On Wednesday 11 November 05:00-22:00 GMT, we'll be making some site updates on Taylor & Francis Online. You'll still be able to search, browse and read our articles, where access rights already apply. Registration, purchasing, activation of tokens, eprints and other features of Your Account will be unavailable during this scheduled work.



Journal of Comparative Policy Analysis: Research and Practice ›

Volume 10, 2008 - Issue 3: The Europeanization of Public Policies: New Research Directions

6,020 Views | 767 CrossRef citations to date | 72 Altmetric

Comparative Statistics
Editor: Fred Thompson
Willamette University, Oregon, USA

# A New Measure of Financial Openness

Menzie D. Chinn & Hiro Ito

Pages 309-322 | Published online: 01 Sep 2008

Cite this article https://doi.org/10.1080/13876980802231123

Sample our Economics, Finance, Business & Industry Journals
>> Sign in here to start your access to the latest two volumes for 14 days



## Abstract

We create a new index that measures the extent of openness in capital account transactions. Despite the abundance of literature and policy analyses regarding the effect of financial liberalization, the debate is far from settled. One of the reasons for that outcome is the lack of proper ways of measuring the extent of the openness in cross-border financial transactions. We seek to remedy this deficiency by creating an index aimed at measuring the extensity of capital controls based on the information from the IMF's Annual Report on Exchange Arrangements and Exchange Restrictions (AREAER). This paper details how we construct the data and where our index stands in relation to the extant literature. Given the intricacy of capital controls policies and

regulations, the exercise of quantifying the extent of financial openness remains a challenging task. Nonetheless, our index makes a substantial contribution in terms of its coverage of countries and time period; the data are available for 181 countries for the 1970–2005 period.


## Acknowledgements

We thank Ashok Mody, Antu Panini Murshid, and Dennis Quinn for providing data, and Jacques Miniane for making his financial openness index publicly available. We also thank Pariyate (Sam) Potchamanawong for his excellent research assistance and Joe Stewart for graphical assistance. The financial support of faculty research funds of the University of Wisconsin, Madison, Portland State University, and Japan Foundation are gratefully acknowledged. The dataset discussed in this paper is publicly available at http://www.ssc.wisc.edu/~mchinn/research.html or http://web.pdx.edu/~ito/.


## Notes

1. See Edison and Warnock (2001), Edwards (2001), Edison et al. (2002), and Kose et al. (2006) for discussions and comparisons of various measures on capital restrictions. For extensive reviews on capital controls policy or financial liberalization, refer to Dooley (1996), Eichengreen (2002), Kose et al. (2006), and Henry (2006).

2. The exceptions to be noted are Quinn (1997, 2003) and Miniane (2004) as we will discuss later.

3. This issue is somewhat alleviated by the recent disaggregation in the AREAER of the capital account restriction category. In 1997, AREAER started publishing the data on disaggregated components of capital controls, with the specification of thirteen categories including, for the first time, a distinction between restrictions on inflows and outflows as well as between different types of capital transactions. See Johnston and Tamirisa (1998) and Miniane (2004) for a descriptive overview and statistical analysis on the disaggregated data of AREAER.

4. Capital controls might be as stringent and command-and-control oriented as those imposed by the Latin American governments in the wake of the 1980s' debt crises, or of a less dirigiste form such as the Chilean unrenumerated reserve requirements (URR). See Edwards (1998, 1999).

5. Kose et al. (2006) and Rajan (2003) categorize the measures of capital financial openness de jure measures (based on IMF's AREAER); de facto measures based on price differentials such as the uncovered or real interest rate parity (Cheung et al. 2006) and international arbitrage pricing model (IAPM) or capital asset pricing model (ICAPM) (see De Gregorio 1998); and de facto measures based on quantities, i.e., volumes of capital flows as a ratio to GDP, such as Lane and Milesi-Ferretti (2006). A drawback of the price-based measures is that the measures, especially those based on the interest rate parity conditions, can reflect changes in macroeconomic conditions even if there is no regulatory changes on capital account transactions. Other sources for categorization of measures on financial integration and/or financial openness are Cavoli et al. (2003) and Takagi and Hirose (2004).

6. We initially constructed this index for Chinn and Ito (2002, 2006). We have updated the series annually since then while expanding the scope of countries.

7. Especially, the k3 category was divided into 13 categories. See Johnston and Tamirisa (1998) and Miniane (2004) for details.

8. The index is normalized with the highest degree of financial openness captured by the value of 100 and the lowest by zero.

9. It must be noted that, as can be seen in Table 1, the coverage of countries and time periods differ greatly across different indexes.

10. Kaminsky and Schmukler (2001) calculate indices for domestic financial system, equity market, and capital account liberalization for a select number of developed and emerging market countries. The correlation with the overall composite index is 57.6 per cent while that with the component particularly on capital account transactions is 67.6 per cent.

11. Some indices are sector-specific. Edison and Warnock (2001) present an index of equity market openness.

# Additional information

## Notes on contributors


Menzie D. Chinn

Menzie D. Chinn is Professor of Public Affairs and Economics at the University of Wisconsin's Robert M. La Follette School of Public Affairs, and a research associate of the National Bureau of Economic Research. He was senior economist on the Council of Economic Advisers from 2000–2001. Chinn received his PhD from the University of California, Berkeley.

Hiro Ito

Hiro Ito is assistant professor of economist Portland State University, Oregon. His areas of focus are financial development, financial globalization, and macroeconomic interlinkages between countries. Ito received his PhD from the University of California, Santa Cruz.



Related Research Data

Financial Globalization: A Reappraisal
Source: IMF Working Papers
Financial Crises in Emerging Markets
Source: Unknown Repository
How Effective are Capital Controls?
Source: The Journal of Economic Perspectives
The Correlates of Change in International Financial Regulation
Source: American Political Science Review
The Chinese economies in global context: The integration process and its determinants
Source: Journal of the Japanese and International Economies
A New Set of Measures on Capital Account Restrictions
Source: IMF Staff Papers
Growing up with capital flows
Source: Journal of International Economics
A Survey of Literature on Controls over International Capital Transactions

## Related research

People also read | Recommended articles | Cited by 767


### Information for

Authors
R&D professionals
Editors
Librarians
Societies

### Opportunities

Reprints and e-prints
Advertising solutions
Accelerated publication
Corporate access solutions

### Open access

Overview
Open journals
Open Select
Dove Medical Press
F1000Research

### Help and information

Help and contact
Newsroom
All journals
Books

### Keep up to date

Register to receive personalised research and resources by email

Sign me up

Copyright © 2026 Informa UK Limited Privacy policy

Cookies Terms & conditions Accessibility

Registered in England & Wales No. 01072954
5 Howick Place | London | SW1P 1WG