

Environmental Communication >

Volume 12, 2018 - [Issue 3](#)

1,409 Views | 15 CrossRef citations to date | 34 Altmetric

Research Articles

Kangaroo Court? An Analysis of Social Media Justifications for Attitudes to Culling

Mehmet Mehmet   & Peter Simmons

Pages 370-386 | Received 10 Jul 2015, Accepted 26 Feb 2016, Published online: 09 Sep 2016

 Cite this article  <https://doi.org/10.1080/17524032.2016.1220966>



Sample our
Information Science
Journals

>> [Sign in here](#) to start your access
to the latest two volumes for 14 days

 Full Article  Figures & data  References  Citations  Metrics

 Reprints & Permissions

[Read this article](#)

 Share

ABSTRACT

There are heated debates in Australia for and against culling kangaroos. Understanding community attitudes to wildlife management may aid strategic communication, leading to better outcomes for humans and wildlife. For decision-makers, social media present tantalizingly available attitude data. Using the “appraisal” framework, this study analyzed attitudes toward kangaroo culling expressed in Facebook discussions. Scholarly wildlife attitude models provide useful descriptors and categories but do not account for the complexity and contradictions within individuals’ attitudes to culling kangaroos. The findings reveal conflation of human interests and attitudes to culling; proponents generally justified culling with reference to financial cost or harm reduction. Opponents of culling argued that human convenience and economics do not justify taking the lives of kangaroos. Proponents and opponents often attacked each other

based on perceived personal and ideological differences, ignoring the substance or validity of others' comments. An urban-rural divide promulgated by some culling proponents may fuse cultural/political allegiances with attitudes to culling in ways that undermine kangaroo welfare. Humans charged with wildlife management and decision-making should aim to make assessments that benefit wildlife as well as humans. Future research on decision-making should elucidate relationships between human interest and decisions and communication concerning animal welfare.

KEYWORDS:

Social media

public attitudes

wildlife communication

Kangaroos

appraisal framework

ORCID

Mehmet Mehmet <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-4936-5946>

Related research

People also read

Recommended articles

Cited by
15

[Media coverage of lethal control: A case study of kangaroo culling in the Australian Capital Territory >](#)

Merryn McKinnon et al.
Human Dimensions of Wildlife
Published online: 8 Dec 2017

["Fox Tots Attack Shock": Urban Foxes, Mass Media and Boundary-Breaching >](#)

Angela Cassidy et al.
Environmental Communication
Published online: 18 Sep 2012

[From Obstructionism to Communication: Local, National and Transnational Dimensions of Contestations on the Swedish Wolf Cull Controversy >](#)

[View more](#)

Information for

[Authors](#)
[R&D professionals](#)
[Editors](#)
[Librarians](#)
[Societies](#)

Opportunities

[Reprints and e-prints](#)
[Advertising solutions](#)
[Accelerated publication](#)
[Corporate access solutions](#)

Open access

[Overview](#)
[Open journals](#)
[Open Select](#)
[Dove Medical Press](#)
[F1000Research](#)

Help and information

[Help and contact](#)
[Newsroom](#)
[All journals](#)
[Books](#)

Keep up to date

Register to receive personalised research and resources by email



Sign me up



Copyright © 2026 Informa UK Limited [Privacy policy](#)

[Cookies](#) [Terms & conditions](#) [Accessibility](#)

Registered in England & Wales No. 01072954
5 Howick Place | London | SW1P 1WG



Taylor & Francis
by **informa**...