Environmental Sciences ›
Volume 2, 2005 - Issue 2-3: Non-CO2 Greenhouse Gasses

503	4	0
Views	CrossRef citations to date	Altmetric

Listen

Original Articles

The impact of potential nitrous oxide mitigation strategies on the environmental and economic performance of dairy systems in four New Zealand catchments

C. A. M. De Klein & R. M. Monaghan

Pages 351-360 | Published online: 16 Feb 2007

Cite this article https://doi.org/10.1080/15693430500402549

Full Article Figures & data References Citations Metrics

Repri

Abstra

The exp … oncern about
the envi … onmentally
and eco … , the
perf … s in four
New … agement,
farm pro … al
greenho … trate
leaching … s using
winterin … t was
estimate … increased

economic performance, wintering pads slightly decreased farm Earnings Before Interest and Tax (EBIT) on three of the four catchments. On the other hand, the use of a nitrification inhibitor has the potential to reduce N_2O emissions, total GHG emissions and nitrate leaching losses from all catchment case study farms while increasing the EBIT. This study suggested that nitrification inhibitors can be a cost-effective mitigation strategy for reducing dairy farm N emissions to air and water. The analysis also illustrated the importance of assessing environmental mitigation strategies at a farm-systems level, including relevant off-farm activities.

Keywords:

EBIT greenhouse gas modelling nitrate leaching nitrous oxide

1. Introduction

In past decades, the dairy industry in New Zealand has rapidly expanded, in particular in the South Island, where many farms shifted from relatively low-intensity sheep and beef farming to higher-intensity dairying. This rapid expansion and the New Zealand dairy industry's policy of increasing farm business productivity have resulted in a growing … quality, and
on emiss… enhouse
gases (G… s (New
Zealand … oto Protocol
is to red… ections are
that by … New
Zealand … 28% above
1990…

In New Z… ass-clover
pastures… direct and
indirect … ing (New
Zealand … r wet soil
conditio… egies are
now targ… cent work

feed pads (de Klein et al. 2005). In addition, studies by Di and Cameron (2002, 2003) suggested that the use of a nitrification inhibitor in autumn or spring could be an effective means of reducing N_2O emissions (and nitrate leaching) from animal urine. Other potential N_2O mitigation strategies include diet manipulation to reduce the N content of the diet, increased utilization of effluent N, and improved soil drainage (de Klein & Ledgard 2005).

In 2001, the Best Practice Dairying Catchments project was established to integrate environmentally sustainable practices into dairy farming in New Zealand. This project is carried out in four dairy catchments in New Zealand, two in the North Island, and two in the South Island (Figure 1), to study farm productivity and catchment-specific environmental issues. Although the initial focus was largely on water-quality issues, estimates of N_2O, CH_4 and carbon dioxide (CO_2) emissions are also made to assess the wider environmental impact of dairy farming in these catchments. The whole-farm system approach of this project enables an evaluation of dairy systems that optimize farm productivity, while minimizing environmental impacts. This paper reports on a desktop assessment of the impact of two of the suggested N_2O mitigation strategies (the use of a wintering pad and of nitrification inhibitors) on the environmental and economic performance of dairy systems in the four catchments.

Figure 1. Location of the four dairy catchments in New Zealand.

Article contents
Related research



Display full size

2 Meth

For each asture and
animal p rveys on
seven to ality
monitori or three
successi ols to
descri ase study
dairy mulation
model (H duction by
simulati ction and
changes om UDDER
and the ne
OVERSE al budgets
of nutrie included

fertilizer use and effluent applications, indirect N_2O emissions from nitrate leaching and ammonia volatilization, and CO_2 emissions associated with fuel and electricity use, processing, and fertilizer use and manufacturing (Wheeler et al. 2003). OVERSEER® estimates the CH_4 and N_2O budgets based on an energy intake model and the IPCC methodology with New Zealand-specific emission factors but also has the ability to assess the impact of on-farm management practices on CH_4 and N_2O emissions (Wheeler et al. 2003). Finally, a purpose-built farm financial model was used to calculate a full farm financial budget based on the current costs of products (milk and meat) and expenses (e.g. imported feed, off-farm grazing) (Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry 2003, Dexcel Ltd 2003). Farm profit was expressed as Earnings Before Interest and Tax (EBIT).

Figure 2. Schematic representation of modelling and assessment process.



Display ful

The cha n Table I. In
the two n forage
crops du onto the
farm. Th the
asse udy farms.
The imp and the use
of nitrific of these
farms wa nptions (
Table II). the animals
can be k ssumed that
the anim were fed

assuming that the North Island animals required 8 kg DM per cow per day when non-lactating in winter, while the South Island animals required 9 kg DM per cow per day.

Table I. Characteristics of the four dairy catchments and their case study farms.

Download CSV Display Table

Table II. Changes in some farm characteristics of the case study farms in each catchment (Current), under two potential N_2O mitigation practices: (1) cows on feed pad for 70 days during winter (Winter pad) and (2) the use of a nitrification inhibitor (Inhibitor).

Download CSV Display Table

The North Island case study farms were smaller and had lower per-cow production than the South Island farms. As a result, UDDER simulations indicated that the wintering pad option could be optimized by importing extra feed and to increase per-cow production. In contrast, the production levels of the South Island farms were not increased under the winter pad option, as the extra imported feed replaced the feed that under the

current s … trification

inhibitor … neron 2002,

C Smith, … g, a 75%

reductio … sture

producti … extra pasture

producti

3 Res

The ana … ions from

the four … ure 3). These

estimate … count for the

changes … re derived.

Article contents Related research

reductions achieved in the South Island catchments, where off-farm wintering is common practice. Our analysis estimated large N leaching losses from these off-farm wintering areas, which were substanitially reduced by keeping the animals on-farm on a wintering pad. The reduction in N leaching had a relatively minor effect on reducing N_2O emissions, because the indirect N_2O emissions from N leaching generally contributed less than 12% of the total emissions. In contrast to the N_2O emissions, total GHG emissions were 1–10% higher than under the current system, with the largest increases occurring in the North Island catchments. The increase in GHG emissions was largely due to an increase in CO_2 emissions associated with fuel use, supplementary feed production, and fertilizer use and manufacturing (Table III). Methane emissions did not change significantly under the wintering pad option.

Table III. Greenhouse gas emissions (t CO_2 equivalents per farm system per year) from the case-study farm systems and under the wintering pad and nitrification inhibitor mitigation strategies (values in parentheses denote the relative change (%) in total emissions compared with the case-study farm).

Download CSV Display Table

Figure 3. Relative changes compared with the case study farms (represented by the
dashed l losses and
Earnings bars) and
nitrificat based on
the annu



Display full size

In terms of financial performance, the wintering pad option had a slightly negative impact on farm profits in three of the catchments, reducing farm EBIT values by 8, 14, and 18% in the Waikakahi, Toenepi, and Waoikura catchments, respectively. These increased costs were largely associated with the increase in imported feed and the capital and operational costs associated with the wintering pad. In the Bog Burn catchment, the wintering pad option did not have any effect on EBIT.

The use indirect N_2O
emission ssion by
only 0–9 emissions,
was larg cation
inhibitor ularly for the
two Sout to utilize
this methane
emiss ification
inhibitor se was again
due to th

4 Disc

This study suggested that the wintering pad and the nitrification inhibitor strategies could both reduce N_2O emissions and nitrate leaching losses from the case study farms in the four dairy catchments. The reduction in N_2O emission was limited for the wintering pad option, due to the extra feed that was imported to optimize milk production under this management system. However, for the nitrification inhibitor strategy, N_2O emissions were substantially reduced. The reduction in N leaching was slightly less. Our assessment assumed that N leaching from grazed pastures would be reduced by 20%, which is lower than the 60% presented by Di and Cameron (2002). However, their estimate was based on lysimeter studies of urine patches and is thus likely to represent a maximum potential benefit. Recent field measurements suggest that a 20% reduction better represents the effect of nitrification inhibitors on N leaching losses from grazed pastures (C Smith, unpublished data).

Our analysis further showed that the use of nitrification inhibitors had a limited effect on total GHG emissions reduction, compared with the reduction in N_2O emissions, due to an increase in both CH_4 and CO_2 emissions from the farm systems. Although nitrification inhibitors do not directly affect emissions of these GHGs, their use was estimated to result in an increase in pasture production and thus milk production, which, in turn, increased CH_4 and CO_2 emissions. It should be noted, however, that we assumed that the use of nitrification inhibitors resulted in an increase in stock numbers to utilize the extra pasture production under the inhibitor option. An alternative
scenario zer and/or
supplem scenario,
the stoc d total GHG
emissior ative
scenario heir
advanta

Furth rategies was
base stem, rather
than on ve the
confoun farm
winterin rategies.
This way and
economi

the reduction in total GHG emissions per unit of product was larger than the reduction in total GHG emissions from the farm systems (c. 15% compared with a maximum of 6%; Table III). This indicated that nitrification inhibitors are likely to increase a farm's efficiency as the increase in milk production was larger than any increase in total GHG emissions from the farm. An assessment of environmental losses ‘per unit of product’ can thus identify management strategies that have the largest reduction in environmental emissions for a given production level.

The economic analyses indicated that the wintering pad option generally reduced the total farm earnings due to increased costs associated with imported feed and capital and operating costs of the wintering pad. The exception was for the case-study farm in the Bog Burn catchment, where the wintering pad option did not reduce farm financial performance. However, our calculations did not account for any potential benefits of reduced soil physical damage from grazing in wet winter conditions, which can decrease spring pasture and animal production, and increase N_2O emissions (de Klein et al. 2005). In addition, the economic analysis did not include an assessment of potential savings (or costs) associated with a reduction (or increase) in GHG emissions or N leaching losses. In New Zealand, the value of GHG emissions is currently set at $25 per tonne of CO_2-equivalent. However, since GHG emissions under the wintering pad option increased in all catchments, this would result in a further reduction in farm earnings. On the other hand, N leaching losses decreased by up to 44%, and potential
savings farm
earnings ese potential
savings.

The eco appeared
to be a ed or
enhance of the
redu ould further
incre asture
producti om small-
scale lys ld
measure tion
inhibitor own. The
high red sustained

Article contents Related research

Finally, our study emphasized the importance of evaluating management strategies for the farm system as a whole to ensure that environmental and economic impacts are fully accounted for. A similar conclusion was made by Schils et al. (2005), who presented a farm-level approach for defining successful GHG mitigation strategies from ruminant livestock systems. For example, their analysis showed that a management strategy to reduce N_2O emissions (reduced grazing hours) indeed reduced N_2O emissions but increased CH_4, CO_2 and total GHG emissions, as well as ammonia volatilization. Schils et al. (2005) also suggested that an increase in milk production per animal resulted in a reduction in all on-farm GHG emissions. However, the increased milk production per animal was achieved by increasing the amount of concentrate brought onto the farm, and any GHG losses associated with the production of this extra concentrate were not included in their assessment. In contrast, in the analysis presented here, any off-farm areas that are directly linked to on-farm management (e.g. wintering and supplement blocks) were included in the overall assessment.

5 Conclusions

The use of wintering pads and nitrification inhibitors has the potential to reduce N leaching and N_2O emissions from New Zealand dairy farms, but they had a limited
effect o … ore
importa … t a farm-
systems … der
environ … tion
appeare … hough the
impact … tion
generall … ngs in
nitrat … to account.
To fu … of the cost
of envir

Ackno

The ong … edged. We

Sustainable Farming Fund, and the New Zealand Fertilizer Manufacturers Research Association, for their financial support of this work, and Duncan Smeaton, Mark Hyslop, and David Stevens, for expert assistance with UDDER modelling.

References

1. de Klein , C AM , Barton , L , Sherlock , R R , Li , Z and Littlejohn , R P . 2003 . Estimating a nitrous oxide emission factor for animal urine from some New Zealand pastoral soils . Australian Journal of Soil Research , 41 : 381 – 399 .

   Web of Science ® | Google Scholar

2. de Klein , C AM and Ledgard , S F . 2005 . Nitrous oxide emissions from New Zealand agriculture—key sources and mitigation strategies . Nutrient Cycling in Agroecosystems , 72 : 77 – 85 .

   Web of Science ® | Google Scholar

3. de Klein , C AM , Smith , L C and Monaghan , R M . 2005 . Restricted autumn grazing to red Zealand. Agricu

   Googl

4. Dexce 2002 , Hamil

   Go

5. Di , H andiamide (DCD) lated graze 3 .

Article contents | Related research

6. Di , H J and Cameron , K C . 2003 . Mitigation of nitrous oxide emissions in spray-irrigated grazed grassland by treating the soil with dicyandiamide, a nitrification inhibitor . Soil Use and Management , 19 : 284 – 290 .

Web of Science ® | Google Scholar

7. Hart , R PS , Larcombe , M T , Sherlock , R A and Smith , L A . 1998 . Optimisation techniques for a computer simulation of a pastoral dairy farm . Computers and Electronics in Agriculture , 19 : 129 – 153 .

Web of Science ® | Google Scholar

8. Larcombe , M T . 1999 . UDDER for Windows: A desktop dairyfarm for extension and research—operating manual , Maffra , , Australia : Maffra Herd Improvement Co-op .

Google Scholar

9. Ledgard , S F and Menneer , J C . 2005 . “ Nitrate leaching in grazing systems and management strategies to reduce losses ” . In Developments in fertiliser application technologies and nutrient management , Edited by: Currie , L D and Hanly , J A . 79 – 92 . Palmerston North , , New Zealand : Fertiliser and Lime Research Centre, Massey University .

Googl

0. Minist nloaded on
Nover
http://
monit

Goo

1. New Z se gas
invent porting
forma e Office .

Googl

2. New Zealand Climate Change Office . 2005b . Report on revised projections for the Kyoto Protocol—first commitment period. 30 April 2004 , Wellington , , New Zealand : New Zealand Climate Change Office .

Google Scholar

3. Schils , R LM , Verhagen , A , Aarts , H FM and Sebek , L BJ . 2005 . A farm level approach to define successful mitigation strategies for GHG emissions from ruminant livestock systems . Nutr Cycl Agroecosyst , 71 : 163 – 175 .

Web of Science ® | Google Scholar

4. Wheeler , D M , Ledgard , S F , de Klein , C AM , Monaghan , R M , Carey , P L , McDowell , R W and Johns , K L . 2003 . OVERSEER® nutrient budgets 2—moving towards on-farm resource accounting . Proc New Zealand Grassland Assoc , 65 : 191 – 194 .

Google Scholar

Relate

Information for

Authors
R&D professionals
Editors
Librarians
Societies

Open access

Overview
Open journals
Open Select
Dove Medical Press
F1000Research

Opportunities

Reprints and e-prints
Advertising solutions
Accelerated publication
Corporate access solutions

Help and information

Help and contact
Newsroom
All journals
Books

Keep up to date

Register to receive personalised research and resources by email

Sign me up