



Innovation >

Organization & Management

Volume 17, 2015 - [Issue 3](#)

199 | 5 | 0
Views | CrossRef citations to date | Altmetric


Articles

Who participates in de jure standard setting in Japan? The analysis of participation costs and benefits

Suguru Tamura

Pages 400-415 | Received 17 Feb 2014, Accepted 30 Mar 2015, Published online: 28 Jul 2015

Cite this article <https://doi.org/10.1080/14479338.2015.1054604>



Sample our
Economics, Finance,
Business & Industry Journals
>> [Sign in here](#) to start your access
to the latest two volumes for 14 days

Full Article

Figures & data

References

Citations

Metrics

Reprints & Permissions

Read this article

Share

Abstract

This research examines who participates in de jure standard setting in Japan, so as to understand the benefits and costs that corporations receive from participation in de jure standard setting in Japan. In particular, the research and development (R&D) expenditure to sales (R/S) ratio is used to examine corporations' relative R&D position in their industrial sector, in the following four sectors: (1) production machinery, (2) transportation machinery, (3) non-ferrous metals, and (4) information and communications technology. In addition, an analytical framework for the cost and benefit structure of corporate participation in standard development organizations is described. We have found that in R&D-intensive industries, there is less participation from high R/S corporations. This result is in accordance with previous research into EU de jure standardization, but not with the US case.

Keywords:

- standardization
- de jure standard
- de facto standard
- R&D strategy
- trade secret

Acknowledgement

This study is the result of research conducted as a part of a project undertaken at the Research Institute of Economy, Trade and Industry (RIETI) in Japan. The author appreciates the comments of Professor Motohashi at the University of Tokyo, Professor Nagaoka of Hitotsubashi University, the editorial board of Innovation: Management Policy & Practice, and the anonymous reviewers.

Related research

People also read	Recommended articles	Cited by 5
------------------	----------------------	---------------

Information for

[Authors](#)

[R&D professionals](#)

[Editors](#)

[Librarians](#)

[Societies](#)

Opportunities

[Reprints and e-prints](#)

[Advertising solutions](#)

[Accelerated publication](#)

[Corporate access solutions](#)

Open access

[Overview](#)

[Open journals](#)

[Open Select](#)

[Dove Medical Press](#)

[F1000Research](#)

Help and information

[Help and contact](#)

[Newsroom](#)

[All journals](#)

[Books](#)

Keep up to date

Register to receive personalised research and resources by email



[Sign me up](#)



[Copyright © 2025](#) [Informa UK Limited](#) [Privacy policy](#) [Cookies](#) [Terms & conditions](#)

[Accessibility](#)



Taylor & Francis Group
an informa business

Registered in England & Wales No. 01072954
5 Howick Place | London | SW1P 1WG