Taylor & Francis
Online

Home ▶ All Journals ▶ Global Development ▶ Oxford Development Studies ▶ List of Issues
▶ Volume 40, Issue 2 ▶ Foreign Aid: Good for Investment, Bad fo ....

Articles

# Foreign Aid: Good for Investment, Bad for Productivity

Eskander Alvi & Aberra Senbeta ✉

 Cite this article     https://doi.org/10.1080/13600818.2012.675053

 Full Article      Figures & data      References     Citations      Metrics

 Reprints & Permissions      Read this article      Share

## Abstract

This paper examines the effects of aid on sources of growth: capital accumulation and total factor productivity (TFP) growth; the latter captures the effect on growth after removing the contribution of factor accumulation. Given the role of TFP in explaining cross-country differences in income levels and growth rates, the productivity effect can play a significant role in explaining the impact of aid on growth. Contradictory effects of aid were found: aid boosts investment but adversely affects TFP, suggesting that efficiency losses may undermine the overall effects of aid on growth. It was also found that aid reduces the efficacy of financial institutions in supporting productivity growth, a surprising result that possibly illuminates the nature of aid distribution in receiving countries.

# Notes

1. The significance of TFP growth in explaining cross-country variations in the level and growth of income has been questioned by some studies, which found no significant role for TFP growth. For instance, Young ([1995](#)) shows that factor accumulation was key to the growth miracle of some East Asian countries. Abu-Qarn & Abu-Bader ([2007](#)) also examined the sources of growth in 10 Middle East and North African countries and found that the explanatory power of variation in TFP growth is negligible.

2. Average annual growth rate of TFP is computed as $TFP\ growth = [\ln A_t - \ln A_{t-1}] * 100 / 5$.

3. Ø(s) is a piecewise linear function with different slopes. For instance, the return to education for ≤ 4 years of education is assumed to be 13%.

4. Hausman test for random-effects versus fixed-effects model (with null hypothesis of random-effects model); we reject the null with $\chi_{(11)}^2 = 44.42\ (0.0000)$.

5. In all cases, we do not reject the null that the instruments are valid and there is no second-order autocorrelation.

6. The results are available on request.

7. The excluded countries are China, Democratic Republic of Congo, Iraq, Malawi, Mozambique and Papua New Guinea.

---

Related Research Data

The Tyranny of Numbers: Confronting the Statistical Realities of the East Asian Growth Experience
Source: The Quarterly Journal of Economics

Sources of Growth Revisited: Evidence from Selected MENA Countries
Source: World Development

Through the Looking Glass, and What OLS Found There: On Growth, Foreign Aid, and Reverse Causality
Source: SSRN Electronic Journal

The effects of openness, trade orientation, and human capital on total factor productivity

Source: Journal of Development Economics

Can the World Cut Poverty in Half? How Policy Reform and Effective Aid Can Meet International Development Goals

# Related research ⓘ

The cartel of good intentions: The problem of bureaucracy in foreign aid  >

William Easterly
The Journal of Policy Reform
Published online: 27 Oct 2010

## Information for

Authors

R&D professionals

Editors

Librarians

Societies

## Opportunities

Reprints and e-prints

Advertising solutions

Accelerated publication

Corporate access solutions

## Open access

Overview

Open journals

Open Select

Dove Medical Press

F1000Research

## Help and information

Help and contact

Newsroom

All journals

Books

## Keep up to date

Register to receive personalised research and resources by email

✉ Sign me up

Copyright © 2026   Informa UK Limited     Privacy policy

Cookies     Terms & conditions     Accessibility

Registered in England & Wales No. 01072954
5 Howick Place | London | SW1P 1WG

Taylor & Francis
by informa