

989 | 67 | 4  
Views | CrossRef citations to date | Altmetric

Research Articles

# An improved Fuzzy Kappa statistic that accounts for spatial autocorrelation

Alex Hagen-Zanker 

Pages 61-73 | Received 08 Aug 2008, Accepted 12 Oct 2008, Published online: 06 Apr 2009

 Download citation  <https://doi.org/10.1080/13658810802570317> Sample our Computer Science journals, sign in here to start your access, latest two full volumes FREE to you for 14 days[Full Article](#) [Figures & data](#) [References](#) [Supplemental](#) [Citations](#)  
[Metrics](#) [Reprints & Permissions](#)

## Abstract

The Fuzzy Kappa statistic expresses the agreement between two categorical raster maps. The statistic goes beyond cell-by-cell comparison and gives partial credit to cells based on the categories found in the neighborhood. When matching categories are found at shorter distances the agreement is higher. Like the well-established Kappa statistic the Fuzzy Kappa statistic expresses the mean agreement relative to the expected agreement. The model underlying the expected agreement assumes absence of spatial autocorrelation in both compared maps. In reality however, spatial autocorrelation does lower the expected agreement as matching categories become less likely to be found close-by. Since most maps have some degree of spatial autocorrelation, the calculated expected agreement is generally higher than the true expected agreement. This leads to counterintuitive results when maps that appear to have considerable agreement obtain negative Fuzzy Kappa values. Furthermore, the Fuzzy Kappa may be biased, as it systematically attributes lower agreement to maps with stronger spatial autocorrelation. This paper proposes an improved Fuzzy Kappa statistic that is based on the same local agreement and has the same attractive properties as the original Fuzzy Kappa. The novelty is that the new statistic accounts

for spatial autocorrelation, such that the expected Fuzzy Kappa for maps that are not cross-correlated is equal to zero. The improved statistic is applied on two cases to demonstrate its properties.

Keywords: [Fuzzy Kappa](#) [Map comparison](#) [Accuracy](#) [Validation](#)

## Acknowledgements

This paper addresses the most frequently asked question of Map Comparison Kit users: 'Why do I get negative Fuzzy Kappa values for maps that appear quite similar?' I would like to thank the users for sending their feedback and enabling RIKS to improve on the methods and software. The elaborate and detailed feedback of three anonymous reviewers has been very helpful and is greatly appreciated.

[Read this article](#)

[Share](#)

## Related research

People also read

Recommended articles

Cited by  
67

## Information for

[Authors](#)

[R&D professionals](#)

[Editors](#)

[Librarians](#)

[Societies](#)

## Opportunities

[Reprints and e-prints](#)

[Advertising solutions](#)

[Accelerated publication](#)

[Corporate access solutions](#)

## Open access

[Overview](#)

[Open journals](#)

[Open Select](#)

[Dove Medical Press](#)

[F1000Research](#)

## Help and information

[Help and contact](#)

[Newsroom](#)

[All journals](#)

[Books](#)

## Keep up to date

Register to receive personalised research and resources by email



[Sign me up](#)



[Copyright © 2023 Informa UK Limited](#) [Privacy policy](#) [Cookies](#) [Terms & conditions](#)

[Accessibility](#)



Taylor & Francis Group  
an **informa** business

Registered in England & Wales No. 3099067  
5 Howick Place | London | SW1P 1WG