

Journal of Behavioral Finance >

Volume 8, 2007 - [Issue 3](#)

1,302 73

Views | CrossRef citations to date | 4 | Altmetric

Original Articles

The Behavior of Japanese Individual Investors During Bull and Bear Markets

Kenneth A. Kim & John R. Nofsinger

Pages 138-153 | Published online: 05 Dec 2007

🗨️ Cite this article 🔗 <https://doi.org/10.1080/15427560701545598>

Sample our Behavioral Sciences Journals

>> [Sign in here](#) to start your access to the latest two volumes for 14 days

📄 Full Article

🖼️ Figures & data

📖 References

🗨️ Citations

📊 Metrics

📄 Reprints & Permissions

Read this article

🔗 Share

Abstract

We study Japanese individual investors by contrasting their behavior during a long bull market (1984-1989) to a long bear market (1990-1999). Our main objective is to test whether individuals' attitudes and preferences toward stock risk, book-to-market valuation, and past returns, are different between market conditions. We also assess individuals' investing performance. Overall, we identify some striking differences in investing behavior between the bull and the bear market. These behaviors are associated with poor investment performance. Some of our findings are consistent with existing behavioral theories, but some of our findings are not.

keywords:

overconfidence

individual investor behavior

bull and bear markets

Japan

Acknowledgement

We thank an anonymous referee, Rob Bloomfield, Kee Chung, Rachel Croson, Akiyoshi Horiuchi, Maureen O'Hara, Sergei Sarkissian, Qinghai Wang, Martin Webber, Yishay Yafeh, seminar participants at George Washington University, Hong Kong Polytechnic University, SUNY-Buffalo, University of Tokyo, University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee, the Financial Management Association meeting, the Midwest Finance Association meeting, and the RFS Conference on Experimental and Behavioral Finance for comments and discussions on earlier drafts of this paper. The usual disclaimer applies.

Notes

***,

**, and * denote statistical significance at the 1, 5, and 10 percent levels, respectively.

***,

**, and

* denote statistical significance at the 1, 5, and 10 percent levels, respectively.

***,

**, and

* denote statistical significance at the 1, 5, and 10 percent levels, respectively.

***,

**, and

* denote statistical significance at the 1, 5, and 10 percent levels, respectively.

***,

**, and * denote statistical significance at the 1, 5, and 10 percent levels, respectively.

¹ [Kim and Nofsinger \[2005\]](#) study how institutional trading differs between bull and bear markets, but their study is not about behavioral or cognitive biases.

²We also conduct analyses using only firms whose fiscal year begin in April (69% of our firms fit this criteria), but the results remain the same.

³The model of [Daniel et al. \[2001\]](#) provides a possible explanation for our risk findings. Their model suggests that less confident investors will use systematic risk measures as a signal for expected return. When investors become overconfident, like during a bull market, they may focus less on relevant or statistically-oriented information such as systematic risk measures. This might explain why Japanese individuals hold risky stocks primarily during a bear market instead of a bull market. However, we admit that we are merely applying a behavioral explanation to a result ex post, which is easy to do.

⁴To report portfolio t-statistics we estimate the following equation by year: $\text{Level} = \alpha + \delta \times \text{Volatility}$. We use these annual estimates of α and δ during the 6 bull market years to compute t-statistics to test whether the coefficients are different from zero and report them in [brackets] in the top half of the table. As the bottom half of the table tests the difference between bull and bear market behavior, we conduct a difference in means test of the annual α and δ estimates between the 6 bull market observations and the 10 bear market estimates. The resulting t-statistics are reported in [brackets] in the bottom half of the table.

Related research

People also read

Recommended articles

Cited by
73

[Duration Dependence in Stock Prices: An Analysis of Bull and Bear Markets](#) >

Asger Lunde et al.

Journal of Business & Economic Statistics

Published online: 1 Jan 2012

Information for

[Authors](#)

[R&D professionals](#)

[Editors](#)

[Librarians](#)

[Societies](#)

Opportunities

[Reprints and e-prints](#)

[Advertising solutions](#)

[Accelerated publication](#)

[Corporate access solutions](#)

Open access

[Overview](#)

[Open journals](#)

[Open Select](#)

[Dove Medical Press](#)

[F1000Research](#)

Help and information

[Help and contact](#)

[Newsroom](#)

[All journals](#)

[Books](#)

Keep up to date

Register to receive personalised research and resources by email



Sign me up



Copyright © 2026 Informa UK Limited [Privacy policy](#)

[Cookies](#) [Terms & conditions](#) [Accessibility](#)

Registered in England & Wales No. 01072954
5 Howick Place | London | SW1P 1WG



Taylor & Francis
by informa