

3,007 51

Views

CrossRef citations to date

0

Altmetric

RESEARCH PAPER

Finance, Policy and Industrial Dynamics— The Rise of Co-productions in the Film Industry

Norbert Morawetz , Jane Hardy, Colin Haslam & Keith Randle

Pages 421-443 | Published online: 10 Sep 2007

 Cite this article  <https://doi.org/10.1080/13662710701524072>

Sample our
Economics, Finance,
Business & Industry Journals
>> [Sign in here](#) to start your access
to the latest two volumes for 14 days

 Full Article

 Figures & data

 References

 Citations

 Metrics

 Reprints & Permissions

Read this article

Abstract

This paper explores the growing phenomenon of international co-productions in the film industry, and its impact on the global film industry. It discusses the role of financial and institutional factors in the development of the industry. This narrative is supported by a detailed analysis of the industry's financial structure and its impact on the industry's development. The paper discusses the role of financial and institutional factors in the development of the industry. This narrative is supported by a detailed analysis of the industry's financial structure and its impact on the industry's development.

We Care About Your Privacy

We and our 842 partners store and/or access information on a device, such as unique IDs in cookies to process personal data. You may accept or manage your choices by clicking below, including your right to object where legitimate interest is used, or at any time in the privacy policy page. These choices will be signaled to our partners and will not affect browsing data. [Privacy Policy](#)

We and our partners process data to provide:

Use precise geolocation data. Actively scan device characteristics for identification. Store and/or access information on a device. Personalised advertising and content, advertising and content measurement, audience research and services development.

[List of Partners \(vendors\)](#)

 I Accept

Essential Only

Show Purpose

The research for this article was undertaken with a small grant from the University of Hertfordshire. The authors would like to thank the editor and the two anonymous referees for the constructive and insightful comments during the review process.

Notes

1. Share of total national film production, in numbers of films produced.
2. It is important to distinguish between a co-production and a mere financial participation, in which the “financial partner” participates in the results of exploiting the audio-visual work, without being a co-owner of its constitutive elements (Enrich, [2005](#); see also Goettler and Leslie, [2005](#)).
3. This was seen recently when the UK terminated its bilateral co-production treaties with Germany, Italy and Norway in favour of the convention. The convention also allows the involvement of co-producers from non-signatory countries as a fourth co-producer (e.g. the USA), provided that their total contribution does not exceed 30 per cent of the total production cost of the film (UK Film Council, 2007).
4. Producing a film in another country for economic (lower costs) or creative (location) reasons.
5. It has to be noted that the categories may be more fluid than the taxonomy suggests, with projects not being made exclusively for one reason, but usually for a combination of reasons.
6. Taken together, these two categories account for 670 titles, or 1.5% of the total. The feature film production industry in Europe is dominated by the majors in the USA. In Europe, the majors are the French majors (Bros spent 10% of the total production cost on it, [2004](#)).
7. For a more detailed analysis, see (1997).
8. Globe is a monument to irresponsibility, as one is ever likely to find”.



9. The Film and Television Action Committee.

10. “Below the line” costs refer to the salaries of the non-starring cast members and the technical crew, as well as equipment, studio, travel and catering costs. In contrast to this “Above the line” costs are the costs for creative talent and producers.

11. In order to further reduce the risk for investors, film funds usually invest into a slate of films and not just in a single picture. In contrast to a simple financial stake, equity investors hold a full stake in a film project and are in turn entitled to participate in the exploration of rights associated with the film.

12. In Germany a failure to include such criteria led to the much reported financial drain of German tax money to fund Hollywood films. According to an independent German analyst, in 2004 four out of every five euros (78 per cent) raised through German media funds was channelled into North American-based productions, with only 10 per cent invested in productions in Germany and about 11 per cent in projects within Europe. The total amount of money German media funds raised from private investors was EUR 1.5 billion in 2004 and EUR 1.76 billion in 2003 (Blaney, [2005](#)).

13. “Double dipping” allowed producers to claim tax relief twice on the same movie—once via a production fund, and later via a sale-and-leaseback deal. For films made under Section 48 relief (budgets under \$29 billion) “double dipping” could cover 25–40 per cent of the production costs. For bigger budget movies using Section 42, such as the “Harry Potter” franchise or Phantom of the Opera, the value ranged from 15–25 per cent.

14. The
a “trans
equity c
freely tra
credits, i
in tota
practic
financial
accusing
locusts”

ite equity as
private
equity is not
with tax
580 billion
business
st this
ng famously
ms of



15. Rather than relying on a single top-or-flop project, equity funds and studios use a portfolio approach to film financing where risk is spread across a slate of films (White, [2005](#)).
16. In the early 1990s the studios diversified into independent film production through acquiring or building independent distribution companies. These “mini-majors” (e.g. New-Line Cinema, Miramax) significantly increased the scope of their spending in the following decade, to meet a growing demand for high budget independent motion pictures (Perren, [2001](#); Thompson, [2004](#)). The average negative cost of a mini-major peaked in 2003 at an average of \$46.9 million, a 154 per cent increase on production budgets since 1999. Films such as Martin Scorsese's \$100 million epics Gangs of New York (2002) or The Aviator (2004) reflect how profoundly the image of independent film was changed in this period (Grove, [2005](#)). This growth in the independent sector is in line with a steady expansion of overall studio production budgets that reached an average of \$63.8 million in 2003, compared with \$36.4 million in 1995, with marketing costs rising in the same period from \$17.7 million to \$39 million (MPA, [2006a](#)).
17. Insurance-backed securitization introduced institutional investors and in particular large insurance companies to the film industry. However, the failure of these companies to assess their risk accurately led to a series of bankruptcies and court cases and made insurance-backed film financings “one of the major issues facing the insurance industry” (Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer, [2002](#): 4). As Phillips ([2004](#)) has noted, the London market lost around £2 billion in film financing, and Crédit Lyonnais, once the largest bank in the world went under state administration after it lost roughly \$5 billion in film financing.
18. To reduce the risk of a major flop, studios often deal with a major foreign distributor as a condition for international distribution.
19. Selecting a distributor meeting certain criteria (e.g. film production experience, susceptibility to marketing) is a key factor in the selection process.
20. Look for the distributor to fund the entire budget and to title them to share the rights and the revenues.

21. Tax credits are usually payable on expenditure. However, as producers need the finance upfront, the tax credit is discounted with a financial intermediary or fund.
22. There has been a tremendous growth in private equity capital since 2003, with private equity funds raising more than \$225 billion in 2006 alone, and about \$580 billion in total since 2003 (Dow Jones Equity Analyst, 2006). This has happened alongside a similar strong growth in the hedge fund industry that has expanded from \$240 billion under management in 1998 to approximately \$1.4 trillion in 2006 (Russo, [2007](#)).

Related Research Data

A Hybrid Agglomeration? The Development of a Satellite-Marshallian Industrial District in Vancouver's Film Industry

Source: SAGE Publications

The Role of Temporary Clusters

Source: Routledge

The Search for the National in Canadian Multilateral Cinematographic Co-productions

Source: Informa UK Limited

The City as Studio; The World as Back Lot: The Impact of Vertical Disintegration on the Location of the Motion Picture Industry

Source: SAGE Publications

The Project Ecology of Advertising: Tasks, Talents and Teams

Source:

Cool Hollywood: The Development of the Film Industry in Los Angeles

Source:

The vanguard of the creative industries: the development of an international film industry in London

Source:

G

S

Holly

Source:

The creative industries and the market structure of the film industry

Source:

Flexible specialisation in Hollywood: a response to Aksoy and Robins



Source: Oxford University Press (OUP)

An Irresistible Market

Source: Oxford University Press (OUP)

Modeling Movie Success When ‘Nobody Knows Anything’: Conditional Stable-Distribution Analysis Of Film Returns

Source: Springer Science and Business Media LLC

Technological Revolutions and Financial Capital

Source: Edward Elgar Publishing

Effects of rationing on the consumption behavior of Chinese urban households during 1981–1987

Source: Elsevier BV

Economic Geography as Dissenting Institutionalism: The Embeddedness, Evolution and Differentiation of Regions

Source: Informa UK Limited

The Cluster as Market Organisation

Source: SAGE Publications

Flexible Specialization and Regional Industrial Agglomerations: The Case of the U.S. Motion Picture Industry

Source: Informa UK Limited

Transforming the Danish Film Field Via ‘Professionalization’, Penetration and Integration

Source: Wiley

The Name above the (Sub)Title: Internationalism, Coproduction, and Polyglot European Art Cinema

Source: Duke University Press

Framing city networks through temporary projects: (Trans)national film production beyond

Source

Hong

Source

Greek

Europ

S

Ev

Source

Exam

audie

Source

Local

Source: SAGE Publications



Related research

People also read

Recommended articles

Cited by
51

Cultural globalization and the dominance of the American film industry: cultural policies, national film industries, and transnational film >

Diana Crane

International Journal of Cultural Policy

Published online: 11 Sep 2013

Internationalization vs. Globalization of the Film Industry >

Mark Lorenzen

Industry and Innovation

Published online: 10 Sep 2007

Between state-led and corporation-led co-productions: how has film co-production been exploited by states in Europe >

Jimmyn Parc

Innovation: The European Journal of Social Science Research

Published online: 30 Jul 2020



Information for

Authors

R&D professionals

Editors

Librarians

Societies

Opportunities

Reprints and e-prints

Advertising solutions

Accelerated publication

Corporate access solutions

Open access

Overview

Open journals

Open Select

Dove Medical Press

F1000Research

Help and information

Help and contact

Newsroom

All journals

Books

Keep up to date

Register to receive personalised research and resources by email



Sign me up



Copyright © 2024 Informa UK Limited [Privacy policy](#) [Cookies](#) [Terms & conditions](#)



Taylor & Francis Group
an informa business

Accessib



Registered
5 Howick Pl

