









to the latest two volumes for 14 days

66 Citations

Share

Metrics

References

Read this article

ABSTRACT

Reprints & Permissions

Full Article

Figures & data

We review statistical methods used to estimate the impact of crowding out of private venture capital (VC) by government VC. We review three types of failures that have plagued the VC literature and resulted in policy implications that are precisely the opposite of what the data actually indicate. The first failure involves the mistaken use of measures that give rise to country rankings where the best VC markets in the world are countries like Austria and Hungary, and the worst VC market in the world is the U.K. The second and more recent failure involves the use of data that do not predate the creation of government VC. The third type of failure involves not accounting for the nonrandom matching between entrepreneurs and government VC programs. We show that statistical inference in recent work that makes this latter mistake can give rise to remarkably incorrect conclusions; including, for example, a bizarre and clearly false inference that a market with more than 89% investment by government funds exhibits

no evidence of displacement of private funds. In view of these issues, we offer suggestions for future research and raise some new questions that could guide policymakers in the future.

KEYWORDS:

Government venture capita	crowding out	science and public policy	Fake science
Harmful econometrics			

Acknowledgments

We owe thanks to Richard Harrison and Colin Mason for many excellent comments and suggestions.

Disclosure statement

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the authors.

Notes

- 1. https://www.crunchbase.com/organization/innovation-investment-fund.
- 2. By analogy, it is akin to making an absurd argument that socialism does not crowd out capitalism by only looking at data that postdate the introduction of socialism and observing little variability in and scant levels of capitalism.
- 3. Some inferences might be properly drawn with data that only postdate the program on topics other than domestic crowding out, but those topics are not among the issues raised in Dahaj, Cozzarin, and Talebi (2018).

Related research 1

Information for

Authors

R&D professionals

Editors

Librarians

Societies

Opportunities

Reprints and e-prints

Advertising solutions

Accelerated publication

Corporate access solutions

Open access

Overview

Open journals

Open Select

Dove Medical Press

F1000Research

Help and information

Help and contact

Newsroom

All journals

Books

Keep up to date

Register to receive personalised research and resources by email



Sign me up











Accessibility



Copyright © 2025 Informa UK Limited Privacy policy Cookies Terms & conditions

Taylor and Francis Group

Registered in England & Wales No. 01072954 5 Howick Place | London | SW1P 1WG