International Journal of Sustainable Engineering ›
Volume 9, 2016 - Issue 2

Free access

14,388 Views
38 CrossRef citations to date
119 Altmetric

Listen

Review Article

Environmental implications of planned obsolescence and product lifetime: a literature review

Julio L. Rivera & Amrine Lallmahomed

Pages 119-129 | Received 05 Sep 2014, Accepted 18 Sep 2015, Published online: 28 Dec 2015

Cite this article https://doi.org/10.1080/19397038.2015.1099757 Check for updates

Full Article Figures & data References Citations Metrics
Reprints & Permissions View PDF

Abstract

The aim of this paper was to explore the implications of planned obsolescence (PO) and the associated product lifetime on the environmental impact of products. To achieve this task, a literature review was performed to assess both the historical context and recent situation of planned obsolescence. A search in scholarly journals was performed to evaluate to what extent product lifetime and PO have been discussed in the recent literatur … ends in the practice … ve a significa … . The discu … roduct desig … ctors along the valu … e influences of consu … facilitate

About Cookies On This Site

We and our partners use cookies to enhance your website experience, learn how our site is used, offer personalised features, measure the effectiveness of our services, and tailor content and ads to your interests while you navigate on the web or interact with us across devices. You can choose to accept all of these cookies or only essential cookies. To learn more or manage your preferences, click “Settings”. For further information about the data we collect from you, please see our Privacy Policy

Accept All
Essential Only
Settings

In this article

the definition of different scenarios are given. These strategies may serve to increase the reliability of environmental assessment throughout a product life cycle.

Keywords: Planned obsolescence product lifetime environmental impacts designer business models

Introduction

Products are developed in a sense to provide answers to needs identified in society. Besides responding to needs, the product development process should acknowledge the need for ease of manufacture, ease of distribution and use. Manufacturers adopt new strategies to sell more products, more frequently, to fulfil customers’ needs, demands and wants. The strategy of shortening a product’s lifespan is called planned obsolescence (PO).

The term PO was coined in the United States in the late 1920s (Slade 2009). PO or built-in obsolescence in industrial design is a strategy of planning or designing a product with a limited useful life, so it will become obsolete, unfashionable or no longer functional after a certain period of time (Bulow 1986). Creating goods with a limited lifetime led to increased consumption. It was a business strategy to create mass consumption, which the country needed in a time of economic crisis. Producers who once were producing quality products started to find ways to make goods either more fragile, or difficult to repair so that people would be forced to replace the older version sooner. Processes and devices have been created with a predetermined lifetime to maximize economic outputs.

This work aims at reviewing and discussing the implications of PO[1] on product design and the environment. Given the low number of papers considering the impact of
lifetime … n which the
product … operly
defining … is paper
seek
develo … table
business … ucts from a
design p … ed to

About Cookies On This Site

We and our partners use cookies to enhance your website experience, learn how our site is used, offer personalised features, measure the effectiveness of our services, and tailor content and ads to your interests while you navigate on the web or interact with us across devices. You can choose to accept all of these cookies or only essential cookies. To learn more or manage your preferences, click “Settings”. For further information about the data we collect from you, please see our Privacy Policy

Accept All
Essential Only
Settings

reduce the environmental impacts of the product. The manuscript proposes methods and solutions to integrate PO into the product design process.

A result of shorter lifespan[2] is that more waste is produced which in many circumstances ends up in landfills. From a life cycle thinking[3] perspective, the resource efficiency of such systems is said to be very low (King et al. 2006). The consideration of product lifetime at the early design stage is then recognized as an important factor. At the same time, the end-of-life[4] stage is equally crucial to the life cycle as it is when materials could be recovered. This short description of a product lifespan demonstrates the need for product life cycle management[5] to better design closed systems.

Despite the relevance of product lifespan, there is debate about how to best determine the life duration of products. Lifetime is intrinsic to the product, and also depends on consumer attitudes towards their products, for example, their role in product maintenance (Van Nes and Cramer 2006). While the environmental impacts associated with product lifetime are important, the majority of studies in the literature have focused on the economic implications of manipulating lifetime from a monopolist’s point of view (Bulow 1986; Waldman 1993; Choi and Thum 1998; Guiltinan 2009). In this sense, more production leads to more profits. Another limitation of PO focused studies is that societal aspects are not taken into consideration. In recent years, studies in the field of “sustainable consumption and consumer behavior” started to appear in the literature (Lorek and Spangenberg 2014; Reisch and Thøgersen 2015). These studies focus on the important role of consumer behaviour mainly from a “choice” perspective and economics, and not directly quantifying the impact on product lifetime.

The problem with short product life arises since massive production generates large quantities of waste, which are not totally repaired, recovered, reused and reinserted into the production development streams. In most developed countries, electronic devices
. In many
cases th
omponents
are reco
lead to
sanitary
ntified as an
impo
lth
problem

The obje
on PO with

About Cookies On This Site

We and our partners use cookies to enhance your website experience, learn how our site is used, offer personalised features, measure the effectiveness of our services, and tailor content and ads to your interests while you navigate on the web or interact with us across devices. You can choose to accept all of these cookies or only essential cookies. To learn more or manage your preferences, click “Settings”. For further information about the data we collect from you, please see our Privacy Policy

Accept All

Essential Onl

Settings

lifetime. The first step was to explore the definition of PO as used in society. The goal of this step is to understand its origins, how it has been applied and how it has evolved. The context of obsolescence in industry is described. Four types of PO are summarized and the appropriateness and consequences of their planned lifetime are discussed. To help in the development of the discussion, historical cases of PO are presented.

The second part of this manuscript attempts to make a link between product design and planned obsolescence. For this purpose, the implications of PO on design as well as manufacturing are reviewed and discussed. Here, the main influences of PO on design and manufacturing practices are identified. Special attention is given to the broader implications of obsolescence, which are assessed to proposed ways for improving current design practices.

While the problem of PO is not new, it is understood that changes in business-as-usual activities are needed if the implications of product lifetime are to be given more attention by industry. The third and last part of this study leads to a proposed business framework that provides the basis for product lifetime optimization through design and eventually new business models.

Product obsolescence

This section aims at reviewing the concept of PO as it has been used in the literature to date. There are four types of planned obsolescence. The first one is called technological or functional obsolescence as described by (Levinthal and Purohit 1989; Rai and Terpenny 2008) whereby a product becomes out of date because consumers are more interested in products with improved performance as a result of improved technology. Some examples are video games or computer software.

The seco early
1950s. I r to buy the
newest . When
peop lue in the
marke waste. Any
product eating these
two type he demand

About Cookies On This Site

We and our partners use cookies to enhance your website experience, learn how our site is used, offer personalised features, measure the effectiveness of our services, and tailor content and ads to your interests while you navigate on the web or interact with us across devices. You can choose to accept all of these cookies or only essential cookies. To learn more or manage your preferences, click “Settings”. For further information about the data we collect from you, please see our Privacy Policy

Accept All

Essential Only

Settings

could nevertheless trigger a false sense of need since it is induced by the sellers, and does not come from the customers themselves.

Systemic obsolescence consists of altering the system in which the product is used to make it more difficult to use, or by cancelling maintenance services for the product. This is the case for many associated products, which depend on each other to provide a function. It happened when videotape readers or cassette readers stopped being manufactured, while CDs and DVDs were being marketed. Electronic devices can be cited as another example. For some of them, only a few components of the system become obsolete like the batteries, however if the replacement[6] supplies are discontinued, or hard to find, the whole product is usually disregarded and upgraded with a new version (Singh and Sandborn 2006; Feldman and Sandborn 2007). It is often found that disposed products are often repairable and/or reusable (Van Nes and Cramer 2006), but repairing an electronic device is rarely done because it is often cheaper to buy a new product (Cooper 2002).

The last type is obsolescence due to product failure or breakdown. This type of obsolescence is associated with devices that are purposely designed with one or more conditions such that the product will stop working after a predetermined number of cycles (Latouche 2012). Several objects are deliberately made of lower quality material which chips easily. Plastic toys are often of low quality and break even when used under normal conditions. Some products parts are assembled by sealing two parts together for a single use purpose only; for example, some disposable cameras and watches. This is the ultimate level of obsolescence that can be found. This type is poorly documented in literature – this paper attempts to retrieve as much as possible the relevant information available.

It is also important to realize that it is likely that some products can be found in more
than one … d to last an
average … s said to be
the oppo … tyle
obsolesc … ty of new
impr … eason is
becaus … emic
obsolesc

About Cookies On This Site

We and our partners use cookies to enhance your website experience, learn how our site is used, offer personalised features, measure the effectiveness of our services, and tailor content and ads to your interests while you navigate on the web or interact with us across devices. You can choose to accept all of these cookies or only essential cookies. To learn more or manage your preferences, click “Settings”. For further information about the data we collect from you, please see our Privacy Policy

Accept All

Essential Only

Settings

An important term related to PO is "expiration date". The expiration date appears in products labels to indicate the date when they should be discarded. It is a date after which the manufacturer no longer guarantees the quality of the product. After that date a product may fail, show reduced efficiency, quality or taste (in case of food or any other perishable goods). Hewlett-Packard (HP) printers, for example, are designed with ink cartridges which have a given expiration date after which they stop working whether full or empty. HP claims that this measure is meant to preserve a good ink quality. A microchip inside the ink head will control this date; the cartridge needs to be replaced for the printer to work again. There is also a documented case for medications, where it has been reported that the "active ingredients" are still functional after several years (Cantrell et al. 2012). Medical laboratories do not inquire about the duration of active ingredients after 1–5 years. This leaves much doubt as to whether expiration dates are to be trusted, or whether they are made as such to significantly increase sales. In some circumstances, there are policies that producers need to comply with. Either a strategy for boosting sales or for liability reasons (protecting themselves from legal issues), "expiration date" is a controversial aspect associated with PO.

Recent use of PO in the literature

Based on the previous section, a task was put on to learn how product lifetime factors, including environment criteria, have been reported in the literature. The goal was mainly to identify if product lifetime has been given proper consideration in design and the technical literature. Three time periods were selected: 1980–1989, 1990–1999 and 2000–2014. A "title search" was performed on electronic database scholarly journals, including Google Scholar and Science Direct, for keywords associated with product lifetime to ensure that these topics were the explicit object of the screened articles.

The resu r all
keyword les. It is
acknowl each
databas ed research
cove es. No
eviden try to date.
While w ation has
been pu 's lifetime.

About Cookies On This Site

We and our partners use cookies to enhance your website experience, learn how our site is used, offer personalised features, measure the effectiveness of our services, and tailor content and ads to your interests while you navigate on the web or interact with us across devices. You can choose to accept all of these cookies or only essential cookies. To learn more or manage your preferences, click "Settings". For further information about the data we collect from you, please see our Privacy Policy

Accept All

Essential Only

Settings

aspects of products, and is mainly discussed in economic, management and business journals. Obsolescence has basically not been given much attention in engineering and design journals. While it was not possible to identify a trend on the specific areas of research that have witnessed an increase, it is seen positively that more scholars are thinking about broader products aspects associated with obsolescence.

Table 1. Literature search for keywords associated with product lifetime.

Download CSV Display Table

Historical cases of product obsolescence

Around the early 1870s, people were already using disposable shirt collars in the United States. This practice extended to prophylactics in the 1880s, and then to other products concerning personal hygiene such as sanitary pads, tampons Kleenex and even razors (Latouche 2012). This practice of producing short-lived products was further extended to cars and light bulbs. Since the 1930s, PO became a real way to do business. A strategy at that time was to produce commercials targeted at women since they were thought to consume more personal goods than men. In the 1950s, Motorola created one of the first portable radios, which could not be repaired thus paving the path for the purchase of new versions (Latouche 2012). As described by Vance Packard, in the early years of mass production, society was consistently being manipulated to always develop desirability for what it does not have (Packard 1960).

In the next subsections other historical cases of obsolescence are reviewed.

Light bulbs

The first … hio, USA in 1895, ar… , filaments technolo… out in place to imp… made it poss… estrict the bulb life… work over 1000 h, … drastically increase…

About Cookies On This Site

We and our partners use cookies to enhance your website experience, learn how our site is used, offer personalised features, measure the effectiveness of our services, and tailor content and ads to your interests while you navigate on the web or interact with us across devices. You can choose to accept all of these cookies or only essential cookies. To learn more or manage your preferences, click “Settings”. For further information about the data we collect from you, please see our Privacy Policy

Accept All
Essential Only
Settings

Stockings

During the 1950s to the 1960s, DuPont Company started producing nylon stockings for women. This product had a big success since the stockings were sturdy and resistant. Based on that success, and the fact that they were long lasting, the nylon fibres were modified to make them more fragile. The stocking started to tear more easily and had to be replaced regularly (Dannoritzer 2010). Nowadays, nylon tights rarely last for more than two or three uses.

Plant breeding

Plant breeding is a well-known but not often cited example of PO affecting the seed industry. Farmers used to save seeds for seasons to come. Often crops are destroyed by plagues, and to control plagues, seed producers develop new varieties that are more resistant. The new seeds are commonly useful for shorter periods of time. Consequently, farmers are more often forced to buy seeds for every season (Rangnekar 2002).

Implications

The effects of PO on all pillars of sustainability[7] are not well documented. PO is not acknowledged by industries, and no public information describes their decision-making process regarding how they target or define product lifetime. Consequently, the problem of planning and designing in anticipation of obsolescence is not fully understood for most types of goods. The most documented cases are mainly found in books that focus on the history of planned obsolescence. For the time being, PO represents a real challenge for designers and engineers[8] as this practice generates more environmental impacts than it should. Business-as-usual practices tend to handle only the economic aspects of obsolescence as a means to increase sales (Cordero 1991; Sh nomic dimensi roughout its life cycle planning in order t

From e impact of PO on th o understa suit

About Cookies On This Site

We and our partners use cookies to enhance your website experience, learn how our site is used, offer personalised features, measure the effectiveness of our services, and tailor content and ads to your interests while you navigate on the web or interact with us across devices. You can choose to accept all of these cookies or only essential cookies. To learn more or manage your preferences, click “Settings”. For further information about the data we collect from you, please see our Privacy Policy

Accept All

Essential Only

Settings

Social dimension

The need for continuous improvement in corporate strategies is often seen as a way to introduce new pieces to existing products. Firms update their technology very often to reduce the risk of competition with used market goods (Guiltinan 2009). However, there is a risk for producers who incentivize the release of new products into the market. The incentive will be too high if the value of the older units decreases when the producer makes the new product available. Even when improvements are not obvious on the new product, people still feel that their product is “out of fashion” owing to continuous upgrading (Boone, Lemonb, and Staelin 2001).

Also, consumers’ purchasing behaviour is influenced by product lifetime. According to a study, consumers tend to consider that cheaper products will break sooner than more expensive products (Cox et al. 2013). Customers attribute a low value to such types of goods. Hence, the perception of product reliability[10] plays a very significant role on consumer behaviour.

At the same time, the consumer has a role for product lifetime. Their participation and responsibility for giving proper maintenance to products is critical to at least satisfy the expected product lifetime.

Economic dimension

The three historical examples describe cases of goods that are relatively cheap and affordable by different social levels. Consumers are usually not aware of the cost that needs to be accounted for during the use phase of the product (Kollmann 1992). Most people judge that a broken appliance cannot be repaired and therefore they end up buying a new one. Repairs have also become very expensive while for many products it is cheaper to buy a new version than to repair it (Cooper 2002).

Produce nopolists are
not threa goods with a
shorter l eriod, thus
incre es constant
and h selves since
they hav g
psycholo bsolete

About Cookies On This Site

We and our partners use cookies to enhance your website experience, learn how our site is used, offer personalised features, measure the effectiveness of our services, and tailor content and ads to your interests while you navigate on the web or interact with us across devices. You can choose to accept all of these cookies or only essential cookies. To learn more or manage your preferences, click “Settings”. For further information about the data we collect from you, please see our Privacy Policy

Accept All

Essential Onl

Settings

Finally, government has the power to control the price of energy and raw materials. Governments also play a critical role by establishing taxation programmes and providing incentives for the adoption of cleaner methods and technologies (e.g. the Energy Star programme in the USA for home appliances sponsored by the US Environmental Protection Agency, and the CAFE standards for automobile fuel efficiency). At the same time, governments establish regulations for waste disposal, for example, the European End of Life Vehicles Directive, limits to emissions of pollutants and usage of chemicals (REACH) and therefore are also concerned with the management of PO (European Chemical Agency 2010).

Environmental dimension

The underlying principles of PO are that the design process is explicitly in favour of more fragile goods. For that purpose, engineers are driven to design short-lived products. Products, and components, become outdated and unless there is an extended producer responsibility programme, these are disposed of and are rarely reused, with the exemption of some markets where remanufacturing plays a significant role, for example, the automobile industry.

From the three historical cases only a few types of lights bulbs are recyclable providing the opportunity to improve the environmental performance throughout the life cycle. Stockings are currently discarded as waste. The life cycle loop is not closed creating pollution both from the product and its packaging. While the environmental impact of stocking may not seem imminent as compared to toxic materials used in certain bulbs, they represent a clear example of an open product loop that ends up in a landfill.

Product design

The role … needs into
paramet… a product.
The mai… velopment:
the r… ed (tells
what t… ed, (ii)
system-l… design of
key com… metry,

About Cookies On This Site

We and our partners use cookies to enhance your website experience, learn how our site is used, offer personalised features, measure the effectiveness of our services, and tailor content and ads to your interests while you navigate on the web or interact with us across devices. You can choose to accept all of these cookies or only essential cookies. To learn more or manage your preferences, click “Settings”. For further information about the data we collect from you, please see our Privacy Policy

Accept All

Essential Only

Settings

prototypes, (v) production ramp up: manufacture of the product with the intended production system, (vi) qualification and (vii) validation (Ulrich and Eppinger 2000; Magrab et al. 2009).

While these sequential steps provide a systematic way for developing a product, it follows the traditional approach that is too focused on the technical aspects of the product. As it is, the approach does not acknowledge the need for integrating the environmental dimension of the product. To date, scholars have argued that environmental aspects should be considered early in the product development process if environment performance is to be improved (Arena, Azzone, and Conte 2013; McLellan and Corder 2013; Hetherington et al. 2014; Wender et al. 2014). According to Ullman’s design paradox, the planning process is the best moment to introduce environmental aspects, as it is the time where it is the least expensive. Otherwise, with the progress of the project, changes become harder and more expensive to introduce (Ullman 1992). Not only does the product development process has to be in line with the current technology, but it also needs to respect the specifications and the functions of the reference object (Kobayashi 2006; Feldman and Sandborn 2007).

The design of products and the integration of environmental aspects depends today on the availability of tools and methodologies that will facilitate linking product (e.g. material choice) to product performance (e.g. impact to human health, ecotoxicity). The complexity and usability of tools vary, and can be as simple as a checklist (Lindahl 2006). Whenever small- and medium-sized companies use design for the environment tools, they use them for specific cases. The use of such tools depends on the potential and expected benefits that the tool could provide. If the tools do not fit these criteria, they are often seen as unpractical and time-consuming, and therefore are not fully integrated in the usual design practices of the company (Lindahl 2006). Similar cases were previously reported, where the complexity of the tools as well as the ease of integrati
pects) were
identifie

Design f
impl
ome the
tool or c
of products.
The prob
this stage,
when all
oduct.

About Cookies On This Site

We and our partners use cookies to enhance your website experience, learn how our site is used, offer personalised features, measure the effectiveness of our services, and tailor content and ads to your interests while you navigate on the web or interact with us across devices. You can choose to accept all of these cookies or only essential cookies. To learn more or manage your preferences, click “Settings”. For further information about the data we collect from you, please see our Privacy Policy

Accept All

Essential Only

Settings

In this article

Hence, the potential of LCA to inform decision-making at the design level is not fully exploited.

Broader implications of planned obsolescence

Creation and longevity of supply chain

A more rapid diffusion of information between competitors, and the rapid evolution of customers needs is seen as one of the causes for shortening lifetime and increasing the availability of new products in the market. Products that took a longer time to develop are more likely to become outdated more quickly than expected (Ameri and Dutta 2005). Airplanes and military devices are made to last several years. However, in designing such sustainment dominated systems, one must ensure that the product can still be procured from its original source or will reach logistical obsolescence. There have been various proposed approaches to monitor obsolescence, and solutions to solve the problem of the obsolescence of electronic products and components have been implemented. Commercial tools exist for tracking the availability of electronic components (Feldman and Sandborn 2007). One approach for sustained supply chain is to implement efficient inventory and stocking management practices, in addition to having long-lasting communication with partner suppliers.

Another solution is to buy on aftermarkets if these exist, for example, remanufacturing markets for automobile components (e.g. radiators, starters).

Small businesses

Small businesses have different definitions depending on the country. The European Commission defines a small and medium business as

s) is made
n have an
balance

Most cou
upper limit
of 500 e
e known to

About Cookies On This Site

We and our partners use cookies to enhance your website experience, learn how our site is used, offer personalised features, measure the effectiveness of our services, and tailor content and ads to your interests while you navigate on the web or interact with us across devices. You can choose to accept all of these cookies or only essential cookies. To learn more or manage your preferences, click “Settings”. For further information about the data we collect from you, please see our Privacy Policy

Accept All

Essential Only

Settings

In this article

rapidly than larger firms. However, more SMEs fail to take the opportunity to innovate due to their strategy, company culture and management type. They are also more careful when taking risks as they are highly focused on the company's survival, rather than on growing their competitive advantage. This attitude towards risks often makes them more reluctant to renew their products as rapidly as large firms launch their products in the market.

Consumer behaviour

In the case of consumers, the durability of the product will depend on in situ functions; therefore design needs to be more user based to promote this durability. Durability is more often seen like a technical aspect rather than an environmental issue (Cooper 2004). This vision poses a real challenge when efforts are put in place to consider environmental aspects. Bakker et al. (2014) have emphasized that together with the functional durability, there were the emotional and aesthetical durability, which altogether could contribute to the product life extension. It is possible that one or more functions of the product induce planned obsolescence, and generate the replacement of the product. The functions often reveal what aspects really count for the user. By understanding functional priorities, lifetime could be adapted to reduce the feeling of obsolescence.

The emergence of remanufacturing markets provides an option to consumers. For example, buying a used or remanufactured component is cheaper than buying a new automobile motor. These markets are more common on developing countries where the acquisition power of society may play a critical role on their decisions and where people show greater personal attachment to products. For people with limited capital, buying a new car will be in many cases a prohibited option. In this sense, culture is seen as a critical factor regarding the product lifetime. By acquiring "new" components in aftermar like this it could be er, not solely th

Life

LCA can limitation of many LC for a given distance distance or

About Cookies On This Site

We and our partners use cookies to enhance your website experience, learn how our site is used, offer personalised features, measure the effectiveness of our services, and tailor content and ads to your interests while you navigate on the web or interact with us across devices. You can choose to accept all of these cookies or only essential cookies. To learn more or manage your preferences, click "Settings". For further information about the data we collect from you, please see our Privacy Policy

Accept All

Essential Only

Settings

sufficient information for decision-making. However, the information do not account or capture the absolute impact that is attributed to the consumer. In the case of the automobile, it is the consumer behaviour that will influence much of the overall environmental impact in the use stage (Cheah 2013). For the automobile [internal combustion engine], the greatest environmental impact is associated with the use stage due to the burning of fossil fuels (MacLean and Lave 1998).

In general, LCA allows the quantification of all materials and resources input into facility to manufacture an automobile, however LCA as it is currently defined and used does not account for proper consumer behaviour. For example, in the work of Berger et al. (2012) the water consumed at the use stage for maintenance and washing was not taken into consideration. The uncertainty associated with neglecting this important natural resource hampers and limits such studies in a time when global water resources are starting to scarce.

Considering the relevance of consumer behaviour on the environmental impacts of a product, the impacts could be classified as “elastic”. Here, the term elastic is used to describe how the magnitude of such reported impacts will vary depending on many different factors including study boundaries (inclusion or omission of maintenance, for example) and consumer behaviour (driving and water use).

Sustainable business models

A business model is the design of the value creation process that an enterprise will implement to deliver services and products to customers (Teece 2010). Bocken et al. (2013) presented a review of some current business practices that can be considered as sustainable. Among the practices the authors identified: eco-innovation, eco-
efficienc resources
with ren y principle,
the adop ency. These
practi ese
prac ating
economi r
restructu ons and
Baas 19

About Cookies On This Site

We and our partners use cookies to enhance your website experience, learn how our site is used, offer personalised features, measure the effectiveness of our services, and tailor content and ads to your interests while you navigate on the web or interact with us across devices. You can choose to accept all of these cookies or only essential cookies. To learn more or manage your preferences, click “Settings”. For further information about the data we collect from you, please see our Privacy Policy

Accept All

Essential Only

Settings

In this article

Specific limitations of the current literature on business model were identified. These limitations are believed to cause the stagnation of any effort for creating sustainable business. Among the limitations it was found that often businesses did not fully integrate sustainability into the whole context of the company. In other words, the business strategy, which is included in the mission and vision of the company, is not always transmitted to the tactical (managerial) and operational levels of the industry. It is in these “lower” levels that the business model is transformed and integrated into the product development process. Another limitation is that managers failed to see sustainability from a systemic point of view. A narrow view and valorization of sustainability reduces the chances to modify business-as-usual practices.

Managers need to commit to integrate the sustainability aspects in projects to build the foundation that will facilitate transitioning into new business models (Hallstedt et al. 2013). The environment should be seen as a part of the business strategy, and integrated as early as possible into the product development process. Tools need to be developed and implemented at different business levels that will allow the understanding of trade-offs among product alternatives both in the short and long terms. For a long-term planning, new business models may opt to price the fulfilment of a need, rather than the unit product (Boons and Lüdeke-Freund 2013). Beyond traditional environmental assessment tools like LCA, industries need to incorporate additional tools like risk analysis and multi-criterion assessment to be able to predict the broader consequences (both positive and negative) of their actions and decisions (Hallstedt and Isaksson 2013).

A critical limitation faced by industry deals with the end-of-life of their products. It is at this stage that significant waste and environmental impacts are made. These impacts are more of a concern when proper recollection networks are non-existent or not efficient. Product service systems (PSS) provide a framework to help in dematerializing the econ

environm ding a

service, products

while as a way to

redu e recovery

when th e so-called

produce 2). On this

framewo centives for

About Cookies On This Site

We and our partners use cookies to enhance your website experience, learn how our site is used, offer personalised features, measure the effectiveness of our services, and tailor content and ads to your interests while you navigate on the web or interact with us across devices. You can choose to accept all of these cookies or only essential cookies. To learn more or manage your preferences, click “Settings”. For further information about the data we collect from you, please see our Privacy Policy

Accept All

Essential Only

Settings

2002). This framework is at times seen as negative since higher responsibilities for the producer are required. Some companies see PSS as an extension of their existing services, while others use it as an innovative business asset. Here, it is argued that in order to provide services consumers' behaviour needs to be considered at the early stage of product development. The rational for integrating different actors responds to educational needs since "new mental models" will be needed in order to transition from a product to a service economy. For new PSS models to be adopted, the factors influencing product lifetime need to be understood.[11]

Product elimination practices are common in companies, whereby products, which do not fulfil a set of previously predetermined criteria are often discontinued. Product discontinuance is also driven by market changes, innovation, arrival of new and enhanced models and by changes in business strategies. Based on situational, product-related or organizational variables; and depending on the different cases, the elimination strategy will include corrective actions and evaluation stages. Two common strategies are (1) drop immediately and (2) phase out slowly (Avlonitis 1983). The strategy by which the product is discontinued depends itself on various factors such as competition, customer acceptance and stock of raw materials available among others. The strategy is considered critical to maintaining the financial and organizational longevity of the company (Avlonitis 2000). The final aim is to reap the maximum benefit from the remaining stocks, while efficiently reorganizing resources and services in the least disruptive way for customers' acceptance. However, consumers are most often unaware of future discontinuance and are informed of the product elimination by the seller not the producer. The role of the seller in communicating producers' decisions is seen as a critical factor for the success of the elimination strategy. An efficient strategy may help companies increase their profits by as much as 20% (Hise and McGinnis 1975). Internally, the practices could also help R&D teams to focus on new products and markets, and in cases to expand production of successful products. While the cited
work ma descriptive
of curren

Few s oduct
throu ecting PO
are: (i) t ological
aspect o viour
(Cooper cteristics

About Cookies On This Site

We and our partners use cookies to enhance your website experience, learn how our site is used, offer personalised features, measure the effectiveness of our services, and tailor content and ads to your interests while you navigate on the web or interact with us across devices. You can choose to accept all of these cookies or only essential cookies. To learn more or manage your preferences, click "Settings". For further information about the data we collect from you, please see our Privacy Policy

Accept All

Essential Only

Settings

these factors three important stakeholders associated with product obsolescence are identified: businesses, designers and engineers and consumers.

Targeting and implementing the above-mentioned practices required a solid network of key actors and/or stakeholders (Baumann, Boons, and Bragd 2002). A three-actor model is presented in Figure 1.

Figure 1. Description of proposed three-level model: business, designer and consumer.



Display full size

Discussion

Normally, longer product lifetime usually means that upstream environmental impacts are less significant (Van Nes and Cramer 2006). However, if there is a large
consump … may be
advisabl … acts can
further b … goods. Static
prod … such as
bridg … cant due to
their ene … s for
resource … igerators,

About Cookies On This Site

We and our partners use cookies to enhance your website experience, learn how our site is used, offer personalised features, measure the effectiveness of our services, and tailor content and ads to your interests while you navigate on the web or interact with us across devices. You can choose to accept all of these cookies or only essential cookies. To learn more or manage your preferences, click “Settings”. For further information about the data we collect from you, please see our Privacy Policy

Accept All
Essential Only
Settings

In this article

et al. (2014) studied refrigerators with long lifetimes of 20 years and laptops, which last 4–7 years. The authors found that it was more adapted to choose a strategy as adequate as possible to economic constraints and market pressures. For objects with relatively static design changes, a product life extension could be considered. However, for laptops that are rapidly changing, it was more viable to choose remanufacturing and recycling strategies. Dynamic products often use more energy during the use phase (e.g. automobiles).

A scenario could be considered where the designer responds to a business strategy that fixes product lifetime to X number years (based on experience). While the designer will have no choice to influence product lifetime, he/she will have the freedom to exploit end-of-life aspects to maximize value recovery and reduce impacts. Among the options, the designer could consider material selection and disassembly logistics. While these two options are seen as potential ways to influence product lifetime, care should be taken since win-win routes for full lifecycle environmental improvement are not always guaranteed, nor the process is straight forward. For example, substitution of steel for plastics and composites in the automobile resulted in more complicated disassembly processes (Van Hoek 2002).

Optimization of end-of-life practices involves several actors including customers, product collecting entities and value recovery entities. In some cases it could be seen as if the producers passes along the product responsibility to these “after-use” actors. It could be stated that such practices are not sustainable, but it describes current practices that may be a consequence of the absence of proper value recovery networks. The envisioned future is that these end-of-life practices will allow the establishment of such networks, and once in place the business models will have to adapt to provide economic and social benefits along the product life cycle. The importance of the recovery network is critical since infrastructure is put in place
afterwar oduct is
importe nario, the
existing w product.
This i y networks
are l e recovered
material o ensure the
longevit

About Cookies On This Site

We and our partners use cookies to enhance your website experience, learn how our site is used, offer personalised features, measure the effectiveness of our services, and tailor content and ads to your interests while you navigate on the web or interact with us across devices. You can choose to accept all of these cookies or only essential cookies. To learn more or manage your preferences, click “Settings”. For further information about the data we collect from you, please see our Privacy Policy

Accept All

Essential Only

Settings

In terms of design approaches, design for “end-of-life” will make material recovery easier. At the same time it will strive for making disassembly operations economically feasible to allow the establishment of recovery networks and infrastructure. Such efforts may lead to lower environmental and social impacts. In ideal scenarios, these networks could also be established in developing countries to contribute to social development through acceptable work practices and job creation. A need to optimizing material flows is deemed important to ensure the sustained operation of these networks. The successful implementation of recovery networks will hence provide ways to mitigate the environmental and social impacts of product obsolescence.

The traditional product design process focuses mainly on product technical functions and costs. No coordination was found in the literature between the traditional approach and current sustainability needs. From a sustainability perspective, a designer on the twenty-first century is expected to go beyond traditional boundaries to incorporate as much as possible environmental and social criteria into the design process. In response to these needs, the literature shows a promising increase in research that aims at narrowing the gaps between design and sustainability. Looking for solutions at the “root of the problems” has the greatest potential for helping in narrowing this gap.

Engineers continue to experience difficulties due to the complexity of mixing technical, environmental and other criteria into the product development process. It is this situation that is considered a “root of the problem”. In response to these difficulties, designers often pursue a streamlined analysis and hence focus on a few particular aspects of the product instead (Lindahl 2006). Hence, there is a need for developing tools that will facilitate the integration current tools and facilitate the consideration of different sustainability criteria. The tools should also provide the basis to characterize the current system, perform backcasting analysis and scenario analysis to provide a more holistic description of the past, present and expected future (Waage 2007).

The trai design of a
product. ined to
perform neering
scho g cycles,
though critical
element problem
arises w which

About Cookies On This Site

We and our partners use cookies to enhance your website experience, learn how our site is used, offer personalised features, measure the effectiveness of our services, and tailor content and ads to your interests while you navigate on the web or interact with us across devices. You can choose to accept all of these cookies or only essential cookies. To learn more or manage your preferences, click “Settings”. For further information about the data we collect from you, please see our Privacy Policy

Accept All

Essential Only

Settings

In this article

components and their interactions. Fatigue analysis is not only affected by the load (weight or mass). The duration of the load and type will also influence the lifetime. Exposure to corrosive environments may lead to failure. Failure mechanisms will also depend on material type and on exposure temperature (e.g. melting of plastic components) (Stephens et al. 2000). For a complex system, expert experience and judgment becomes vital. When exploring the design of products there are factors that serve to guide the consideration of product lifetime. Five suggested factors are:

(1) The functions of the product.
(2) The design framework of the product.
(3) The parameters which need to be integrated into the system.
(4) The economic aspect.
(5) The drivers which lead to renewal of the product.

Each actor in Figure 1 serves a role in the product life cycle (from development to use to disposal). Business and product/service roles are included that would permit the incorporation of non-traditional practices. This model states that the business strategy influences all the other factors, as it determines the way a product is made. Secondly, the designer who is influenced by the management’s decisions will use the required criteria, and designs the product accordingly. The designer therefore may, or may not be able to take durability into consideration. Finally, the consumer behaviour is important in the use phase of the product since behaviour will modify the environmental performance. At this stage the consumer also collects knowledge about the product, knowledge that in the best scenario will make its way back to the business.

In developing the model (Figure 1) it is acknowledged that determining a product life is no easy task; much of it comes from experience due to the complexity of products. In
some ca ough the
applicati ner to
estimate l in a time
span (A nown cases
with blishing
useful fe

Figure 2 value chain

About Cookies On This Site

We and our partners use cookies to enhance your website experience, learn how our site is used, offer personalised features, measure the effectiveness of our services, and tailor content and ads to your interests while you navigate on the web or interact with us across devices. You can choose to accept all of these cookies or only essential cookies. To learn more or manage your preferences, click “Settings”. For further information about the data we collect from you, please see our Privacy Policy

Accept All

Essential Only

Settings

In this article

marketed, sold, used and disposed of. The scheme establishes the hierarchy of the system; namely that of top managers on product designers. The bottom of the chain includes consumers and waste managers, which influences the lifetime of the product at the use and disposal stage, respectively. This organization may be optimized to minimize the environmental impacts of each stage, namely through a change in the type of interaction dominating the business structure. With more consumer feedback, a designer will be better prepared to anticipate the current and future uses of a product, as well as failure mechanisms. As there are significant risks involved when making predictions, many scenarios should be considered. Through creativity techniques, new life cycles can be designed to explore their potential environmental impact thus allowing the designers to make informed decisions (Niemann, Tichkiewitch, and Westkamper 2008).

Figure 2. Representation of knowledge and interactions between actors of the value chain.



Display ful

About Cookies On This Site

We and our partners use cookies to enhance your website experience, learn how our site is used, offer personalised features, measure the effectiveness of our services, and tailor content and ads to your interests while you navigate on the web or interact with us across devices. You can choose to accept all of these cookies or only essential cookies. To learn more or manage your preferences, click “Settings”. For further information about the data we collect from you, please see our Privacy Policy

Accept All

Essential Only

Settings

The env tegrate
socia nmental and
societa the best set
of optio proach: the
strategi s concerned

In this article

generate profit for the company. The technical level is concerned with the technical feasibility of the product, by taking into account the cost of production. Life cycle costing has been the traditional factor controlling at the decision-making stage. The societal/environmental level is concerned with customer's expectations, taking into account the environment. This set of criteria is not fixed as each company has its own notion of what is important to their business and the places where they operate, sell and provide services.

While the designer, training, competences, knowledge and experience are critical for extending the product life, a smooth transition towards a more holistic product design process requires the understanding of drivers and business roles. Paving the road in this direction is challenging since strategies for managing obsolescence are not that well understood and documented. At the same time, evaluating the lifetime of products is no easy task and proper knowledge and tools are needed.

Actors (e.g. producers, consumers, intermediaries, government) should acknowledge their responsibilities and their roles if impacts throughout each life cycle stage are to be reduced. At the industrial level, a call is expressed for the design of business models that respond to concrete goals and that have a strong foundation on benchmarking. These models need not only be implemented at top industrial levels, but transmitted and injected into all levels of the industries (strategic and tactical) using top-bottom approaches (Zhang et al. 2013). At the same time designer should push for bottom-up approaches to influence top managers. The models need to be designed in a way that they provide room for adaptation to new knowledge, new markets and new visions while leaving old, holding habits behind. By acknowledging the dynamics of industrial, environmental and social systems, businesses will position themselves on the right track for a positive, forward looking transition.

Conclu

The g uct lifetime

in pr

opportu e".

Using th dation to

About Cookies On This Site

We and our partners use cookies to enhance your website experience, learn how our site is used, offer personalised features, measure the effectiveness of our services, and tailor content and ads to your interests while you navigate on the web or interact with us across devices. You can choose to accept all of these cookies or only essential cookies. To learn more or manage your preferences, click "Settings". For further information about the data we collect from you, please see our Privacy Policy

Accept All

Essential Only

Settings

technological devices was explored, and part of the analysis attempts to see the consequences on society. This work has reaffirmed that the environmental impact directly associated with a product lifetime is given limited consideration in the product development process. Minimizing products lifetime impacts is not the sole responsibility of the designer, but producers, consumers, government and business and service providers. It is acknowledged that the complexity of the product development process, understanding of industrial drivers and will, the innovations cycle, marketing, system dynamics and knowledge availability among others should all be taken into consideration for a holistic analysis of product systems.

The main conclusion of this work is that more attention should be given to the broader impacts of product lifetime through the life cycle. Such attention should first start at the management level. Strategies to improve overall performance should be reflected in business models and communicated downstream, injected into the tactical and operational levels. Business models should clearly define the path and role of design in order to facilitate the translation of the strategies into action. To concretize the business models, designers and engineers should be given proper tools and opportunities to inform decision-making. An initial step may include a look at design tools and methods, role of policy, customer perception and cultural factors. Ease of usability, adaptation and modularity of design tools should be elements considered when tools are developed or selected. It is expected that new business models will trigger changes in other business models along the supply chain and service provider networks.

Recent studies of product lifetime have been reported for electronics and household products. More cooperation is needed from industry to gain a better understanding of knowledge flows, products performance data and close-loop knowledge (Figure 2). The effect of marketing on product lifetime should also be studied. The best intentions of
engineer y be
oversha goals.
Therefor

In or he
environ n life cycles,
including longevity
as produ ario for

About Cookies On This Site

We and our partners use cookies to enhance your website experience, learn how our site is used, offer personalised features, measure the effectiveness of our services, and tailor content and ads to your interests while you navigate on the web or interact with us across devices. You can choose to accept all of these cookies or only essential cookies. To learn more or manage your preferences, click “Settings”. For further information about the data we collect from you, please see our Privacy Policy

Accept All

Essential Only

Settings

In this article

scarcity are expected to force businesses to novel business models that look deeply at the way they create, deliver and capture value from a system perspective. Landfill space is also becoming critical. Hence, new paradigms are needed to value all types of resources at every stage of the product life cycle.

This work positions product lifetime as a critical element of the product development process. However, certain limitations were identified that need to be overcome. Case studies are needed to provide the necessary evidence to judge to what level the development process could be improved by considering the factors described in this manuscript. It is expected that this work will prove useful for designers and industry, and that new initiatives and methodologies that consider lifetime will be developed.

Acknowledgements

We thank Conny Bakker at Delft University of Technology, and Anthony Hua and Kiyan Vadoudi at Troyes University of Technology for their comments and helpful suggestions.

Disclosure statement

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the authors.

Notes

1. Terms product lifetime, lifespan and obsolescence are used interchangeably throughout this manuscript. They all refer to the time when a product's initial function is no lon

2. Lifeti cribe the expecte

3. Co from material agement (recover

About Cookies On This Site

We and our partners use cookies to enhance your website experience, learn how our site is used, offer personalised features, measure the effectiveness of our services, and tailor content and ads to your interests while you navigate on the web or interact with us across devices. You can choose to accept all of these cookies or only essential cookies. To learn more or manage your preferences, click “Settings”. For further information about the data we collect from you, please see our Privacy Policy

Accept All

Essential Only

Settings

In this article

4. End-of-life is the stage when the original function of the product is to some extent no longer achievable and the product exits in the use stage; the product enters a new stage for material recovery, reuse or to be in a landfill among some management options.

5. Life cycle management is a strategy to reduce the product environmental impact (Cooper 2002).

6. This situation is referred to as procurement life: the period for which the part or component was (or will be) available for procurement from its original manufacturer after purchase (Sandborn, Prabhakar, and Ahmad 2011).

7. Sustainability has three pillars: social, economic and environmental.

8. The words “designer” and “engineers” are used in this manuscript to describe the actions taken for the development of a product; these actions may be taken by one person, a group, a centralized or decentralized team.

9. Ecodesign integrates all the aspects of the life cycle into the product design and development processes with the goal of reducing the environmental impacts (ISO 14006 2011). However, one limitation of ecodesign is that it does not take into account individual consumer behaviours and other network externalities related to new products as they are too complex to predict and analyse. Network externalities refer to the value consumers attribute to a given product (Katz and Shapiro 1985).

10. Reliability refers to the performance of a product after purchase. It describes how well a product satisfies its function over consecutive use periods.

11. Factors for PSS are further discussed in: Tukker 2004, Eight types of product-service systems: eight ways to sustainability? Experiences from SUSPRONET.

Ref

1. Aktas, Building
LCA R 37–

About Cookies On This Site

We and our partners use cookies to enhance your website experience, learn how our site is used, offer personalised features, measure the effectiveness of our services, and tailor content and ads to your interests while you navigate on the web or interact with us across devices. You can choose to accept all of these cookies or only essential cookies. To learn more or manage your preferences, click “Settings”. For further information about the data we collect from you, please see our Privacy Policy

Accept All

Essential Only

Settings

In this article

Web of Science ® | Google Scholar

2. Almalki, S. J., and S. Nadarajah. 2014. “Modifications of the Weibull Distribution: A Review.” Reliability Engineering & System Safety 124: 32–55.
Web of Science ® | Google Scholar

3. Amaya, J., A. Lelah, and P. Zwolinski. 2013. “Environmental Benefits of PSS Strategies: A Bicycle Sharing System Case Study.” In The Philosopher’s Stone for Sustainability, edited by Y. Shimomura and K. Kimita. 339–344. Berlin: Springer.
Google Scholar

4. Ameri, F., and D. Dutta. 2005. “Product Lifecycle Management: Closing the Knowledge Loops.” Computer-Aided Design and Applications 2 (5): 577–590. doi:10.1080/16864360.2005.10738322.
Google Scholar

5. Arena, M., G. Azzone, and A. Conte. 2013. “A Streamlined LCA Framework to Support Early Decision Making in Vehicle Development.” Journal of Cleaner Production 41: 105–113. doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2012.09.031.
Web of Science ® | Google Scholar

6. Avlonitis, G. J. 1983. “Product Deletion Decision and Strategies.” Industrial Marketing Management 13: 77–85.
Web of Science ® | Google Scholar

7. Avlonitis, G. J., S. J. Hart, and N. X. Tzokas. 2000. “An Analysis of Product Deletion
Scena /S0737-
6782(

8. Ba , R. Roy, et
al. 20 e Institution
of Mec (10): 1543–
1552.

About Cookies On This Site

We and our partners use cookies to enhance your website experience, learn how our site is used, offer personalised features, measure the effectiveness of our services, and tailor content and ads to your interests while you navigate on the web or interact with us across devices. You can choose to accept all of these cookies or only essential cookies. To learn more or manage your preferences, click “Settings”. For further information about the data we collect from you, please see our Privacy Policy

Accept All

Essential Only

Settings

9. Bakker, C., F. Wang, J. Huisman, and M. den Hollander. 2014. “Products That Go round: Exploring Product Life Extension through Design.” Journal of Cleaner Production 69: 10–16. doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2014.01.028.
| Web of Science ® | Google Scholar

10. Baumann, H., F. Boons, and A. Bragd. 2002. “Mapping the Green Product Development Field: Engineering, Policy and Business Perspectives.” Journal of Cleaner Production 10 (5): 409–425. doi:10.1016/S0959-6526(02)00015-X.
| Web of Science ® | Google Scholar

11. Berger, M., J. Warsen, S. Krinke, V. Bach, and M. Finkbeiner. 2012. “Water Footprint of European Cars: Potential Impacts of Water Consumption along Automobile Life Cycles.” Environmental Science & Technology 46 (7): 4091–4099.
| PubMed | Web of Science ® | Google Scholar

12. Bocken, N. M. P., Samuel W. Short, P. Rana, and S. Evans. 2013. “A Literature and Practice Review to Develop Sustainable Business Model Archetypes.” Journal of Cleaner Production 65: 42–56. doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2013.11.039.
| Web of Science ® | Google Scholar

13. Boone, D. S., K. N. Lemonb, and R. Staelin. 2001. “The Impact of Firm Introductory Strategies on Consumers’ Perceptions of Future Product Introductions and Purchase Decisions.” Journal of Product Innovation Management 18 (2): 96–109. doi:10.1111/1540-5885.1820096.
| Web of Science ® | Google Scholar

14. Boons, F., and F. Lüdeke-Freund. 2013. “Business Models for Sustainable Innovation:
State- er Production
45: 9–

15. Boons
Coord S0959-
6526(

About Cookies On This Site

We and our partners use cookies to enhance your website experience, learn how our site is used, offer personalised features, measure the effectiveness of our services, and tailor content and ads to your interests while you navigate on the web or interact with us across devices. You can choose to accept all of these cookies or only essential cookies. To learn more or manage your preferences, click “Settings”. For further information about the data we collect from you, please see our Privacy Policy

Accept All

Essential Only

Settings

6. Bulow, J. 1986. “An Economic Theory of Planned Obsolescence.” The Quarterly Journal of Economics 101 (4): 729–749. doi:10.2307/1884176.
Web of Science ® | Google Scholar

7. Cantrell, L., J. R. Suchard, A. Wu, and R. R. Gerona. 2012. “Stability of Active Ingredients in Long-Expired Prescription Medications.” Archives of Internal Medicine 172 (21): 1685–1687.10.1001/archinternmed.2012.4501
PubMed | Google Scholar

8. Cheah, L. 2013. “Use Phase Parameter Variation and Uncertainty in LCA: Automobile Case Study.” In Re-Engineering Manufacturing for Sustainability, edited by A. Y. C. Nee, B. Song, and S.-K. Ong, 553–557. Singapore: Springer.
Google Scholar

9. Choi, J. P., and M. Thum. 1998. “Market Structure and the Timing of Technology Adoption with Network Externalities.” European Economic Review 42 (2): 225–244. doi:10.1016/S0014-2921(97)00065-2.
Web of Science ® | Google Scholar

0. Coase, R. H. 1972. “Durability and Monopoly.” The Journal of Law and Economics 15: 143–149.10.1086/jle.1972.15.issue-1
Web of Science ® | Google Scholar

21. Cooper, T. 2002. “Durable Consumption: Reflections on Product Life Cycles and the Throwaway Society.” Proceedings Interim Report of Lifecycle Approaches to Sustainable Consumption Workshop, 11–27 Austria.
Google Scholar

22. Coope oduct
Obsol s10603-004-
22

23. Corde Survey of

About Cookies On This Site

We and our partners use cookies to enhance your website experience, learn how our site is used, offer personalised features, measure the effectiveness of our services, and tailor content and ads to your interests while you navigate on the web or interact with us across devices. You can choose to accept all of these cookies or only essential cookies. To learn more or manage your preferences, click “Settings”. For further information about the data we collect from you, please see our Privacy Policy

Accept All

Essential Only

Settings

doi:10.1016/0737-6782(91)90049-5.
Web of Science ® | Google Scholar

24. Cox, J., S. Griffith, S. Giorgi, and G. King. 2013. “Consumer Understanding of Product Lifetimes.” Resources, Conservation and Recycling 79: 21–29. doi:10.1016/j.resconrec.2013.05.003.
Web of Science ® | Google Scholar

25. Dannoritzer, C. 2010. “The Light Bulb Conspiracy.” Documentary film.
Google Scholar

26. European Chemical Agency. 2010. REACH Fact Sheet: Safety Data Sheets and Exposure Scenarios. https://echa.europa.eu/documents/10162/13563/downstream_en.pdf.
Google Scholar

27. Feldman, K., and P. Sandborn. 2007.“Integrating Technology Obsolescence Considerations into Product Design Planning.” In ASME 2007 International Design Engineering Technical Conferences and Computers and Information in Engineering Conference, 981–988. Nevada, NV. doi:10.1115/DETC2007-35881.
Google Scholar

28. Guiltinan, J. 2009. “Creative Destruction and Destructive Creations: Environmental Ethics and Planned Obsolescence.” Journal of Business Ethics 89 (1): 19–28. doi:10.1007/s10551-008-9907-9.
Web of Science ® | Google Scholar

29. Hallst quences of
Manuf of the 19th
Intern monies Vol.
9:
Go

30. Hallst 013. “A

About Cookies On This Site

We and our partners use cookies to enhance your website experience, learn how our site is used, offer personalised features, measure the effectiveness of our services, and tailor content and ads to your interests while you navigate on the web or interact with us across devices. You can choose to accept all of these cookies or only essential cookies. To learn more or manage your preferences, click “Settings”. For further information about the data we collect from you, please see our Privacy Policy

Accept All
Essential Only
Settings

Engineering Technical Conferences & Computers and Information in Engineering Conference IDETC/CIE, 1–10 Oregon, OR: The American Society of Mechanical Engineers.
Google Scholar

31. Hetherington, A., A. Borrion, O. Griffiths, and M. McManus. 2014. “Use of LCA as a Development Tool within Early Research: Challenges and Issues across Different Sectors.” The International Journal of Life Cycle Assessment 19 (1): 130–143. doi:10.1007/s11367-013-0627-8.
| Web of Science ® | Google Scholar

32. Hise, R. T., and M. A. McGinnis. 1975. “Product Elimination: Practices, Policies, and Ethics.” Business Horizons 18 (3): 25–32.10.1016/0007-6813(75)90049-X
| Web of Science ® | Google Scholar

33. HP. “Hp Support: Ink Expiration.” Accessed 2014. http://support.hp.com/us-en/document/c01764161
Google Scholar

34. Huang, G. Q. 1996. Design for X: Concurrent Engineering Imperatives. Netherlands: Springer.10.1007/978-94-011-3985-4
| Google Scholar

35. Huang, E. M., and K. N. Truong. 2008. “Breaking the Disposable Technology Paradigm: Opportunities for Sustainable Interaction Design for Mobile Phones.” In Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems, edited by ACM, 323–332. New York: ACM.
Goog

36. Huijbr A.” The
Int 02979835

37. ISO. 2 lines for

About Cookies On This Site

We and our partners use cookies to enhance your website experience, learn how our site is used, offer personalised features, measure the effectiveness of our services, and tailor content and ads to your interests while you navigate on the web or interact with us across devices. You can choose to accept all of these cookies or only essential cookies. To learn more or manage your preferences, click “Settings”. For further information about the data we collect from you, please see our Privacy Policy

Accept All

Essential Only

Settings

Google Scholar

38. Jacquemin, L., P.-Y. Pontalier, and C. Sablayrolles. 2012. “Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) Applied to the Process Industry: A Review.” The International Journal of Life Cycle Assessment 17 (8): 1028–1041. doi:10.1007/s11367-012-0432-9.
| Web of Science ® | Google Scholar

39. Katz, M. L., and C. Shapiro. 1985. “Network Externalities, Competition, and Compatibility.” The American Economic Review 75: 424–440.
Web of Science ® | Google Scholar

40. Keeble, D. 2013. The Culture of Planned Obsolescence in Technology Companies. Thesis. Finland: Open repositories of the Universities of Applied Sciences (UAS).
Google Scholar

41. King, A. M., S. C. Burgess, W. Ijomah, and C. A. McMahon. 2006. “Reducing Waste: Repair, Recondition, Remanufacture or Recycle?” Sustainable Development 14 (4): 257–267. doi:10.1002/sd.271.
| Web of Science ® | Google Scholar

42. Kobayashi, H. 2006. “A Systematic Approach to Eco-Innovative Product Design Based on Life Cycle Planning.” Advanced Engineering Informatics 20 (2): 113–125. doi:10.1016/j.aei.2005.11.002.
| Web of Science ® | Google Scholar

43. Kollmann, K. 1992. “Hidden Costs of Consumption.” Journal of Consumer Studies & Home Economics 16 (3): 273–281. doi:10.1111/j.1470-6431.1992.tb00517.x.

44. Latouc Programmée
[T]
Go

45. Levint escence.”

About Cookies On This Site

We and our partners use cookies to enhance your website experience, learn how our site is used, offer personalised features, measure the effectiveness of our services, and tailor content and ads to your interests while you navigate on the web or interact with us across devices. You can choose to accept all of these cookies or only essential cookies. To learn more or manage your preferences, click “Settings”. For further information about the data we collect from you, please see our Privacy Policy

Accept All

Essential Only

Settings

Web of Science ® | Google Scholar

6. Lindahl, M. 2006. “Engineering Designers’ Experience of Design for Environment Methods and Tools-Requirement Definitions from an Interview Study.” Journal of Cleaner Production 14 (5): 487496. doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2005.02.003.
Web of Science ® | Google Scholar

7. Lorek, S., and J. H. Spangenberg. 2014. “Sustainable Consumption within a Sustainable Economy – Beyond Green Growth and Green Economies.” Journal of Cleaner Production 63: 33–44.10.1016/j.jclepro.2013.08.045
Web of Science ® | Google Scholar

8. MacLean, H., and L. Lave. 1998. “A Life Cyce Model of an Automobile.” Environmental Science & Technology 32: 322–330.
Google Scholar

9. Magrab, E. B., S. K. Gupta, F. P. McCluskey, and P. Sandborn. 2009. Integrated Product and Process Design and Development: The Product Realization Process. Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press.
Google Scholar

0. McLellan, B., and G. Corder. 2013. “Risk Reduction through Early Assessment and Integration of Sustainability in Design in the Minerals Industry.” Journal of Cleaner Production 53: 37–46.10.1016/j.jclepro.2012.02.014
Web of Science ® | Google Scholar

1. Mont, urnal of
Cleane 7.

2. Ni able Product
Life C
Goog

About Cookies On This Site

We and our partners use cookies to enhance your website experience, learn how our site is used, offer personalised features, measure the effectiveness of our services, and tailor content and ads to your interests while you navigate on the web or interact with us across devices. You can choose to accept all of these cookies or only essential cookies. To learn more or manage your preferences, click “Settings”. For further information about the data we collect from you, please see our Privacy Policy

Accept All

Essential Only

Settings

Google Scholar

54. Rai, R., and J. Terpenny. 2008. “Principles for Managing Technological Product Obsolescence.” IEEE Transactions on Components and Packaging Technologies 31 (4): 880–889.10.1109/TCAPT.2008.2005115
Web of Science ® | Google Scholar

55. Rangnekar, D. 2002. “R&D Appropriability and Planned Obsolescence: Empirical Evidence from Wheat Breeding in the UK (1960–1995).” Industrial and Corporate Change 11 (5): 1011–1029. doi:10.1093/icc/11.5.1011.
Web of Science ® | Google Scholar

56. Reisch, L.A., and J. Thøgersen, eds. 2015 Handbook of Research on Sustainable Consumption. Cheltenhan: Edward Elgar Publishing.10.4337/9781783471270
Google Scholar

57. Sandborn, P., V. Prabhakar, and O. Ahmad. 2011. “Forecasting Electronic Part Procurement Lifetimes to Enable the Management of DMSMS Obsolescence.” Microelectronics Reliability 51 (2): 392–399.10.1016/j.microrel.2010.08.005
Web of Science ® | Google Scholar

58. SBA. U.S. Small Business Administration. 2014. Small Business Size Standards. Washington, DC: Government Printing Office.
Google Scholar

59. Sherman, J. D., W. E. Souder, and S. A. Jenssen. 2000. “Differential Effects of the Primary Forms of Cross Functional Integration on Product Development Cycle Time.” Journa 1540-5885.

60. Sing anning for Sustai 5–139. doi:10

About Cookies On This Site

We and our partners use cookies to enhance your website experience, learn how our site is used, offer personalised features, measure the effectiveness of our services, and tailor content and ads to your interests while you navigate on the web or interact with us across devices. You can choose to accept all of these cookies or only essential cookies. To learn more or manage your preferences, click “Settings”. For further information about the data we collect from you, please see our Privacy Policy

Accept All

Essential Only

Settings

51. Slade, G. 2009. Made to Break: Technology and Obsolescence in America. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
Google Scholar

52. Stephens, R. I., A. Fatemi, R. R. Stephens, and H. O. Fuchs. 2000. Metal Fatigue in Engineering. New York: John Wiley & Sons.
Google Scholar

53. Teece, D. J. 2010. "Business Models, Business Strategy and Innovation." Long Range Planning 43 (2–3): 172–194. doi:10.1016/j.lrp.2009.07.003.
Web of Science ® | Google Scholar

54. Tukker, A. 2004. "Eight Types of Product-Service System: Eight Ways to Sustainability? Experiences from SusProNet." Business Strategy and the Environment 13 (4): 246–260. doi:10.1002/bse.414.
Google Scholar

55. Ullman, D. G. 1992. The Mechanical Design Process. New York: McGraw-Hill.
Google Scholar

56. Ulrich, K. T., and S. D. Eppinger. 2000. Product Design and Development. New York: McGraw-Hill Companies.
Google Scholar

57. Van Hoek, R. I. 2002. "Case Studies of Greening the Automotive Supply Chain through Technology and Operations." International Journal of Technology Management 23 (1): 89–112.10.1504/IJTM.2002.003000

58. Van N enging
St aner
Pro

About Cookies On This Site

We and our partners use cookies to enhance your website experience, learn how our site is used, offer personalised features, measure the effectiveness of our services, and tailor content and ads to your interests while you navigate on the web or interact with us across devices. You can choose to accept all of these cookies or only essential cookies. To learn more or manage your preferences, click "Settings". For further information about the data we collect from you, please see our Privacy Policy

Accept All

Essential Only

Settings

9. Verheugen, G. 2005. The New SME Definition: User Guide and New Model Declaration. Luxembourg: Office for Official Publications of the European Communities.

Google Scholar

0. Waage, S. A. 2007. “Re-Considering Product Design: A Practical “Road-Map” for Integration of Sustainability Issues.” Journal of Cleaner Production 15 (7): 638–649. doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2005.11.026.

Web of Science ® | Google Scholar

1. Waldman, M. 1993. “A New Perspective on Planned Obsolescence.” The Quarterly Journal of Economics 108 (1): 273–283. doi:10.2307/2118504.

Web of Science ® | Google Scholar

2. Wender, B., R. Foley, V. Prado-Lopez, D. Eisenberg, D. Ravikumar, T. Hottle, J. Sadowski, et al. 2014. “Illustrating Anticipatory Life Cycle Assessment for Emerging Photovoltaic Technologies.” Environmental Science & Technology 48 (18): 10531–10538. doi:10.1021/es5016923.

PubMed | Web of Science ® | Google Scholar

3. Wilhelm, W. B. 2012. “Encouraging Sustainable Consumption through Product Lifetime Extension: The Case of Mobile Phones.” International Journal of Business and Social Science 3 (3): 17–32.

Google Scholar

4. Zhang, F., M. Rio, R. Allais, P. Zwolinski, T. Reyes-Carrillo, L. Roucoules, E. Mercier-Laurent, and N. Buclet. 2013. “Toward an Systemic Navigation Framework to Integr r Production 54: 19

Downloa

About Cookies On This Site

We and our partners use cookies to enhance your website experience, learn how our site is used, offer personalised features, measure the effectiveness of our services, and tailor content and ads to your interests while you navigate on the web or interact with us across devices. You can choose to accept all of these cookies or only essential cookies. To learn more or manage your preferences, click “Settings”. For further information about the data we collect from you, please see our Privacy Policy

Accept All

Essential Only

Settings

People also read
Recommended articles
Cited by
38

Information for

Authors
R&D professionals
Editors
Librarians
Societies

Open access

Overview
Open journals
Open Select
Dove Medical Press
F1000Research

Opportunities

Reprints and e-prints
Advertising solutions
Accelerated publication
Corporate access solutions

Help and information

Help and contact
Newsroom
All journals
Books

Keep up to date

Register to receive personalised research and resources by email

Sign me up

Copyright © 2024 Informa UK Limited Privacy policy Cookies Terms & conditions Accessibility

Taylor & Francis Group
an informa business

Registered
5 Howick Pl

About Cookies On This Site

We and our partners use cookies to enhance your website experience, learn how our site is used, offer personalised features, measure the effectiveness of our services, and tailor content and ads to your interests while you navigate on the web or interact with us across devices. You can choose to accept all of these cookies or only essential cookies. To learn more or manage your preferences, click “Settings”. For further information about the data we collect from you, please see our Privacy Policy

Accept All
Essential Only
Settings

In this article