







Home ▶ All Journals ▶ Journal of Behavioral Finance ▶ List of Issues ▶ Volume 12, Issue 3 ▶ Investor Optimism, False Hopes and the J ....

Journal of Behavioral Finance > Volume 12, 2011 - Issue 3

1,413 28

11

Views CrossRef citations to date Altmetric

**ARTICLES** 

## Investor Optimism, False Hopes and the January Effect

Stephen J. Ciccone

Pages 158-168 | Published online: 31 Aug 2011

**66** Cite this article

⚠ https://doi.org/10.1080/15427560.2011.602197

Sample our
Behavioral Sciences
Journals
>> Sign in here to start your access to the latest two volumes for 14 days

Full Article

Figures & data

References

**66** Citations

**Metrics** 

➡ Reprints & Permissions

Read this article

## **Abstract**

This paper proposes that the January Effect is at least partly explained by a behavioral

framewo a time o

of Michia

expecte

These fire

the '

dispersion

hypothe

**Q** Keywor

We Care About Your Privacy

We and our 845 partners store and/or access information on a device, such as unique IDs in cookies to process personal data. You may accept or manage your choices by clicking below, including your right to object where legitimate interest is used, or at any time in the privacy policy page. These choices will be signaled to our partners and will not affect browsing data. <a href="Privacy Policy">Privacy Policy</a>

We and our partners process data to provide:


Use precise geolocation data. Actively scan device characteristics for identification. Store and/or access information on a device. Personalised advertising and content, advertising and content measurement, audience research and services development.

List of Partners (vendors)

esized to be
I Accept
ne University
sts are
Essential Only in January.
Show Purpose
sists due to
ecast
nism


## Notes

- 1. A telephone survey conducted by Opinion Research Corporation in 2006 found that more than 80% of U.S. adults responding to the survey made a New Year's resolution sometime within the previous five years. Only 15% of those surveyed never make resolutions. Harris Interactive Healthcare Surveys found that 44% of U.S. adults made health-related New Year's resolutions in 2007 and 48% made such resolutions in 2006. The success rate of the more difficult resolutions was low. For example, in 2006 only 34% of those resolving to lose weight reported success in meeting that goal. Financial goals are popular resolutions. In another survey conducted by Opinion Research Corporation in 2009, the most common resolutions for 2009 were money-related as opposed to the more traditional health-related ones. Of those making resolutions, 59% said they would start or build retirement savings, and 47% said they would start or build an investment portfolio.
- 2. For some recent evidence, Chen and Singal [2004] explore a variety of causes but only find support for tax loss selling. They contend window dressing is unimportant because returns in the months surrounding midyear reporting dates (June and July) are not unusual. However, Haug and Hirschey [2006] discount the value of tax loss selling by finding the January Effect still exists for small firms despite passage of the Tax Reform Act of 1986, which changed the tax period but not the reporting period. Other theories include arguments related to market microstructure (e.g., Keim [1989]), liquidity increases (Ogden [1990]), and information uncertainty risk (e.g., Kim [2006]).



issue (see Table 6). Second, individual forecast dispersion, not individual forecast optimism, is relevant. In the context of this study, when dispersion is high, optimists exist and drive stock prices regardless of whether or not the consensus forecast is eventually revealed to be optimistic.

- 5. Although standard practice, using the logarithm of BE/ME eliminates firms with negative book-to-market ratios and therefore reduces the sample size for the regression model testing by about 5% versus the portfolio analysis. As a robustness check, the regressions are specified using BE/ME instead of log(BE/ME), which strengthens the conclusions.
- 6. The larger average size of firms covered by IBES and the relative dearth of micro-cap stocks eliminate the need to sort firms into size portfolios based on New York Stock Exchange breakpoints.
- 7. The correlations, however, are not problematically high: Disp and log(ME) is -0.16, Disp and Mom -12, -1 is -0.08, and Disp and log(BE/ME) is 0.15.
- 8. Although the coefficients of Disp in January are significant at the 10% level, this level is perhaps impressive when considering the small number of degrees of freedom (25).



Information for Open access **Authors** Overview R&D professionals Open journals Editors **Open Select** Librarians **Dove Medical Press** Societies F1000Research Opportunities Help and information Reprints and e-prints Advertising solutions Newsroom Accelerated publication Corporate access solutions Books Keep up to date Register to receive personalised research and resources by email Sign me up Taylor & Francis Group Copyright © 2024 Informa UK Limited Privacy policy Cookies Terms & conditions Accessib

