

Aquaculture Economics & Management >

Volume 11, 2007 - [Issue 3](#)

333 | 36 | 6
Views | CrossRef citations to date | Altmetric

Original Articles

TESTING FOR MARKET INTEGRATION AND THE LAW OF ONE PRICE IN WORLD SHRIMP MARKETS

Ferdinand D. Vinuya 

Pages 243-265 | Published online: 19 Oct 2007

 Cite this article  <https://doi.org/10.1080/13657300701530142>

Sample our
Economics, Finance,
Business & Industry Journals
>> [Sign in here](#) to start your access
to the latest two volumes for 14 days

 Full Article  Figures & data  References  Citations  Metrics

 Reprints & Permissions

[Read this article](#)

[Share](#)

Abstract

Using import price data from Japan, United States, and the European Union, shrimp price movements in these markets were evaluated to test if it denotes an integrated world market for shrimp. Cointegration techniques were utilized to investigate if prices in these markets share a common stochastic trend and if the law of one price holds. Results indicated a strong link amongst Japanese, American, and European markets. The results on the aggregated shrimp markets were checked against the results at a more disaggregated level. Data from wholesale markets in Tokyo, New York, and Europe for specific shrimp products confirm the integrated nature of shrimp markets. Evidence also supports the law of one price in shrimp markets.

Keywords:

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author gratefully acknowledges comments on earlier drafts from David Barkley, Ferdinand DiFurio, Michael Hammig, Mark Henry, Celeste Regino, and anonymous referees. All remaining errors are the author's. The findings, interpretations, and conclusions expressed in this article are entirely those of the author. They do not necessarily represent the views of the World Bank, its Executive Directors, or the countries it represents.

Notes

**Indicates significance at the 1% level.

*Indicates significance at the 5% level.

Critical value at 1% level is -3.48 with constant and -4.03 with a trend.

Critical value at 5% level is -2.88 with a constant and -3.45 with a constant and trend (MacKinnon, 1996).

**Denotes rejection of the hypothesis at 5% level.

*Denotes rejection of the hypothesis at 10% level.

***Denotes rejection of the hypothesis at 1% level.

Note: NY = New York, Tok = Tokyo, EU = European Union, USBr = U.S. Brown, IndWht = India White, IndoWht = Indonesia White, IndBr = India Brown, MexWht = Mexico White, and EcuWht = Ecuador White ***, **, * denotes rejection of the hypothesis at 1%, 5%, and 10% level, respectively.

Note: ***, **, * Denote rejection of the hypothesis at 1%, 5%, and 10% levels, respectively.

People also read

Recommended articles

Cited by
36

Information for

- Authors
- R&D professionals
- Editors
- Librarians
- Societies

Opportunities

- Reprints and e-prints
- Advertising solutions
- Accelerated publication
- Corporate access solutions

Open access

- Overview
- Open journals
- Open Select
- Dove Medical Press
- F1000Research

Help and information

- Help and contact
- Newsroom
- All journals
- Books

Keep up to date

Register to receive personalised research and resources by email

 Sign me up

