chul Gyue Yoo, 'Interpreting the Korean Crisis: Financial Liberalisation, Industrial Policy and Corporate Governance', *Cambridge Journal of Economics*, Vol. 22, No. 6 (1998), pp. 735-46; Seung-Il Jeong, *Crisis and Restructuring in East Asia: The Case of the Korean Chaebol and the Automotive Industry* (Palgrave Macmillan, 2004).

The most important publications on the developmental state in Korea are Alice H. Amsden, *Asia's Next Giant: South Korea and Late Industrialization* (Oxford University Press, 1989); Meredith Woo-Cumings, *Race to the Swift: State and Finance in Korean Industrialization* (Oxford University Press, 1999); Eunhyang Lee, *The Korean Developmental State* (Oxford University Press, 2004); Robert Wade, 'From "Miracle" to "Cronyism": Explaining the Great Asian Slump' (1998); Ha-Joon Chang, Hong-Jae Park & Chul Gyue Yoo, 'Interpreting the Korean Crisis: Financial Liberalisation, Industrial Policy and Corporate Governance', *Cambridge Journal of Economics*, Vol. 22, No. 6 (1998), pp. 735-46; Seung-Il Jeong, *Crisis and Restructuring in East Asia: The Case of the Korean Chaebol and the Automotive Industry* (Palgrave Macmillan, 2004).

The most important publications on the developmental state in Korea are Alice H. Amsden, *Asia's Next Giant: South Korea and Late Industrialization* (Oxford University Press, 1989); Meredith Woo-Cumings, *Race to the Swift: State and Finance in Korean Industrialization* (Oxford University Press, 1999); Eunhyang Lee, *The Korean Developmental State* (Oxford University Press, 2004); Robert Wade, 'From "Miracle" to "Cronyism": Explaining the Great Asian Slump' (1998); Ha-Joon Chang, Hong-Jae Park & Chul Gyue Yoo, 'Interpreting the Korean Crisis: Financial Liberalisation, Industrial Policy and Corporate Governance', *Cambridge Journal of Economics*, Vol. 22, No. 6 (1998), pp. 735-46; Seung-Il Jeong, *Crisis and Restructuring in East Asia: The Case of the Korean Chaebol and the Automotive Industry* (Palgrave Macmillan, 2004).



See, for example, Hyun-Chin Lim & Jin-Ho Jang, 'Neo-Liberalism in Post-Crisis South Korea: Social Conditions and Outcomes', *Journal of Contemporary Asia*, Vol. 36, No. 4 (2006), pp. 442-63.

See, for example, the collection of papers by Ha Joon Chang, *The East Asian Development Experience*.

Linda Weiss, 'State Power and the Asian Crisis', *New Political Economy*, Vol. 4, No. 3 (1999), pp. 317-42; Elizabeth Thurbon & Linda Weiss, 'Investing in Openness: The Evolution of FDI Strategy in South Korea and Taiwan', *New Political Economy*, Vol. 11, No. 1 (2006), pp. 1-22.

Steven Kent Vogel, *Japan Remodeled: How Government and Industry Are Reforming Japanese Capitalism* (Cornell University Press, 2006).

Iain Pirie, 'The New Korean State', *New Political Economy*, Vol. 10, No. 1 (2005), pp. 25-42.

Theda Skocpol, 'Bringing the State Back In: Strategies of Analysis in Current Research', in Peter B. Evans, Dietrich Rueschemeyer & Theda Skocpol (eds), *Bringing the State Back In* (Cambridge University Press, 1985), p. 16.

Evans, *Embedded Autonomy*.

Pirie, 'The New Korean State'.

Former IMF director Horst Koehler proposed that 'the close cooperation between Korea and the [US] ... acts serves as a model ...', *...*, September 2008).
<http://www...>

The refer... ted 3
Dec...
<http://www...> (2008).

See Sam... t, A Review
of the K...



See, for example, B.: IMF Independent Evaluation Office, The IMF and Recent Capital Account Crisis: Indonesia, Korea, Brazil, Evaluation Report (IMF, 2003).

Based on data from the KOSIS database of the Bank of Korea, <http://www.bok.or.kr> (accessed 30 May 2008).

Thurbon & Weiss, 'Investing in Openness'.

See Asian Development Bank statistics, <http://www.adb.org> (accessed 19 November 2007).

Korea's GINI coefficient increased significantly from 0.283 in 1997 to 0.310 in 2004. This is the third most unequal distribution of income in the OECD, with only Mexico and the USA showing greater disparity. (See Joong Ang Ilbo, 25 February 2005, and National Statistical Service, Annual Report on the Household and Expenditure Survey, Seoul (various years)).

Korea Times, 12 March 2003.

The Economist, 21 October 2006.

This statistic excluded investments from Hong Kong and the British Virgin Islands to the PRC. (See Table 2 at The US/China Business Council, <http://www.uschina.org/statistics/2005foreigninvestment.html>).

IMF Staff, 'Staff Report for the 2004 Article IV Consultation', p. 6.

National ber 2007).

See OEC

See IMF,

See IMF,

OECD

Thurbon

Thomas

Compar



The share of people who said that 'democracy was always preferable' dropped from 69 per cent in 1997 to 49 per cent in 2001/02. On the other hand the share of people who said that 'authoritarianism is sometimes preferable' increased from 20 to 33 per cent in the same period of time. See Asia Barometer Project, Korea Barometer Survey, several years, <http://www.koreabarometer.org> (accessed 29 September 2008).

Related research

People also read

Recommended articles

Cited by
43



Information for

- Authors
- R&D professionals
- Editors
- Librarians
- Societies

Opportunities

- Reprints and e-prints
- Advertising solutions
- Accelerated publication
- Corporate access solutions

Open access

- Overview
- Open journals
- Open Select
- Dove Medical Press
- F1000Research

Help and information

- Help and contact
- Newsroom
- All journals
- Books

Keep up to date

Register to receive personalised research and resources by email

 Sign me up



✕