

3118 Views | CrossRef citations to date | 0 Altmetric

ARTICLE

# Is Satisficing Absorbable? An Experimental Study

Werner Güth, M. Vittoria Levati & Matteo Ploner

Pages 95-105 | Published online: 14 Jun 2008

Cite this article <https://doi.org/10.1080/15427560802107389>

Sample our Behavioral Sciences Journals  
>> [Sign in here](#) to start your access to the latest two volumes for 14 days

Full Article | Figures & data | References | Citations | Metrics

Reprints & Permissions

Read this article

Share

## We Care About Your Privacy

We and our 880 partners store and access personal data, like browsing data or unique identifiers, on your device. Selecting I Accept enables tracking technologies to support the purposes shown under we and our partners process data to provide. Selecting Reject All or withdrawing your consent will disable them. If trackers are disabled, some content and ads you see may not be as relevant to you. You can resurface this menu to change your choices or withdraw consent at any time by clicking the Show Purposes link on the bottom of the webpage. Your choices will have effect within our Website. For more details, refer to our Privacy Policy. [Here](#)

We and our partners process data to provide:

Use precise geolocation data. Actively scan device

I Accept

Reject All

Show Purpose



# Notes

<sup>a</sup> N denotes the number of observations.

<sup>a</sup> N has the same interpretation as in [Table 2](#).

<sup>1</sup> Choices were elicited in a random order so as to exclude ordering effects.

<sup>2</sup> These observations are kept in the analysis. Dropping them does not alter results.

<sup>3</sup> To check whether the different aspirations and investments in the second phase of the two-state treatment are due to the use of the decision aid, we performed Wilcoxon rank sum tests (two-sided) comparing aspirations and portfolio choices in case of  $\delta = 0$  and  $\delta = 1$ . No significant influence of the aid on any of the considered variables was observed ( $p > 0.140$  for each comparison).

<sup>4</sup> For the three-state scenario, variables b and i are found to differ significantly when participants require the decision aid ( $p = 0.004$  for both i when  $\delta = 1$  vs. i when  $\delta = 0$  and j when  $\delta = 1$  vs. j when  $\delta = 0$ ).

<sup>5</sup> In the three-state treatment, the frequency of  $A1 = A2$ ,  $A2 = A3$  and  $A1 = A3$  was 10.67%,

<sup>6</sup> The dif... 001, two-sided Wi...

<sup>7</sup> Since u... refer to the full samp... those who willingly... keep on (volu... vely change results...

<sup>8</sup> Althou... promote perform... ements.

Relate



Testing Intentional Herding in Familiar Stocks: An Experiment in an International Context >

Natividad Blasco et al.  
Journal of Behavioral Finance  
Published online: 14 Jun 2008

Biases in Individual Forecasts: Experimental Evidence >

Lucy F. Ackert et al.  
Journal of Behavioral Finance  
Published online: 14 Jun 2008

Interactions of Individuals' Company-Related Attitudes and Their Buying of Companies' Stocks and Products >

Jaakko Aspara et al.  
Journal of Behavioral Finance  
Published online: 14 Jun 2008

View more



Information for

- Authors
- R&D professionals
- Editors
- Librarians
- Societies

Opportunities

- Reprints and e-prints
- Advertising solutions
- Accelerated publication
- Corporate access solutions

Open access

- Overview
- Open journals
- Open Select
- Dove Medical Press
- F1000Research

Help and information

- Help and contact
- Newsroom
- All journals
- Books

Keep up to date

Register to receive personalised research and resources by email

 Sign me up



Copyright

Accessib

Registered  
5 Howick Pl

or & Francis Group  
orma business

