





## Abstract

We compare and contrast time series momentum (TSMOM) and moving average (MA) trading rules so as to better understand the sources of their profitability. These rules are closely related; however, there are important differences. TSMOM signals occur at points that coincide with a MA direction change, whereas MA buy (sell) signals only require price to move above (below) a MA. Our empirical results show MA rules frequently give earlier signals leading to meaningful return gains. Both rules perform best outside of large stock series which may explain the puzzle of their popularity with investors, yet lack of supportive evidence in academic studies.

### Keywords:



JEL Classification:



## Acknowledgements

We thank the editor and anonymous referees, participants at the Massey University Seminar Series, 2012 Victoria University of Wellington Finance Workshop, 2013 New Zealand Finance Colloquium, 2013 China International Conference in Finance, 2013 FMA conference, especially Andrea Bennett, Mark Hutchinson, and Henry C. Stein, Guofu Zhou and Yingzi Zhu for helpful comments. All errors are our own.

# Notes

<sup>1</sup> This is different to Jegadeesh and Titman's (<u>1993</u>) momentum anomaly which focuses on cross-sectional return comparisons. Here, an asset would be purchased if it was among those with the strongest past returns, even if the asset's price had declined during the evaluation period and the relative out-performance was simply due to its returns being less negative than its peers. In contrast, a time series momentum strategy would not buy this asset until it had positive past returns.

<sup>2</sup> Other papers also find support for time series momentum. Baltas and Kosowski (2013) show volatility estimators can be used to improve the performance of time series momentum strategies and Antonacci (2013) shows time series momentum or 'absolute momentum' as they call it has value as a stand-alone or overlay strategy.

<sup>3</sup> These results are not inconsistent. The average monthly return on cross-sectional momentum winner stocks (from Ken French's website) over the 1963–2011 period is 1.51% compared to 0.88% for the CRSP value-weighted index. However, the correlation between these two series is 0.85.

<sup>4</sup> We thank Ken French for making these data available on his website.

<sup>5</sup> MA examples include Brock et al. (<u>1992</u>) for the US and Ratner and Leal (<u>1999</u>) for Asian and Latin American markets. The TSMOM paper of Moskowitz et al. (<u>2012</u>) is also based on equity indices/futures contracts on these indices. A MA exception is Lo et al. (2000) who consider US stocks from different size quintiles.

<sup>6</sup> The results of Neely et al. (<u>2014</u>) suggest another explanation. They find technical trading rules complement predictions based on fundamental factors.

<sup>7</sup> We thank an anonymous referee for highlighting this point.

<sup>8</sup> We do not attempt to contribute to the literature that considers more sophisticated ways of defining and implementing moving average rule trading strategies (e.g. Hong and Satchell <u>2015</u>). Rather, we apply basic MA and TSMOM rules that have been widely used in the literature. This allows us to compare and contrast these rules without the suggestion of us tilting the test in the favour of one particular rule by considering a specification that is favourable to it.

<sup>9</sup> We are grateful to Henry C. Stern for explaining the equations and discussion in this section to us.

<sup>10</sup> We present results for the 50-day look-back period as it is in between the shortest (10 days) and longest (200 days) look-back periods. Results for the other look-back periods are available on request.

<sup>11</sup> We thank an anonymous referee for suggesting we consider these two scenarios separately.

<sup>12</sup> For example, from table 1, we see the mean excess returns p.a. for the 50-day lookback rule on quartile 3 stocks is 12.4%. The average holding period from table 3 is 22 days which implies 11.3 trades per year. If we assume average one-way transaction costs of 40 basis points, we get a total of  $11.3 \times 2 \times 0.4 = 9.0\%$  of transaction costs, which leaves 3.4% of net profit.

 $^{13}$  See Daniel and Moskowitz (2011) for more detail on these variables.

<sup>14</sup> Each of the alpha estimates is annualized.

<sup>15</sup> The international market results we generate also address this issue.

<sup>16</sup> We thank an anonymous referee for highlighting this.

Related Research Data

Have trading rule profits in the currency markets declined over time? Source: Journal of Banking & Finance On Persistence in Mutual Fund Performance Source: The Journal of Finance A model of investor sentiment1We are grateful to the NSF for financial support, and to Oliver Blanchard, Alon Brav, John Campbell (a referee), John Cochrane, Edward Glaeser, J.B. Heaton, Danny Kahneman, David Laibson, Owen Lamont, Drazen Prelec, Jay Ritter (a referee), Ken Singleton, Dick Thaler, an anonymous referee, and the editor, Bill Schwert, for comments.1 Source: Journal of Financial Economics Are Seasonal Anomalies Real? A Ninety-Year Perspective Source: Review of Financial Studies A Century of Stock Market Liquidity and Trading Costs Source: SSRN Electronic Journal Common risk factors in the returns on stocks and bonds Source: Journal of Financial Economics Technical analysis: An asset allocation perspective on the use of moving averages Source: Journal of Financial Economics Firm characteristics and analyst following Source: Journal of Accounting and Economics Returns to Buying Winners and Selling Losers: Implications for Stock Market Efficiency Source: The Journal of Finance Momentum Crashes Source: SSRN Electronic Journal A New Anomaly: The Cross-Sectional Profitability of Technical Analysis Source: SSRN Electronic Journal Tests of technical trading strategies in the emerging equity markets of Latin America and Asia Source: Journal of Banking & Finance Market States and Momentum Source: SSRN Electronic Journal Investor Psychology and Security Market Under- and Overreactions Source: The Journal of Finance **On Technical Analysis** Source: Review of Financial Studies A Unified Theory of Underreaction, Momentum Trading, and Overreaction in Asset Markets Source: The Journal of Finance

Information Uncertainty and Stock Returns Source: The Journal of Finance Foundations of Technical Analysis: Computational Algorithms, Statistical Inference, and **Empirical Implementation** Source: The Journal of Finance Improving Time-Series Momentum Strategies: The Role of Trading Signals and Volatility Estimators Source: SSRN Electronic Journal **Time Series Momentum** Source: SSRN Electronic Journal Predictive Regressions with Time-Varying Coefficients Source: SSRN Electronic Journal Time series momentum trading strategy and autocorrelation amplification Source: Quantitative Finance International Stock Return Predictability: What is the Role of the United States? Source: SSRN Electronic Journal A Simple, Positive Semi-Definite, Heteroskedasticity and Autocorrelation Consistent **Covariance Matrix** Source: Econometrica Time-varying short-horizon predictability☆ Source: Journal of Financial Economics A Model of Investor Sentiment Source: Unknown Repository Forecasting the Equity Risk Premium: The Role of Technical Indicators Source: SSRN Electronic Journal Simple Technical Trading Rules and the Stochastic Properties of Stock Returns Source: The Journal of Finance Bad News Travels Slowly: Size, Analyst Coverage, and the Profitability of Momentum **Strategies** Source: The Journal of Finance Profitability of time series momentum Source: Journal of Banking & Finance Is This Time Different? Trend Following and Financial Crises Source: SSRN Electronic Journal Absolute Momentum: A Simple Rule-Based Strategy and Universal Trend-Following **Overlay** Source: SSRN Electronic Journal

Linking provided by Schole plorer

Related research 1

People also read

**Recommended articles** 

Cited by 59

|       |        | c   |
|-------|--------|-----|
| Intor | mation | tor |
|       | macion | 101 |


### Open access

Authors Overview **R&D** professionals **Open** journals Editors **Open Select** Librarians **Dove Medical Press** Societies F1000Research **Opportunities** Help and information Reprints and e-prints Help and contact Advertising solutions Newsroom Accelerated publication All journals Books Corporate access solutions

#### Keep up to date

Register to receive personalised research and resources by email

Sign me up





Copyright © 2025 Informa UK Limited Privacy policy Cookies Terms & conditions

Taylor & Francis Group an informa business

Accessibility

Registered in England & Wales No. 01072954 5 Howick Place | London | SW1P 1WG