Education, Knowledge and Economy ›
Volume 2, 2008 - Issue 3: Social Enterprise: Governance, Impact and Measurement

309 Views | 63 CrossRef citations to date | 3 Altmetric

Articles

Social enterprise and the measurement of social value: methodological issues with the calculation and application of the social return on investment

Patrick W. Ryan & Isaac Lyne

Pages 223-237 | Published online: 16 Dec 2008

Cite this article https://doi.org/10.1080/17496890802426253

Sample our Education journals, sign in here to start your access, latest two full volumes FREE to you for 14 days

Full Article Figures & data References Citations Metrics

Reprints & Permissions Read this article

Abstract

This article considers the methodological challenge of quantifying the social value generated through social enterprise activity. It argues that in the context of increasing enthusiasm for social enterprise as a mechanism for delivering social services and for tackling social exclusion, it is increasingly necessary to be able to value social impacts. Further it will be necessary to be able to assess the potential creation of social value from diff siders methodo asingly promote ngdom. This article c s from inter SROI.

Keywor

About Cookies On This Site

We and our partners use cookies to enhance your website experience, learn how our site is used, offer personalised features, measure the effectiveness of our services, and tailor content and ads to your interests while you navigate on the web or interact with us across devices. You can choose to accept all of these cookies or only essential cookies. To learn more or manage your preferences, click "Settings". For further information about the data we collect from you, please see our Privacy Policy

Accept All
Essential Only
Settings

Notes

1. The index of return is defined as the discounted projected value created in the future/investment to date. An index of 1:2 means that for each £1 invested, £2 has been created (Emerson et al. 2000; REDF 2001).

2. The NPV is as the sum of net benefits generated over the life of a project discounted at a rate reflecting the opportunity cost of capital (Potts 2002).

3. It is recognised that these problems are exactly the issues that SES and NEF are attempting to address through the action research projects, and these comments are in no way meant as a criticism of these excellent initiatives.

4. The formal decision rule is to accept all projects with an NPV greater than zero when discounted at an appropriate discount rate, and to accept an IoR if the ratio is greater than 1:1 (Curry and Weiss 2000; Potts 2002).

5. Mutual exclusivity refers to the situation where a project can only be implemented at the expense of another. This could be because there is only one piece of land for example (Potts 2002).

6. It is recognised that the SES and NEF studies were not prepared with comparison in mind and no criticism is implied. The studies are being used simply to highlight methodological issues that arise when trying to compare investment alternatives.

7. The rationale for the choice of 10 years in REDF (2001) is also not specified.

8. The discount rate is defined as the opportunity cost of the capital employed in the project. Thus, to be acceptable, a project must generate a return at least equal to the return available elsewhere (Lumby and Jones, 2003; Arnold 2005).

9. This is …%Debt) × (Average … the REDF (2000) …

10. C… …mentation because …

About Cookies On This Site

We and our partners use cookies to enhance your website experience, learn how our site is used, offer personalised features, measure the effectiveness of our services, and tailor content and ads to your interests while you navigate on the web or interact with us across devices. You can choose to accept all of these cookies or only essential cookies. To learn more or manage your preferences, click "Settings". For further information about the data we collect from you, please see our Privacy Policy

Accept All

Essential Only

Settings

Related research

People also read | Recommended articles | Cited by 63

Information for

- Authors
- R&D professionals
- Editors
- Librarians
- Societies

Open access

- Overview
- Open journals
- Open Select
- Dove Medical Press
- F1000Research

Opportunities

- Reprints and e-prints
- Advertising solutions
- Accelerated publication
- Corporate access solutions

Help and information

- Help and contact
- Newsroom
- All journals
- Books

Keep up to date

Register to receive personalised research and resources by email

Sign me up

Copyright © 2024 Informa UK Limited Privacy policy Cookies Terms & conditions Accessibility

Taylor & Francis Group an informa business

Registered
5 Howick Pl

About Cookies On This Site

We and our partners use cookies to enhance your website experience, learn how our site is used, offer personalised features, measure the effectiveness of our services, and tailor content and ads to your interests while you navigate on the web or interact with us across devices. You can choose to accept all of these cookies or only essential cookies. To learn more or manage your preferences, click "Settings". For further information about the data we collect from you, please see our Privacy Policy

Accept All

Essential Only

Settings