



891 | 11 | 0  
Views | CrossRef citations to date | Altmetric

Articles






# "I'm a Greek Kiwi": Constructing *Greekness* in Discourse


Jo Angouri 

Pages 96-108 | Published online: 16 May 2012

 Cite this article  <https://doi.org/10.1080/15348458.2012.667303>

Sample our  
Education  
Journals  
>> [Sign in here](#) to start your access  
to the latest two volumes for 14 days

 Full Article  Figures & data  References  Citations  Metrics

 Reprints & Permissions [Read this article](#) [Share](#)

## Abstract

The article reports on the preliminary findings of a project on the constructions of Greekness in modern diasporas. The discussion draws on data from the self-identified Greek community of Wellington, New Zealand. Interview data, ethnographic diaries, and everyday real-life spoken interactions were collected. The analysis of the data shows that the interactants move away from a “teleology of return” ([Clifford, 1997](#)) and reconceptualise the host/minority dimension, highlighting the dynamics and complexities of Greek identity. Special attention is paid in the analysis to the role of institutions, particularly the Greek Orthodox community, in constructing a Greek identity in this context. The standpoint taken considers identity not to be a stable, predefined entity but an ongoing dynamic process that is constantly negotiated and co-constructed between the interactants ([De Fina, Schiffrin, & Bamberg, 2006](#)). Identity is not something interactants are or have but rather something they actively do in

discourse. The article closes by arguing that diasporas are not static “definable” communities, but dynamic systems shaping and being shaped in the discourse of their self-affiliated members.

Keywords:

Greek diaspora New Zealand Greekness homeland identity

---

## Notes

<sup>1</sup>The acronym will be used to refer to organized associations that self-identify and are registered as a GOC, also to distinguish from the colloquial/loose use of the term community.

<sup>2</sup>A discussion on this goes beyond the scope of this work, however, census data often are limited and other classifications are based on researchers' understandings of how community members should be “counted” (e.g., up to third generation, those who self-identify being of a certain origin, those who speak the language of the country of origin, and so on).

<sup>3</sup>All names are pseudonyms.

<sup>4</sup>Because of space limitations a more detailed discussion will be provided elsewhere.

<sup>5</sup>Translation mine.

<sup>6</sup>Specifically, Melbourne, one of the largest GOCs, plays a particularly important role as a “local Greek metropolis” for the NZ participants (and a destination of interdiasporic immigration). Although Melbourne is seen as attracting more support by the homeland, which causes a certain amount of resentment, it is also a place that is constructed as the “ideal home” because of the size of the Greek community and its characteristics (particularly that it is English speaking and presented as “better” connected with the homeland). According to my informants, a large number of the Greeks who moved to NZ subsequently moved to Australia to join the large communities there. Even though a detailed discussion on this goes beyond the scope of this work, immigration of the

Greek population to Australia follows the pattern of immigration of the NZ population (see, e.g., [Hugo, 2001](#)).

#### Related Research Data

[Accommodation theory: Communication, context, and consequence](#)

Source: Unknown Repository

[Diasporas in Modern Societies: Myths of Homeland and Return](#)

Source: *Diaspora A Journal of Transnational Studies*

[Duelling Languages, Duelling Values: Codeswitching in bilingual intergenerational conflict talk in diasporic families](#)

Source: *Journal of Pragmatics*

[Resistance to creolization: An interpersonal and intergroup account](#)

Source: *Language & Communication*

[The Greek Diaspora in the Twentieth Century](#)

Source: Unknown Repository

[Sociolinguistic authenticities](#)

Source: *Journal of Sociolinguistics*

[Prototypical similarity, self-categorization, and depersonalized attraction: A perspective on group cohesiveness](#)

#### Related research

People also read

Recommended articles

Cited by  
11

## Information for

[Authors](#)

[R&D professionals](#)

[Editors](#)

[Librarians](#)

[Societies](#)

## Opportunities

[Reprints and e-prints](#)

[Advertising solutions](#)

[Accelerated publication](#)

[Corporate access solutions](#)

## Open access

[Overview](#)

[Open journals](#)

[Open Select](#)

[Dove Medical Press](#)

[F1000Research](#)

## Help and information

[Help and contact](#)

[Newsroom](#)

[All journals](#)

[Books](#)

## Keep up to date

Register to receive personalised research and resources by email




Sign me up



Copyright © 2026 Informa UK Limited [Privacy policy](#)

[Cookies](#) [Terms & conditions](#) [Accessibility](#)

Registered in England & Wales No. 01072954  
5 Howick Place | London | SW1P 1WG

 Taylor and Francis  
Group