

Quantitative Finance >
Volume 17, 2017 - Issue 3

3,262 Views | 48 CrossRef citations to date | 0 Altmetric


Research Papers

Time series momentum and moving average trading rules

Ben R. Marshall, Nhut H. Nguyen & Nuttawat Visaltanachoti

Pages 405-421 | Received 15 Apr 2015, Accepted 16 Jun 2016, Published online: 20 Jul 2016

Cite this article <https://doi.org/10.1080/14697688.2016.1205209>



Sample our Mathematics & Statistics Journals >> Sign in here to start your access to the latest two volumes for 14 days

Full Article | Figures & data | References | Citations | Metrics

Reprints & Permissions

Read this article

Abstract

We compare and contrast time series momentum (TSMOM) and moving average (MA)

trading rules

are close

points th

require p

frequent

best

inves

Keyword

JEL Clas

We Care About Your Privacy

We and our 847 partners store and/or access information on a device, such as unique IDs in cookies to process personal data. You may accept or manage your choices by clicking below, including your right to object where legitimate interest is used, or at any time in the privacy policy page. These choices will be signaled to our partners and will not affect browsing data. [Privacy Policy](#)

We and our partners process data to provide:

Use precise geolocation data. Actively scan device characteristics for identification. Store and/or access information on a device. Personalised advertising and content, advertising and content measurement, audience research and services development.

List of Partners (vendors)

I Accept

Essential Only

Show Purpose



Acknowledgements

We thank the editor and anonymous referees, participants at the Massey University Seminar Series, 2012 Victoria University of Wellington Finance Workshop, 2013 New Zealand Finance Colloquium, 2013 China International Conference in Finance, 2013 FMA conference, especially Andrea Bennett, Mark Hutchinson, and Henry C. Stein, Guofu Zhou and Yingzi Zhu for helpful comments. All errors are our own.

Notes

¹ This is different to Jegadeesh and Titman's ([1993](#)) momentum anomaly which focuses on cross-sectional return comparisons. Here, an asset would be purchased if it was among those with the strongest past returns, even if the asset's price had declined during the evaluation period and the relative out-performance was simply due to its returns being less negative than its peers. In contrast, a time series momentum strategy would not buy this asset until it had positive past returns.

² Other papers also find support for time series momentum. Baltas and Kosowski ([2013](#)) show volatility estimators can be used to improve the performance of time series momentum strategies and Antonacci ([2013](#)) shows time series momentum or 'absolute momentum' as they call it has value as a stand-alone or overlay strategy.

³ These results are not inconsistent. The average monthly return on cross-sectional momentum winner stocks (from Ken French's website) over the 1963–2011 period is 1.51% compared to the average monthly return on cross-sectional momentum loser stocks is -1.51%. The correlation between the two series is 0.99.

⁴ We thank

⁵ MA exam ([1999](#)) for Asia ([2012](#)) is also based on the work of Lo et al. ([2000](#)) who

⁶ The results are consistent with technical trading rules.

⁷ We thank an anonymous referee for highlighting this point.



⁸ We do not attempt to contribute to the literature that considers more sophisticated ways of defining and implementing moving average rule trading strategies (e.g. Hong and Satchell [2015](#)). Rather, we apply basic MA and TSMOM rules that have been widely used in the literature. This allows us to compare and contrast these rules without the suggestion of us tilting the test in the favour of one particular rule by considering a specification that is favourable to it.

⁹ We are grateful to Henry C. Stern for explaining the equations and discussion in this section to us.

¹⁰ We present results for the 50-day look-back period as it is in between the shortest (10 days) and longest (200 days) look-back periods. Results for the other look-back periods are available on request.

¹¹ We thank an anonymous referee for suggesting we consider these two scenarios separately.

¹² For example, from table 1, we see the mean excess returns p.a. for the 50-day look-back rule on quartile 3 stocks is 12.4%. The average holding period from table 3 is 22 days which implies 11.3 trades per year. If we assume average one-way transaction costs of 40 basis points, we get a total of $11.3 \times 2 \times 0.4 = 9.0\%$ of transaction costs, which leaves 3.4% of net profit.

¹³ See Daniel and Moskowitz ([2011](#)) for more detail on these variables.

¹⁴ Each of the alpha estimates is annualized.

¹⁵ The in

¹⁶ We th



Re

Techn

Sourc

Have

Sourc

On Persistence in Mutual Fund Performance



Source: Wiley

A model of investor sentiment¹We are grateful to the NSF for financial support, and to Oliver Blanchard, Alon Brav, John Campbell (a referee), John Cochrane, Edward Glaeser, J.B. Heaton, Danny Kahneman, David Laibson, Owen Lamont, Drazen Prelec, Jay Ritter (a referee), Ken Singleton, Dick Thaler, an anonymous referee, and the editor, Bill Schwert, for comments.¹

Source: Elsevier BV

Are Seasonal Anomalies Real? A Ninety-Year Perspective

Source: Oxford University Press (OUP)

A Century of Stock Market Liquidity and Trading Costs

Source: Elsevier BV

Common risk factors in the returns on stocks and bonds

Source: Elsevier BV

Trading timing and the returns to trend-following

Source: Informa UK Limited

Returns to Buying Winners and Selling Losers: Implications for Stock Market Efficiency

Source: Wiley

Technical analysis: An asset allocation perspective on the use of moving averages

Source: Elsevier BV

On Persistence in Mutual Fund Performance

Source: Wiley

Investing in the S&P 500 index: Can anything beat the buy-and-hold strategy?

Source: Wiley

A technical approach to equity investing in emerging markets

Source: Wiley

Is This Time Different? Trend-Following and Financial Crises

Source:

Firm o

Source:

Predic

Source:

Return

S

Me

Source:

A Nev

Source:

Movin

Emiss

Source: MDPI AG



Tests of technical trading strategies in the emerging equity markets of Latin America and Asia

Source: Elsevier BV

Time-Varying Short-Horizon Predictability

Source: Elsevier BV

Market States and Momentum

Source: Elsevier BV

Investor Psychology and Security Market Under- and Overreactions


Source: Wiley

On Technical Analysis

Source: Oxford University Press (OUP)

International Stock Return Predictability

Source: Wiley

Linking provided by 

Related research

People also read

Recommended articles

Cited by
48



Information for

- Authors
- R&D professionals
- Editors
- Librarians
- Societies

Opportunities

- Reprints and e-prints
- Advertising solutions
- Accelerated publication
- Corporate access solutions

Open access

- Overview
- Open journals
- Open Select
- Dove Medical Press
- F1000Research

Help and information

- Help and contact
- Newsroom
- All journals
- Books

Keep up to date

Register to receive personalised research and resources by email

 Sign me up



✕