

Emerging Markets Finance and Trade >

Volume 53, 2017 - [Issue 12: East Asia's Growing Global Influence and Challenges in Finance and Trade](#)

245 | 4 | 3
Views | CrossRef citations to date | Altmetric

East Asia's Growing Global Influence and Challenges in Finance and Trade

Inertia of the US Dollar as a Key Currency Through the Two Crises

Eiji Ogawa  & Makoto Muto

Pages 2706-2724 | Accepted author version posted online: 22 Sep 2017, Published online: 21 Nov 2017

 Cite this article  <https://doi.org/10.1080/1540496X.2017.1336998>



Sample our
Economics, Finance,
Business & Industry Journals
>> **Sign in here** to start your access
to the latest two volumes for 14 days

-  Full Article
-  Figures & data
-  References
-  Citations
-  Metrics
-  Reprints & Permissions
- [Read this article](#)
- [Share](#)

ABSTRACT

This article investigates effects of the global financial crisis (GFC) as well as the euro zone crisis on the position of US dollar as a key currency. We base on a money-in-the-utility model to analyze empirically whether the two crises changed a coefficient on real balance of US dollar in the utility function or its contribution to utility. An empirical result is that the contribution of US dollar to utility decreased during a period when financial institutions faced the US dollar liquidity shortages. The liquidity shortage decreased the contribution of US dollar to utility during the global financial crisis.

KEY WORDS:

[global financial crisis](#) [inertia](#) [key currency](#) [liquidity shortage](#) [money-in-the-utility model](#)

Notes

1. The FRB concluded new currency swap arrangements with the European Central Bank (ECB) and the Swiss National Bank on 12th December 2007. Afterwards, it increased amount of currency swap arrangements and concluded them with other central banks.
2. Ogawa and Sasaki ([1998](#)) used the similar theoretical model to conduct an empirical analysis on the USD as a key currency.
3. Calvo (Calvo [1981](#), [1985](#)), Obstfeld ([1981](#)), Blanchard and Fischer ([1989](#)).
4. The liquidity cost is an enactment cost in the Baumol ([1952](#)) - Tobin ([1956](#)) type of transaction demand for money model.
5. The illiquidity cost is a penalty cost of cash shortage in a precautionary demand for money model according to Whalen (Wharlen [1966](#)).
6. See Appendix for derivation of [Equations \(8a\)](#) and [\(8b\)](#).
7. We may use a method of Fama and Gibbons ([1984](#)) to estimate expected inflation rates. A sample period is much shorter than that by using the ARIMA model due to data constraints if we use the method. For the reason, we choose to use the ARIMA model.
8. See Feenstra, Inklaar, and Timmer ([2013](#)) for reference.

Related research

People also read

Recommended articles

Cited by
4

Information for

[Authors](#)

[R&D professionals](#)

[Editors](#)

[Librarians](#)

[Societies](#)

Opportunities

[Reprints and e-prints](#)

[Advertising solutions](#)

[Accelerated publication](#)

[Corporate access solutions](#)

Open access

[Overview](#)

[Open journals](#)

[Open Select](#)

[Dove Medical Press](#)

[F1000Research](#)

Help and information

[Help and contact](#)

[Newsroom](#)

[All journals](#)

[Books](#)

Keep up to date

Register to receive personalised research and resources by email



Sign me up



Copyright © 2026 Informa UK Limited [Privacy policy](#)

[Cookies](#) [Terms & conditions](#) [Accessibility](#)

Registered in England & Wales No. 01072954
5 Howick Place | London | SW1P 1WG



Taylor & Francis
by informa