








▶ All Journals ▶ Children's Geographies ▶ List of Issues ▶ Volume 6, Issue 4 ► The challenges of 'Children's Geographie

Children's Geographies > Volume 6, 2008 - Issue 4



4.078 61 Views CrossRef citations to date Altmetric

Listen

EDITORIAL INTRODUCTION

The challenges of 'Children's Geographies': a reaffirmation

John Horton , Peter Kraftl & Faith Tucker

Pages 335-348 | Published online: 23 Oct 2008

66 Cite this article https://doi.org/10.1080/14733280802338049

Full Article

Figures & data

References

66 Citations

Metrics

Reprints & Permissions

I. Intro

We Care About Your Privacy

We and our 842 partners store and/or access information on a device, such as unique IDs in cookies to process personal data. You may accept or manage your choices by clicking below, including your right to object where legitimate interest is used, or at any time in the privacy policy page. These choices will be signaled to our partners and will not affect browsing data. Privacy Policy

Use precise geolocation data. Actively scan device characteristics for identification. Store and/or access information on a device. Personalised advertising and content, advertising and content measurement, audience research and services development.

List of Partners (vendors)

We and our partners process data to provide:

Essential Onlat The re than 40 Show Purposes, and from ects of al contexts. this across ics and

LAccept

ere first

at the

diver The aim diversity multiple

subject

The mai

presente

Universi

research

conference and, as such, of the contemporary subdiscipline of 'Children's Geographies' at large. 2

By way of an introduction to the following collection of papers, we present three kinds of reflection. First, we consider a handful of critical contemporary responses to the New Directions in Children's Geographies conference. Figuring the conference as a microcosm of, and/or metaphor for, the subdiscipline of 'Children's Geographies' itself, we propound these critiques as challenging to (our) subdisciplinary habits, concerns and ways of working more broadly. In particular, we suggest that they require 'Children's Geographers' to articulate the challenge of 'Children's Geographies'; that is, they should prompt us to ask, in what ways should extant work by 'Children's Geographers' be conceived as fundamentally challenging to broader contemporary currents and domains of research and enquiry, such as Human Geography and the New Social Studies of Childhood? Second, we develop one set of answers to this latter question, refracting the diverse themes aired at the New Directions in Children's Geographies conference. We list a succession of ways in which the work of 'Children's Geographers' ought to 'talk back', more frequently and forcefully, to broader domains of theory and practice, and specifically mainstream academic Geography. Third, turning to the edition of the journal in hand, we introduce the papers that follow, and the specific ways in which they implicitly or explicitly extend a number of the challenges detailed in the preceding section.



event seemed like a success, in all sorts of ways. Formal and informal feedback from conference attendees was universally positive and congratulatory; our notes from the event are full of new ideas and inspirations forged in relation to the many interesting papers presented and discussions had. Moreover, like dedicated 'Children's Geographies' conferences before and since, the conference simply felt good: fostering a culture of relaxed, supportive, engaged discussion; bringing together a subdisciplinary network of familiar faces, research endeavours, intellectual subject positions and friendships.

In these latter respects, the conference can be considered a part of, and/or metaphor for, the subdisciplinary praxis of 'Children's Geographies' itself. This being the case, we present the preceding, somewhat critical responses to the New Directions in Children's Geographies conference to disallow (our) subdisciplinary self-aggrandisement and puncture (our) subdisciplinary satisfaction. For we suggest the claims contained therein fundamentally challenge the subdisciplinary habits, concerns and ways of working of 'CGs' itself. The 'same old stuff' criticism, alone, prompts some troubling, spiralling (self-)reflections ...

- Is 'Children's Geographies' really composed of recyclings of the 'same old stuff'? Is the subdiscipline really characterised by a lack of innovation? If so, what, ultimately, are the bases and causes of this lack of innovation?
- Does the 'same old stuff' of 'Children's Geographies' consist of the 'same old' familiar people, rehearsing the 'same old' concerns, habits and discussions? In short, is the subdisciplinary milieu of 'Children's Geographies' hecoming overly familia
 x ecially) to those
- ot directly,

immed

our su

- Who is to erging hip with
- What c d those

the promise of the new, of the (non-)challenge of 'Children's Geographies'? What, indeed, do they make of texts such as this editorial which constantly reflect upon the 'place' and relevance of the subdiscipline?

... And so on. These anxieties have the capacity to prompt and fuel difficult questions for (our) subdisciplinary practices and futures. Like ...

- What kinds of work could/should 'Children's Geographers' do, apart from the 'same old stuff'? What could/should change with/in the subdiscipline? What else could 'Children's Geographies' be and do? (cf. Horton and Kraftl 2006a).
- What might 'Children's Geographers' do (differently) to ensure that their work has a relevance, currency and vitality in excess of the established networks of the 'same old' people and discussions? (cf. Spencer 2005, Hopkins and Pain 2007, Horton and Kraftl 2008).
- What are the major challenges and, as such, the major bones of contention of and within 'Children's Geographies'? That is, how should extant and ongoing work within the subdiscipline be conceived as fundamentally challenging both to those within and those without the subdiscipline? How could and should 'harder-hitting' issues, which might perhaps take some of us one step away from our (conceptual) comfort zones, be productively entwined with extant research by 'Children's Geographers'? (see Vanderbeck 2008, for an excellent discussion of this question).

How should 'Children's Geographers' approach and work on, individually and collectively from those kinds of questions?


• And (r
To be s
Geogra
Simila
abo
(es
or not
wheth
foreve

somer

uestions?
ithin
;).
cerns
ile
whether
effect,
rganising sonates
ed) is

rather, we wonder whether if – after reading this editorial and other pieces like it – the subdiscipline would, could, and critically should look, feel or do anything different.

It is our hunch that 'Children's Geographers' should feel reasonably optimistic in approaching the preceding anxieties. For example, we would suggest that the New Directions in Children's Geographies conference did showcase numerous innovative works of scholarship and enquiry which did open out numerous challenging lines of discussion relevant to 'Children's Geographers' and many others. Frequently, though, it is our observation that some major challenges of 'Children's Geographies' are left implicit; that is, we suggest that one thing which 'Children's Geographers' could do more often and effectively is to articulate the ways in which their work should be conceived as challenging and mattering, beyond its own terms and beyond the immediate, familiar subdisciplinary prerogatives of 'Children's Geographies' (see also Holt and Holloway 2006). For example, we would challenge readers to articulate the ways in which (their) work within the subdiscipline of 'Children's Geographies' should be considered challenging in relation to broader contemporary contexts, such as Human Geography, Social Sciences and the New Social Studies of Childhood. That is: how does 'Children's Geographies' matter - (how) does it mount a sustained and important challenge - in/for these broader contexts? For we feel that, in the excited upsurge of research and enquiry regarding geographies of children and young people, 'Children's Geographers' have perhaps increasingly neglected to answer this question.5



methodological and theoretical approaches – and therefore those of researchers somehow conceived as 'others' – should be a productive endeavour.

In what follows, we reflect upon the New Directions in Children's Geographies conference, as metaphor and microcosm for the subdiscipline at large. We suggest a number of key ways in which extant work by 'Children's Geographers' could be conceived as fundamentally challenging beyond the confines of the subdiscipline itself. What follows is not intended to be revelatory; nor is intended to be an agenda for 'Children's Geographers' (like Matthews and Limb 1999) or a completist overview of 'Children's Geographies' (like McKendrick 2000). Rather, the following list is intended as a reaffirmation, and perhaps a reminder. For it is our contention that the following challenges are practically ever-present in the extant work of 'Children's Geographers', yet these challenges have become so embedded in subdisciplinary practices and discourses that they are, now, effectively implicit and taken-for-granted. As a point of departure, some of these challenges – and there are many others besides – are surely as follows; in each case, we propose one or more keys, summative question(s) which might prompt further reflection about and within 'Children's Geographies'.

1. 'Missing' children and young people

A concern to attend to experiences, issues and geographies of children and young people hitherto overlooked by Human Geographers was foundational to the subdiscipline of 'Children's Geographies' (James 1990, Philo 1992). Some version of this concern – to address the 'missing' geographies and voices of a quarter of the

population - surely remains axiomatic to most 'Children's Geographers' However, we

wonder

Geograp

observa

own con

the majo

Hum

and you

Econom

2003; SI

prepond

x f 'Children's
or it is our
ournal, its
ctivities im' work in
challenge
ed, children
accounts of
nd Smith

s. Likewise,


relatively little has yet been said about children and young people in the substantial Social Scientific literatures regarding embodiment and consumption.

Perhaps the existence of a distinct subdiscipline is part of the problem: perhaps 'Children's Geographies' is seen as sufficient in 'taking care of the kids'? Perhaps, therefore, 'Children's Geographies' needs to re-sharpen its critical edge. Perhaps, that is, 'Children's Geographers' are most challenging and relevant to broader domains of theory, research and practice when we articulate criticisms which seem simple, obvious and taken-for-granted in the context of our own subdiscipline. In other words, let us not forget to constantly problematise the absence of children and young people from broader contemporary ways of knowing, writing and researching the world.

So: why does it matter that children and young people are very often absent from broader epistemologies in Human Geography? And: what would a Human Geography – (re)invigorated with an acknowledgment of young people – stand to gain?

2. Alterity, otherness, interrelations

An attentiveness to social and cultural differences, diversities, identities and interrelations has been a major theme of work by Anglo-American Social and Cultural Geographers, at least since the 1990s (see Philo 1992, Pile and Rose 1992, Rose 1993, Harvey 1996). Indeed, the inception of subdisciplinary work regarding children and young people was part and parcel of this attentiveness (James 1990; Sibley 1995; Holloway and Valentine 2000).



nature of this difference, albeit often obliquely via considerations of research conduct, methodology and ethics (for example, consider, in this light the many 'top tips' for research with children and young people collated by Gallagher 2005). In short, then, 'Children's Geographies' might be conceived as a resource of empirical data and reflective practice which seeks to apprehend the difference that age – and, then, other lines of social/cultural difference – makes (see Horton, this volume). However, again, we suggest that this potential challenge of 'Children's Geographies' remains latent and underexplored beyond the confines of the subdiscipline itself.

So: how can the knowledges produced about children's and young people's geographies challenge, complement or extend the fundamental changes heralded by other forms of social/cultural difference within Human Geography since the 1990s?

3. Everyday spatialities

An attention to younger people's spatialities has been essentially omnipresent in work which describes itself as 'Children's Geographies', whether through the trope of cognitive place mapping (Matthews 1984, 1992, Spencer et al. 1989), broadly Social Geographical accounts of spatial identity and belonging (Holloway and Valentine 2001), or later Cultural Geographical versions of spacing (Harker 2005, Gallacher 2006). In effect, then, 'Children's Geographies' can be conceived as a dense cataloguing of multifarious ways in which spatialities matter in/for children and young people's everyday lives, and the complicated-ness and socio-political import of such mattering (cf. Massey 1998, Katz 2004). Although this may seem a banal truism for 'Children's Geographers' ourselves, we suggest that this close, empirical, evolving attentiveness to

the imposion contemposities 2000, Cl

On one I importorier of the No spatiality. One the spatiality

ory when
y (cf. Jones
)7).

X

day
ologically
the rubric
tention to
st others).

of space,

2005, Marston et al. 2005, Thrift 2006) which – one feels – would frequently be complicated and/or extended by engagement with the kinds of everyday spatialities with/in which 'Children's Geographers' work and reflect. For instance, two contemporary lines of geographical research in particular would – without essentialising the places of childhood in any sense – stand to gain from greater cross-referencing with 'Children's Geographies': geographies of architecture (Kraftl 2006, cf. Jacobs 2006) and especially the home (Blunt and Dowling 2006); and, geographies of mobility (Urry 2007). However, again, the efforts of 'Children's Geographers' (evident in the work of Brown et al. or Pike, this volume, for example), and the very significant challenges presented therein, have very often been conspicuously absent from these broader contemporary domains of research and enquiry.

So: how might 'Children's Geographers' detailed and explicit attentiveness to everyday spatialities be invested into ongoing discussions about space, place and everydayness in Human Geography?

4. Mess, honesty, immaturity

It seems to us that one notable, loosely defining characteristic of many 'Children's Geographers' is their willingness to publicly reflect, often deeply and critically, upon their own research practices and encounters and, thereby, themselves (for recent examples, see Gaskell 2008, Pyer 2008, or see Horton, this volume). No doubt largely because of the potentially ethically problematic, and frequently emotionally charged, nature of research with children and young people, we note that many 'Children's

Geographers' seemingly more than their contemporaries, tend to do this kind of critical

self-refle notably, research particula Geograp

We s Geog

are more

faltering

assage. Most phers' nature and g 'Children's perts 2001). hildren's sciplines -

lible, neat,

many academic accounts, textbooks, etc. (Game and Metcalfe 1996). Second, such histories allow, or even encourage, academics and researchers to present more honest, reflexive accounts of themselves - vulnerabilities, uncertainties, failings, faux pas and 'warts and all'. Third, our acknowledgment of the above prompts a number of more difficult philosophical questions, circling around our essential 'immaturity' in the face of the world's irreconcileability (do we always, or ever, really know what we are doing? Can we ever know what is going on in a given circumstance? Can we ever anticipate all of the ethically problematic possibilities of a situation?) (Lee 1998, 2001). To date, the majority of this kind of reflection by 'Children's Geographers' has tended to be inwardlooking, oriented towards a limited subdisciplinary community, and towards relatively immediate concerns such as research training. However, we suggest that 'Children's Geographers' willingness to own up, with increasing honesty - although not of necessity any greater objectivity - to the nature of research practice poses significant, as yet unrealised, challenges for many other domains of Social Scientific research, where the passions, realities and fallibilities of doing research - in 'real life' - remain relatively underplayed, or even unspeakable (Widdowfield 2000).

So: how might 'Children's Geographers' sensitivity to the messiness and fallibility of research (with children) be taken up outside the subdiscipline? More importantly, how might such knowledges contribute to a challenging of neat, academic understandings of researching in the (uncontrollable) world, and of simply being in the world (Harrison 2008) (and not only in ways which reinforce contemporary concerns with nonrepresentational geographies)?

5. Emo

The hith and polit Theorist prepond

Geogaspec (Bondi e

Geograp reflectio

their ow

ocial, cultural porary Social an ongoing ective didren's via ection upon tken 2005);

×

important subtext in a growing array of work by 'Children's Geographers' (Harker <u>2005</u>, see Woodyer or Horton, this volume).

We feel that, as the preceding examples suggest, 'Children's Geographers' ought to be well-placed to contribute, in a number of challenging, critical ways, to broader contemporary theorisations of emotion/affect. First, simply, the extant/ongoing work of 'Children's Geographers' offers an array of detailed, empirical reflections upon emotions/affects in the contexts of everyday issues and spatialities - that is, diverse quotidian empirical extensions/nuances to the kinds of virtuosic theoretical treatises which characterise much contemporary theorisation of emotion/affect. Certainly, the diverse empirical work of 'Children's Geographies' details numerous, specific, situated kinds of emotional/affective experience, and their diverse manifestations as, for example, nostalgia, reverie or trauma. Second - as outlined in Challenge 4, above - the work of 'Children's Geographers' often entails a relatively significant commitment to critical self-reflection regarding, for example, research methods/ethics. We suggest that the process and outcomes of this body of self-reflection might be conceived as a resource illuminating the emotional geographies of research practice, ethical decisionmaking and, for example, encounters between 'adults' and 'children'. Third, we feel that 'Children's Geographers' ought to have much to say about the manufacture, patterning, consequences and power of particular affects and/or emotions, via their extensive extant reflection upon the representations and discourses (and - we would conceive – affects) of 'childhood' itself (cf. Kraftl and Adey 2008).

So: in which ways might the multiple emotions and affects of/in 'Children's

Geograp treatment X of emoti 6. Tem erican Over the r, in Huma ackn nrift 2006). The wor htforwardly and obv eptual context. explicitly in domestic and dire

learning during childhood (for example, see Horton and Kraftl, forthcoming). Second, much 'Children's Geographies' is, practically by definition, concerned to register and explore the spatial manifestations and complexities of different temporalities – be it conceived as em-bodily becoming, developmental stages, social transitions, 'growing up', 'coming of age', or simply 'going on' (for diverse examples, see Valentine 2003, Tucker 2003, Horton and Kraftl 2006b, or see Brown et al. or Woodyer, this volume). Third, relatedly, 'Children's Geographers' concerned with the social construction of childhood have often (perhaps implicitly) been concerned to uncover how discourses/representations of temporality can be of constitutive and political importance in contemporary societies and childhoods; for example, biological accounts of developmental time, and sociological accounts of youth transitions have frequently been critiqued in this way (for example Philo 1997, Holloway and Valentine 2000). Fourth, a number of 'Children's Geographers' have been concerned to reflect on the ways in which temporality matters as a marker and constituent of social/cultural difference, for example in terms of the complexities of generations, inter-generational relations, lifecourses and the manifold geographies of 'age' (Punch 2002, Hopkins and Pain 2007, Vanderbeck 2007). However, to date, 'Children's Geographies' has seldom figured as an explicit focus for reflections on temporality and processuality in/of Human Geography - except, of course, through a kind of categorical sub-disciplinarity ('dealing with the kids').

So: how can the multiple and conflicting entanglements of temporality, spatiality, practice and power through which children's lives are constituted be usefully re-figured

to underscore broader conceptions of the lifecourse, social difference, relationality and

everyda

challeng

difference

7. Doin

As al continuous neglecte
Perhaps
Geograp
the last

x graphies' dress the

een, and
e too-often
ig people.
n's
practice over

Here, the specific work of Geographers regarding children and young people has come to be widely cited and influential in broader contemporary discussions regarding political agency and tactics for enabling the participation of 'hard-to-reach' groups (for instance, Pain 2004). This body of work is well-used as a 'toolkit' of participatory, often innovative, techniques and approaches (see Children's Geographies vol. 6 issue 1). However, in addition, we suggest that the key challenge posed by this body of work lies in its capacity to prompt open, more broadly challenging questions regarding the nature of academic work per se. For example, this body of work should make us wonder: to what extent do our actions as academics match our political commitments (Vanderbeck 2008, Barker 2008, Gallagher 2008)? In the context of our work as academics, what matters, and what is useful and/or affirmative? What should we be doing? What could/should be the nature of the relationship between academic research, researchers, theory, policy, politics, research participants? And so on. Importantly, these kinds of questions have the capacity to challenge and unsettle far beyond the immediate subdisciplinary context of research specifically with children and young people. Yet, critically, the nature of research with young people, as it is constructed by university ethics committees and local/global laws - indeed the socially and legally mediated relationship between adults and children - could allow 'Children's Geographers' an opportune position from which to consider how and why participatory geographies proceed.

So: how do the complexities of research with children chime with broader trends towards participatory research in contemporary Human Geography? What challenges might 'Children's Geographies' – and the inter-personal relations, encounters, events

and resp practical now be I young pound po and
hat should
dren and
s volume) -

X



d 'Children's I practices ndish <u>2004</u>).

this, in at

A number Geograp regardin We sugg

past and present (Ploszajska 1994, Gagen 2004, Kraftl 2006, Newman et al. 2007). In contemporary understandings of teaching and learning – in diverse settings, and oriented towards diverse age groups – the considerable importance of environments for teaching and learning is recognised; however, to date, 'Children's Geographers' – even those whose work has specifically concerned spatialities of/for education – have very seldom contributed to these understandings. Second, it is increasingly argued that 'Children's Geographers' could and should contribute understandings of (young) learners' own concerns and lifeworlds, to support the development of curricula and (especially active learning) activities which are more effectively and engagingly learning-centred. In the UK, for example, a handful of innovative examples of curriculum development have sought to introduce learners' own geographies into Geography lessons taught to both very young children and teenagers (Catling 2003, 2005, Tucker 2006). Fran Martin's paper in this volume explores the issue in respect to primary school education in England.

The potentially very challenging contributions of 'Children's Geographers' to understandings of and developments in teaching and learning are, as yet, in their nascent stages. So: how might the 'lessons learned' about the geographies of education and/or the education of geographies challenge both extant understandings as to the spaces and place of education, and the ways in which 'Children's Geographies' might matter to learning about Geography more broadly?

9. Engaging 'other'/'youthful' subdisciplines and currents of research in Human Geography

Whilst 'Cattention enjoyed Geograp legitimal Yet Frecogard develope causes causes causes can to the second cause of the second

ners' earlier
as not
es around
f Geography.
ity and
recently
'). The
', 'turns' and
', as they
ss, the

actors, materials, places, cultures, lifestyles, and so on) are variably situated within the broad and self-proclaimed 'inclusive' field of academic Geography.

Working from the above generalisation, we contend that if the challenging of 'Children's Geographies' is to occur, then this could proceed somehow in relation with 'other' geographies in at least three ways. First – and with extreme care, lest subdisciplinary divisiveness, bitterness and antagonism be somehow evoked - 'Children's Geographers' might seek to explore how their assumptions, politics and theorising could be usefully and productively aligned with, for instance, Feminist Geographers and Queer Theorists. This may seem an obvious point, given that some early work in 'Children's Geographies' emerged from feminist geographies of parenting (as summarised by Rose 1993). Our suggestion is, though, that 'Children's Geographies' retains at a fundamental level some similar basic assumptions (for instance, around participation and 'voice'). We are not insinuating that these politics are somehow the same, or that all geographers of difference should 'club together' against some greater evil: far from it. Instead, we propose that in light of developments in these subdisciplines over the last decade (and especially the proliferation of cross-cutting theories and methodologies in each) may mean that the distinctions between different geographical subdisciplines such as Feminist and Children's Geographies are less clear-cut, and perhaps could (and should) develop from their earlier congruencies.

We would – with many others, surely – wish to see whether greater, newly invigorated, but always partial collaborations between 'Children's Geographers' and other geographers of difference might be productive. This process (which continues in an

albeit lin forging a X simply p allenges and creativit xample: 2007) by current Geograp cin 'Childre flections upor : 'challenge' 'Childre e entangled amongst

In this article

Second,

experier

rom' the

gles to find

which will in all likelihood always preclude under-18-year-olds (and, in reality, under-25-year-olds) having a formal voice in academia, 'Children's Geographers' may wish to adopt a number of similar tactics to ensure that the subdiscipline is more challenging to mainstream Geography than at present.

Third, and in doing the above, 'Children's Geographers' should, surely, be party to a gathering holism within contemporary Social Geographies – a drive to promote more holistic, yet sensitive, dynamic and multiple, senses of how differences matter, in combination – what Hopkins and Pain (2007) term 'inter-sectionality'. This move should not simply entail the inclusion of additive social categorisations like 'age', 'gender', 'sexuality' as a mandatory footnote for every piece of empirical research (Horton and Kraftl 2008); rather, 'Children's Geographers' should be well-placed to contribute to discussions about how intersecting forms of difference come to matter in the course of everyday lives.

So: what might be gained from aligning geographical studies of children and young people with the geographical study of other forms of difference?

To reiterate, the preceding list is intended to illustrate a number of different ways in which the work of 'Children's Geographers' might 'talk back', more frequently and forcefully, to broader domains of theory and practice. We have selected a diverse array of challenges: some might be considered relatively 'mature', where 'Children's Geographers' have already significantly contributed to broader discussions (e.g., Challenge 7); other challenges are far more nascent, and we include them as examples of areas where 'Children's Geographers' could and should continue to do more (e.g., Challenge 7).

challeng should b x graphies' ewhat



The paper the New stylistical from div

sented at cally, by authors papers

Geographies', along at least one of the lines detailed in the preceding section. First, Tara Woodyer provides a thoroughgoing reflection upon the concepts of embodied practice and heterogeneous/hybrid geographies, as they relate to children's everyday geographies. In so doing, and through a sustained consideration of some specific moments of playing-in-practice, she prompts Children's Geographies readers to draw from, and critically engage with, broader contemporary theorisations of play, embodiment, performativity, materiality and spatiality. Second, John Horton presents a deeply personal, reflective consideration of some ethical complexities of (being a male adult) doing research with children. In essence, the paper argues that too many chief accounts of research ethics have tended to efface the messy, complex, troubling, excessive and affective nature of research in practice, and that beginning from this kind of mess might demand different, more honest, less certain ways of thinking and writing research ethics and intergenerational difference. Third, Belinda Brown et al. present findings from a major empirical investigation of children and young people's spatial behaviours and 'pathways to independent mobility' in the South-east of England. They suggest that these data unsettle some contemporary societal fears and media discourses regarding limits to younger people's spatial/social learning and mobility, especially as they pertain to gender. Fourth, Alan Metcalfe et al. explore the complexities of the school lunchbox. Through vignettes, talk from Anglophone online communities and popular cultural bits and pieces they suggest that the ostensibly small, quotidian, taken-for-granted object of the lunchbox should be understood as a 'container' for manifold social/familial relations, meanings, spatialities and subjectivities; in so doing they gesture towards the complexity of children's everyday

spatial p
apprehe
analysis
through
provision
situation
child
intention
colonise
which w
Finally, F

different,
es. Pike's
loped
es a
t social
rding
nese wello-often
extent to
and wishes.

X

d learning of

implicat

UK, she challenges 'Children's Geographers' to envisage and contribute to the development of 'ethnogeographical' curricular interventions.

Notes

Thanks are due to Sarah Armstrong, Michelle Pyer and Professors Hugh Matthews and Andrew Pilkington for their support and advice during the planning, organisation and running of the conference. Thanks also go to Nicola Ansell and Issy Cole-Hamilton for thought-provoking keynote discussions.

Readers may find it instructive to read the present assortment of papers in conjunction with two additional collections from the same conference in the following journals: Built Environment (2007; vol. 33, no. 4), and Mobilities (forthcoming 2009).

Transcribed verbatim from Valentine's oral presentation. As Vanderbeck (2008, p. 3) notes, Valentine summarised this point on her Powerpoint presentation with the statement 'same old stuff: it's so boring'.

As per the Emerging Issues in Children's Geographies conference held at Brunel University, London, in June 2005 (see Children's Geographies, 4(3) and 6(2)).

We might speculate on the reasons for this neglect: perhaps 'Children's Geographers' have simply begun to take-for-granted and/or leave implicit the rationale for, and challenge of, their work; perhaps 'Children's Geographers' have habitually drawn

inspirati
sources;
Geograp
and posi
subdisci
habituro
of deci.

Related Research Data

Intergenerational geographies: age relations, segregation and re-engagements

Source: Wiley

Editorial: Theorising other childhoods in a globalised World

Source: Informa UK Limited

Children's Geographies: Tracing the Evolution and Involution of a Concept

Source: Informa UK Limited

Pram mobilities: affordances and atmospheres that assemble childhood and

motherhood on-the-move

Source: Informa UK Limited

You Could Truly Be Yourself If You Just Weren't You: Sexuality, Disabled Body

Space, and the (Neo)Liberal Politics of Self-Help

Source: SAGE Publications

Unpacking children in migration research

Source: Informa UK Limited

Timespace


Source: Routledge

Reflections on geographies of age: a response to Hopkins and Pain

Source: Wiley

Children's personal geographies and the English primary school geography

curriculum



Local belonging and 'geographies of emotions': Immigrant children's

experience of their neighbourhoods in Paris and Berlin

Source: SAGE Publications

Conceptions of place: approaching space, children and physical activity

Source: Informa UK Limited

The place of emotions in academic research

Source: Wiley

Neglected rural geographies: A review

Source: Elsevier BV

Institutional borders, revolutionary imaginings and the becoming-adult of the

child

Source: Informa UK Limited

Home

Source: Routledge

Social geography: seven deadly myths in policy research:

Source: SAGE Publications

Architecture/Affect/Inhabitation: Geographies of Being-In Buildings

Source: Informa UK Limited

Building an idea: the material construction of an ideal childhood

Source: Wiley

Reconceptualising temporality in young lives: exploring young people's current

and future livelihoods in AIDS-affected southern Africa

Source: John Wiley & Sons

'Befo ations

on the

Edito

Sour

Field

Sour

Terra

Sour

Snac

settings

Source: Informa UK Limited

What else? some more ways of thinking and doing 'Children's Geographies'

Source: Informa UK Limited

Intervening in Friendship Exclusion? The Politics of Doing Feminist Research

with Teenage Girls

Source: Informa UK Limited

Towards an immature sociology

Source: SAGE Publications

Guest Editorial: Political geographies of children and young people

Source: Informa UK Limited

'Power is not an evil': rethinking power in participatory methods

Source: Informa UK Limited

Playing and affective time-spaces

Source: Routledge

Encouraging play in the natural environment: a child-focused case study of

Forest School

Source: Informa UK Limited


Interviews Worth the Tears? Exploring Dilemmas of Research with Young Carers

in Zimbabwe

Source: Informa UK Limited

Geographies of age: thinking relationally

Source: Wiley



Source: Informa UK Limited

Youth transitions and interdependent adult-child relations in rural Bolivia

Source: Elsevier BV

Placing cyberspace: processes of Americanization in British children's use of

the Internet

Source: Wiley

The 'youth-fullness' of youth geographies: 'coming of age'?

Source: Informa UK Limited

Moral landscapes and manipulated spaces: gender, class and space in

Victorian reformatory schools

Source: Elsevier BV

Sameness or Difference? Exploring Girls' Use of Recreational Spaces

Source: Informa UK Limited

Boundary Crossings: Transitions from Childhood to Adulthood

Source: Informa UK Limited

'The health event': Everyday, affective politics of participation

Source: Elsevier BV


'Isolation and Distress'? (Re)thinking the place of emotions in youth research

Source: Informa UK Limited

Twenty-five years of children's geographies: a planner's perspective

Source: Informa UK Limited

Young islanders, the meteorological imagination, and the art of geopolitical engagement



A geography of big things

Source: SAGE Publications

Linking provided by Schole plorer

References

- 1. Aitken, S. 2001. Fielding diversity and moral integrity. Ethics, Place and Environment, 4: 125 129.
 - Google Scholar
- 2. Aitken, S. 2005. "The awkward spaces of fathering". In Spaces of masculinity, Edited by: van Hoven, B. and Hörschelmann, K. 222 237. London: Routledge. Google Scholar
- 3. Anderson , K. and Smith , S. 2001 . Emotional geographies . Transactions of the Institute of British Geographers , 26:7-10 .
 - | Web of Science ® | Google Scholar
- 4. Barker , J. 2008 . Methodologies for change? A critique of applied research in children's geographies . Children's Geographies , 6 : 183 194 .
 - Web of Science ® Google Scholar
- 5. Barker × cs, Place and
- Enviro
- 6. Blunt



7. Bondi


Bondi


Goog

In this article

lited by:


8. Brown, G. 2007. "Autonomy, affinity and play in the spaces of radical queer activism ". In Geographies of sexualities: theory, practices and politics, Edited by: Browne , K. , Lim , J. and Brown , G. 290 – 312 . Ashgate : Aldershot . Google Scholar 9. Catling, S. 2003. Curriculum contested: primary geography and social justice. Geography, 88: 164 - 210. Web of Science ® Google Scholar .0. Catling , S. 2005 . Children's personal geographies and the English primary school curriculum. Children's Geographies, 3:325 - 244. Google Scholar .1. Cloke, P. and Jones, O. 2005. Unclaimed territory: childhood and disordered space(s) . Social and Cultural Geographies , 6 : 311 - 333 . Web of Science ® Google Scholar .2. Crewe , L. and Collins , P. 2006 . Commodifying children: fashion, space and the production of the profitable child. Environment and Planning A, 38:7-24. Web of Science ® Google Scholar .3. Gagen, E. 2004. Making America flesh: physicality and nationhood in turn-of-thecentury New York schools . Cultural Geographies , 11 : 417 - 442 . X .4. Gallac s)ordering raphy online mater paper .5. Gallac and young people 1: http:// Goog








```
33. Kraftl , P. and Horton , J. 2007 . 'The health event': everyday, affective politics of
  participation . Geoforum , 38 : 1012 - 1027 .
           Web of Science ® Google Scholar
34. Jacobs , J. 2006 . A geography of big things . Cultural Geographies , 13 : 1 - 27 .
           Web of Science ® Google Scholar
35. James , S. 1990 . Is there a 'place' for children in geography? . Area , 22 : 278 - 283 .
   Web of Science ® Google Scholar
86. Jones , O. 2000 . " Melting geography: purity, disorder, childhood and space " . In
  Children's Geographies: playing, living, learning, Edited by: Holloway, S. and
  Valentine, G. 29 - 47. London: Routledge.
   Google Scholar
37. Jones , O. 2001 . 'Before the dark of reason': some ethical and epistemological
  considerations on the otherness of children . Ethics, Place and Environment , 4:173 -
  178.
           Google Scholar
38. Jones , O. 2005 . " An ecology of memory, self and landscape " . In Emotional
  geographies, Edited by: Bondi, L., Smith, M. and Davidson, J. London: Ashgate.
   Google Scholar
                                                                         X
9. Jones
  Geogr
                                                                            ence.
  Augus
   Goog
0. Ka
                                                                             everyday
  lives,
   Goog
1. Lee ,
                                                                             458 - 482 .
 In this article
```

2. Lee, N. 2001. Childhood and society: growing up in an age of uncertainty, Milton Keynes: Open University Press. Google Scholar 3. Marston , S. , Jones , J. P. and Woodward , K. 2005 . Human geography without scale . Transactions of the Institute of British Geographers, 30:416 - 432. Web of Science ® Google Scholar 4. Massey , D. 1998 . " The spatial construction of youth culture " . In Cool places: geographies of youth cultures, Edited by: Skelton, T. and Valentine, G. 121 - 129. London: Routledge. Google Scholar 5. Massey, D. 2005. For space, London: Sage. Google Scholar 6. Matthews , H. 1992 . Making sense of place: children's understanding of large-scale environments, Hemel Hempstead: Harvester Wheatsheaf. Google Scholar 7. Matthews, H. 2001. Children and community regeneration: creating better neighbourhoods, London: Save the Children. Google Scholar × 8. Matth of children: a revi ted by: May 0. McKer . Childhood , 7 : 3In this article









Information for

Authors

R&D professionals

Editors

Librarians

Societies

Opportunities

Reprints and e-prints

Advertising solutions

Accelerated publication

Corporate access solutions

Open access

Overview

Open journals

Open Select

Dove Medical Press

F1000Research

Help and information

Newsroom

Books

Keep up to date

Register to receive personalised research and resources by email













Accessib











