

Quantitative Finance >
Volume 10, 2010 - Issue 10186 | 9 | 0
Views CrossRef citations to date Altmetric

Research Papers

The risk-shifting effect and the value of a warrant

Emanuele Bajo & Massimiliano Barbi

Pages 1203-1213 | Received 07 Jan 2008, Accepted 03 Apr 2009, Published online: 08 Oct 2009

Cite this article <https://doi.org/10.1080/14697680902953841>

Sample our
Mathematics & Statistics
Journals

>> Sign in here to start your access
to the latest two volumes for 14 days

[Full Article](#) [Figures & data](#) [References](#) [Citations](#) [Metrics](#)
[Reprints & Permissions](#) [Read this article](#)

Abstract

The exercise of a warrant leads to the well-known dilution phenomenon, the effects of which have been extensively studied over the last four decades. In contrast, the existing literature has paid inadequate attention to the volatility spillover between stockholders and warrant holders. This 'risk-shifting effect' has significant implications for warrant pricing, since any formula that assumes a constant volatility of stock returns produces a bias. In this paper we show that a CEV process with a specific

About Cookies On This Site

We and our partners use cookies to enhance your website experience, learn how our site is used, offer personalised features, measure the effectiveness of our services, and tailor content and ads to your interests while you navigate on the web or interact with us across devices. You can choose to accept all of these cookies or only essential cookies. To learn more or manage your preferences, click "Settings". For further information about the data we collect from you, please see our [Privacy Policy](#).

Accept All

Essential Only

Settings

Acknowledgements

The authors gratefully acknowledge the helpful suggestions of Marco Bigelli, Sandro Sandri, Silvia Romagnoli, Fabrizio Palmucci, all members of the Finance Group at the University of Bologna, the Editors, the anonymous referees and the seminar participants at the Association Française de Finance 2007 Bordeaux meeting and Financial Management Association 2008 Prague meeting. All remaining errors are our own responsibility.

Notes

§Similarly, the non-stationary nature of stock volatility due to the presence of debt or warrants was also studied by Geske (1979) and Bensoussan et al. (1994, 1995).

†By ‘leverage effect’ the financial literature refers to a negative correlation between stock prices and volatilities caused by the presence of debt financing (Black 1976).

‡For instance, setting arbitrarily the elasticity parameter to 0.5 would lead to a closed formula for the call price (Beckers 1980).

†The absence of debt makes the stochastic process in equation (1) govern both the asset and equity value.

‡ $N(\cdot)$ denotes the normal cumulative distribution function, and

†To denote that $\sigma_s(t, a_t)$ is known at time t , we omit the argument and we write σ_s .

‡This is a first-order approximation, since we ignore powers of price increment greater than one.

§Shown



‡Intuitively, the recall equation (2). Both of these substitutions (σ_t for σ and σ_s for σ) produce a downward

About Cookies On This Site

We and our partners use cookies to enhance your website experience, learn how our site is used, offer personalised features, measure the effectiveness of our services, and tailor content and ads to your interests while you navigate on the web or interact with us across devices. You can choose to accept all of these cookies or only essential cookies. To learn more or manage your preferences, click “Settings”. For further information about the data we collect from you, please see our [Privacy Policy](#).

Accept All

Essential Only

Settings

approximation of the variables, which lowers the product σa_t but also increases the expression in brackets. As a matter of fact, the above-mentioned approximation determines a drop in $N(d_1)$ (the warrant's delta) due to the decrease in both moneyness and volatility. Hence, the suggested replacement causes two opposite outcomes, whose net effect tends to be insignificant. The analytical expression of the difference between the two elasticities is provided in [appendix A](#).

†This value is also computable through the numerical algorithm proposed by Ukhov ([2004](#)). Nevertheless, in our simulation this method is not necessary since we assume that the asset value and its volatility are both known.

‡We obtain a_t applying the Newton–Raphson algorithm to the process defined in equation ([4](#)).

§We consider a log-normal distribution of asset values. The moneyness bounds are computed according to the following probability intervals: DOTM [0.01, 0.20), OTM [0.20, 0.45), ATM [0.45, 0.55), ITM [0.55, 0.80), and DITM [0.80, 0.99]. First and last percentiles are excluded to avoid an infinite support.

†Simulations based on different values of σ do not produce significant changes in terms of pricing accuracy. Tables will be provided by the authors upon request.

Related research

People also read

Recommended articles

Cited by
9



About Cookies On This Site

We and our partners use cookies to enhance your website experience, learn how our site is used, offer personalised features, measure the effectiveness of our services, and tailor content and ads to your interests while you navigate on the web or interact with us across devices. You can choose to accept all of these cookies or only essential cookies. To learn more or manage your preferences, click “Settings”. For further information about the data we collect from you, please see our [Privacy Policy](#).

Accept All 

Essential Only

Settings

Information for

Authors

R&D professionals

Editors

Librarians

Societies

Opportunities

Reprints and e-prints

Advertising solutions

Accelerated publication

Corporate access solutions

Open access

Overview

Open journals

Open Select

Dove Medical Press

F1000Research

Help and information

Help and contact

Newsroom

All journals

Books

Keep up to date

Register to receive personalised research and resources by email



Sign me up



Copyright © 2024 Informa UK Limited [Privacy policy](#) [Cookies](#) [Terms & conditions](#)

[Accessibility](#)



Taylor & Francis Group
an informa business

Registered in England & Wales No. 3099067
5 Howick Place | London | SW1P 1WG

About Cookies On This Site

We and our partners use cookies to enhance your website experience, learn how our site is used, offer personalised features, measure the effectiveness of our services, and tailor content and ads to your interests while you navigate on the web or interact with us across devices. You can choose to accept all of these cookies or only essential cookies. To learn more or manage your preferences, click “Settings”. For further information about the data we collect from you, please see our [Privacy Policy](#).

Accept All

Essential Only

Settings