

Quantitative Finance >  
Volume 17, 2017 - Issue 3

3,114 48

0


Views | CrossRef citations to date | Altmetric

Research Papers

# Time series momentum and moving average trading rules

Ben R. Marshall ✉, Nhut H. Nguyen &amp; Nuttawat Visaltanachoti

Pages 405-421 | Received 15 Apr 2015, Accepted 16 Jun 2016, Published online: 20 Jul 2016

Cite this article <https://doi.org/10.1080/14697688.2016.1205209>

Sample our  
Mathematics & Statistics  
Journals

>> Sign in here to start your access  
to the latest two volumes for 14 days

Full Article

Figures &amp; data

References

Citations

Metrics

Reprints &amp; Permissions

Read this article

## Abstract

We compare and contrast time series momentum (TSMOM) and moving average (MA) trading rules so as to better understand the sources of their profitability. These rules are closely related; however, there are important differences. TSMOM signals occur at points that coincide with a MA direction change, whereas MA buy (sell) signals only require price to move above (below) a MA. Our empirical results show MA rules

### About Cookies On This Site

We and our partners use cookies to enhance your website experience, learn how our site is used, offer personalised features, measure the effectiveness of our services, and tailor content and ads to your interests while you navigate on the web or interact with us across devices. You can choose to accept all of these cookies or only essential cookies. To learn more or manage your preferences, click "Settings". For further information about the data we collect from you, please see our [Privacy Policy](#).

Accept All

Essential Only

Settings

# Acknowledgements

We thank the editor and anonymous referees, participants at the Massey University Seminar Series, 2012 Victoria University of Wellington Finance Workshop, 2013 New Zealand Finance Colloquium, 2013 China International Conference in Finance, 2013 FMA conference, especially Andrea Bennett, Mark Hutchinson, and Henry C. Stein, Guofu Zhou and Yingzi Zhu for helpful comments. All errors are our own.

## Notes

<sup>1</sup> This is different to Jegadeesh and Titman's ([1993](#)) momentum anomaly which focuses on cross-sectional return comparisons. Here, an asset would be purchased if it was among those with the strongest past returns, even if the asset's price had declined during the evaluation period and the relative out-performance was simply due to its returns being less negative than its peers. In contrast, a time series momentum strategy would not buy this asset until it had positive past returns.

<sup>2</sup> Other papers also find support for time series momentum. Baltas and Kosowski ([2013](#)) show volatility estimators can be used to improve the performance of time series momentum strategies and Antonacci ([2013](#)) shows time series momentum or 'absolute momentum' as they call it has value as a stand-alone or overlay strategy.

<sup>3</sup> These results are not inconsistent. The average monthly return on cross-sectional momentum winner stocks (from Ken French's website) over the 1963–2011 period is 1.51% compared to 0.88% for the CRSP value-weighted index. However, the correlation between these two series is 0.85.

<sup>4</sup> We thank Ken French for making these data available on his website.

<sup>5</sup> MA exa ([1999](#)) for Asian an ([2012](#)) is also based on this Lo et al. ([2000](#))

<sup>6</sup> The res technical trading m

<sup>7</sup> We thank an anonymous referee for highlighting this point.

### About Cookies On This Site

We and our partners use cookies to enhance your website experience, learn how our site is used, offer personalised features, measure the effectiveness of our services, and tailor content and ads to your interests while you navigate on the web or interact with us across devices. You can choose to accept all of these cookies or only essential cookies. To learn more or manage your preferences, click "Settings". For further information about the data we collect from you, please see our [Privacy Policy](#).

Accept All

Essential Only

Settings



<sup>8</sup> We do not attempt to contribute to the literature that considers more sophisticated ways of defining and implementing moving average rule trading strategies (e.g. Hong and Satchell [2015](#)). Rather, we apply basic MA and TSMOM rules that have been widely used in the literature. This allows us to compare and contrast these rules without the suggestion of us tilting the test in the favour of one particular rule by considering a specification that is favourable to it.

<sup>9</sup> We are grateful to Henry C. Stern for explaining the equations and discussion in this section to us.

<sup>10</sup> We present results for the 50-day look-back period as it is in between the shortest (10 days) and longest (200 days) look-back periods. Results for the other look-back periods are available on request.

<sup>11</sup> We thank an anonymous referee for suggesting we consider these two scenarios separately.

<sup>12</sup> For example, from table [1](#), we see the mean excess returns p.a. for the 50-day look-back rule on quartile 3 stocks is 12.4%. The average holding period from table [3](#) is 22 days which implies 11.3 trades per year. If we assume average one-way transaction costs of 40 basis points, we get a total of  $11.3 \times 2 \times 0.4 = 9.0\%$  of transaction costs, which leaves 3.4% of net profit.

<sup>13</sup> See Daniel and Moskowitz ([2011](#)) for more detail on these variables.

<sup>14</sup> Each of the alpha estimates is annualized.

<sup>15</sup> The international market results we generate also address this issue.

<sup>16</sup> We thank an anonymous referee for highlighting this.

### About Cookies On This Site

We and our partners use cookies to enhance your website experience, learn how our site is used, offer personalised features, measure the effectiveness of our services, and tailor content and ads to your interests while you navigate on the web or interact with us across devices. You can choose to accept all of these cookies or only essential cookies. To learn more or manage your preferences, click "Settings". For further information about the data we collect from you, please see our [Privacy Policy](#).

Accept All

Essential Only

Settings

Related



## Information for

Authors

R&D professionals

Editors

Librarians

Societies

## Opportunities

Reprints and e-prints

Advertising solutions

Accelerated publication

Corporate access solutions

## Open access

Overview

Open journals

Open Select

Dove Medical Press

F1000Research

## Help and information

Help and contact

Newsroom

All journals

Books

## Keep up to date

Register to receive personalised research and resources by email



Sign me up



Copyright © 2024 Informa UK Limited [Privacy policy](#) [Cookies](#) [Terms & conditions](#)

[Accessibility](#)



Taylor & Francis Group  
an informa business

Registered in England & Wales No. 3099067  
5 Howick Place | London | SW1P 1WG

### About Cookies On This Site

We and our partners use cookies to enhance your website experience, learn how our site is used, offer personalised features, measure the effectiveness of our services, and tailor content and ads to your interests while you navigate on the web or interact with us across devices. You can choose to accept all of these cookies or only essential cookies. To learn more or manage your preferences, click “Settings”. For further information about the data we collect from you, please see our [Privacy Policy](#).

Accept All

Essential Only

Settings