

892 Views | 13 CrossRef citations to date | 0 Altmetric

ARTICLES

# Dollar-Cost Averaging and Prospect Theory Investors: An Explanation for a Popular Investment Strategy

Hubert Dichtl & Wolfgang Drobetz

Pages 41-52 | Published online: 07 Mar 2011

Cite this article <https://doi.org/10.1080/15427560.2011.555029>

Sample our  
Economics, Finance,  
Business & Industry Journals

>> **Sign in here** to start your access  
to the latest two volumes for 14 days

- Full Article
- Figures & data
- References
- Citations
- Metrics

Reprints & Permissions

Read this article

## Abstract

Dollar-co  
step in r  
were un  
that doll  
finance.  
alloc  
lump  
support  
perfectly

### We Care About Your Privacy

We and our 843 partners store and/or access information on a device, such as unique IDs in cookies to process personal data. You may accept or manage your choices by clicking below, including your right to object where legitimate interest is used, or at any time in the privacy policy page. These choices will be signaled to our partners and will not affect browsing data. [Privacy Policy](#)

We and our partners process data to provide:

Use precise geolocation data. Actively scan device characteristics for identification. Store and/or access information on a device. Personalised advertising and content, advertising and content measurement, audience research and services development.

List of Partners (vendors)

- I Accept
- Essential Only
- Show Purpose

m step-by-  
investors  
95] argues  
behavioral  
asset  
rable to a  
n results  
onal but a

# Notes

1. Fisher and Statman [1999] use a similar framework to analyze time diversification.
2. See Statman [1995], p. 74.
3. See Tversky and Kahneman [1992], p. 298.
4. As an example, Statman [1995] discusses defined-contribution pension plans, such as 401(k)s, where employees do not have an explicit choice between a lump sum investment and a dollar-cost averaging investment. See Statman [1995], p. 76.
5. Therefore, the results of Frühwirth and Mikula [2008] are not surprising. They compare a 10-year lump sum investment with a 10-year dollar-cost averaging strategy based on yearly payments. With a high equity risk premium, one would expect that the lump sum strategy dominates the dollar-cost averaging strategy over this long time horizon.
6. Prior studies also use a one-year time horizon to analyze dollar-cost averaging (e.g., [Williams and Bacon \[1993\]](#), Thorley [1994], Bacon et al. [1997], Abeysekera and Rosenbloom [2000]). Moreover, Benartzi and Thaler [1995] support the hypothesis that a one-year time horizon is most appropriate when evaluating investment performance.
7. Framing refers to the experimental observation that the way a problem or decision is presented
8. See In parameter
9. Breue
10. S ial  
proper numerical  
example
11. See
12. Abey % annual  
stock market volatility.



13. See Dimson et al. [2006]. They document a high volatility around 30% p.a. in the German, Italian, and Japanese stock markets over a long period of time (1900–2005).
14. There may be other reference points, for example, the return target derived within an asset-liability analysis for a specific investor. However, such a reference point is highly investor-specific, and the results cannot be generalized. Furthermore, as other studies also use the zero return and the risk-free rate as reference points (e.g., Hens and Bachmann [2008]), we believe that this is a representative choice for our study.
15. For simplification, we implemented a statistical test only for cumulative prospect values.
16. The parameters recommended in Abdellaoui et al. [2005] are also used in the study of Breuer and Perst [2007].
17. The risk-free rates are average values from the Frankfurt money market.
18. As we simulate continuously compounded monthly returns with the geometric Brownian motion, we also analyze the statistical properties of the continuously compounded real return data. In this way, we see the fittings and the differences between our simulated returns and real return data.
19. See Brennan et al. [2005], pp. 529–530; Campbell et al. [1997], pp. 66–68.
20. Statistical hypothesis tests (e.g., the paired t-test used in this study) usually assume independent observations. In a traditional bootstrap approach the independent observations are replaced by random replacement. In the block bootstrap approach, the observations are replaced by overlapping blocks of observations.



Information for

- Authors
- R&D professionals
- Editors
- Librarians
- Societies

Opportunities

- Reprints and e-prints
- Advertising solutions
- Accelerated publication
- Corporate access solutions

Open access

- Overview
- Open journals
- Open Select
- Dove Medical Press
- F1000Research

Help and information

- Help and contact
- Newsroom
- All journals
- Books

Keep up to date

Register to receive personalised research and resources by email

 Sign me up



✕