

Journal of Behavioral Finance >

Volume 9, 2008 - [Issue 2](#)

321 | 8 | 0  
Views | CrossRef citations to date | Altmetric

ARTICLE

# Is Satisficing Absorbable? An Experimental Study

Werner Güth, M. Vittoria Levati & Matteo Ploner

Pages 95-105 | Published online: 14 Jun 2008

🗨️ Cite this article   🔗 <https://doi.org/10.1080/15427560802107389>

Sample our  
Economics, Finance,  
Business & Industry Journals  
>> **Sign in here** to start your access  
to the latest two volumes for 14 days

📄 Full Article   📊 Figures & data   📖 References   🗨️ Citations   📊 Metrics

📄 Reprints & Permissions

Read this article

🔗 Share

## Abstract

We experimentally investigate whether the satisficing approach is absorbable, that is, whether it still applies when participants become aware of it. In a setting where an investor decides between a riskless bond and either one or two risky assets, we familiarize participants with the satisficing calculus applied to specific portfolio selection tasks. After experimenting with this calculus repeatedly, participants can either continue using it or select their portfolio freely. The results reveal some absorbability of the satisficing approach in the simpler two-state setting, whereas more complexity renders the satisficing heuristics more difficult and their absorption less likely.

Keywords:

Theory Absorption

Satisficing

Portfolio Selection

Complexity

# Notes

<sup>a</sup> N denotes the number of observations.

<sup>a</sup> N has the same interpretation as in [Table 2](#).

<sup>1</sup> Choices were elicited in a random order so as to exclude ordering effects.

<sup>2</sup> These observations are kept in the analysis. Dropping them does not alter results.

<sup>3</sup> To check whether the different aspirations and investments in the second phase of the two-state treatment are due to the use of the decision aid, we performed Wilcoxon rank sum tests (two-sided) comparing aspirations and portfolio choices in case of  $\delta = 0$  and  $\delta = 1$ . No significant influence of the aid on any of the considered variables was observed ( $p > 0.140$  for each comparison).

<sup>4</sup> For the three-state scenario, variables b and i are found to differ significantly when participants require the decision aid ( $p = 0.004$  for both i when  $\delta = 1$  vs. i when  $\delta = 0$  and j when  $\delta = 1$  vs. j when  $\delta = 0$ ).

<sup>5</sup> In the three-state treatment, the frequency of  $A1 = A2$ ,  $A2 = A3$  and  $A1 = A3$  was 10.67%, 16.66% and 3.64%, respectively.

<sup>6</sup> The difference in requests between treatments is highly significant ( $p < 0.001$ , two-sided Wilcoxon rank sum test).

<sup>7</sup> Since using the aid was compulsory in phase 1, the rates for periods 1–6 refer to the full sample. The sample size for periods 7–12 is smaller and refers only to those who willingly use the routine. Restricting phase 1's sample to the subjects who keep on (voluntarily) using the aid in at least 4 periods of phase 2 does not qualitatively change results.

<sup>8</sup> Although compliance with the satisficing heuristics seems to somehow promote performance, this may be due to special skills of those who fulfill the requirements.

[Testing Intentional Herding in Familiar Stocks: An Experiment in an International Context >](#)

---

Natividad Blasco et al.  
Journal of Behavioral Finance  
Published online: 14 Jun 2008

[Biases in Individual Forecasts: Experimental Evidence >](#)

---

Lucy F. Ackert et al.  
Journal of Behavioral Finance  
Published online: 14 Jun 2008

[Interactions of Individuals' Company-Related Attitudes and Their Buying of Companies' Stocks and Products >](#)

---

Jaakko Aspara et al.  
Journal of Behavioral Finance  
Published online: 14 Jun 2008

[View more](#)

## Information for

[Authors](#)

[R&D professionals](#)

[Editors](#)

[Librarians](#)

[Societies](#)

## Opportunities

[Reprints and e-prints](#)

[Advertising solutions](#)

[Accelerated publication](#)

[Corporate access solutions](#)

## Open access

[Overview](#)

[Open journals](#)

[Open Select](#)

[Dove Medical Press](#)

[F1000Research](#)

## Help and information

[Help and contact](#)

[Newsroom](#)

[All journals](#)

[Books](#)

## Keep up to date

Register to receive personalised research and resources by email



Sign me up



Copyright © 2026 Informa UK Limited [Privacy policy](#)

[Cookies](#) [Terms & conditions](#) [Accessibility](#)

Registered in England & Wales No. 01072954  
5 Howick Place | London | SW1P 1WG



**Taylor & Francis**  
by informa