

International Journal of Housing Policy >

Volume 17, 2017 - Issue 1: Special issue: The Globalisation of Local Real Estate: The Politics and Practice of Foreign Real Estate Investment. Guest editors: Dallas Rogers and Sin Yee Koh

Free access

26,380 Views | 93 CrossRef citations to date | 56 Altmetric

Listen

Introduction

The globalisation of real estate: the politics and practice of foreign real estate investment

Dallas Rogers & Sin Yee Koh

Pages 1-14 | Published online: 18 Jan 2017

Cite this article <https://doi.org/10.1080/19491247.2016.1270618>

Check for updates

Full Article

Figures & data

References

Citations

Metrics

Reprints & Permissions

View PDF

Abstract

Foreign... super-ric... public de... foreign i... portfolio... also h... man... from pro... anti-fore... local ho... issue on

We Care About Your Privacy

We and our 843 partners store and/or access information on a device, such as unique IDs in cookies to process personal data. You may accept or manage your choices by clicking below, including your right to object where legitimate interest is used, or at any time in the privacy policy page. These choices will be signaled to our partners and will not affect browsing data. [Privacy Policy](#)

We and our partners process data to provide:

Use precise geolocation data. Actively scan device characteristics for identification. Store and/or access information on a device. Personalised advertising and content, advertising and content measurement, audience research and services development.

List of Partners (vendors)

I Accept

Essential Only

Show Purpose

ss and... policy and... lass for... tment... n plans may... he latest... these range... ounds, to... protecting the... this special... l case

Introduction highlights four methodological challenges that the articles collectively highlight; they are (1) investor cohorts and property types, (2) regulatory settings, (3) geopolitics and (4) spatial differences and temporal trajectories.

Regulatory settings

Keywords: housing globalisation of real estate foreign investment methodological challenges

Geopolitics transnational

Spatial differences and temporal trajectories

Acknowledgements


Disclosure statement Introduction

References

Foreign investment in residential real estate is re-emerging as a key political issue in several Anglo-sphere and Asian countries. The global real estate activities of the Four Asian Tiger countries (i.e., Hong Kong, Singapore, South Korea and Taiwan) in Anglo-sphere markets in the 1980s are well documented. The increasing foreign investor activity of new middle-class and super-rich investors from Brazil, Russia, India, China and South Africa (known collectively as the BRICS) in global real estate markets has introduced or revived some deep-seated cultural and political sensitivities (Rogers, Lee, & Yan, 2015).

Government and public responses to the latest manifestation of global real estate investment has taken different forms. On the back of the well-reported rise in Chinese investment in local real estate in Australia, for example, in 2014, the federal government conducted a parliamentary inquiry into individual foreign investment in

residential real estate in Canada and legislative measures to take action on housing affordability in London, a 300-storey apartment outside The World Economic Forum European Union countries schemes targeting to attract global capital heightened up foreign investment in Hong Kong government foreign investment in liquid regulatory investment in hospital



Introduction
Within this changing global context, the six articles in this special issue on the globalisation of real estate present a diverse range of empirical case studies from Investor cohorts and property types Canada, Hong Kong, Singapore, Russia, Australia and Korea. David Ley (2015) examines Regulatory settings the impact of international real estate investment on the local housing market in Geopolitics Vancouver, Canada. Choon-Piew Pow (2016) exposes the strategies that are used by investors and the government in Singapore to create and seek out new safe havens Spatial differences and temporal trajectories within which to 'park' and 'grow' super-rich wealth. Karita Kan (2016) moves beyond Acknowledgements culturally essentialist analyses of global real estate transactions to show how Hong Kong investors have made inroads into the Mainland Chinese market. This analysis Disclosure statement draws attention to geopolitical questions at the abstract level of the nation-state as well as the more embodied level on the ground. Mirjam Büdenbender and Oleg References Golubchikov's (2016) article also considers geopolitical questions. It demonstrates that global real estate and property markets play an increasingly important role in international relations, and in this Russian case study, foreign investment has emerged as a form of soft geopolitical power. Hyung Min Kim's (2016) article shows how foreign investment is organised socio-spatially in Seoul, Korea. In this case, a knowledge of local conditions, which is often built through previous residency or a shared ethnicity, is important in shaping the spatial distribution of foreign investment in the city. Finally, Alexandra Wong focuses on Mainland Chinese foreign real estate investments into Sydney's Chinatown district, with Chinatown being an important global-urban node within the emerging Chinese foreign real estate market in Sydney.

This editorial contextualises these articles with recent scholarship on the globalisation of real estate to speculate on the methodological challenges this special issue might expose. This is a methodological challenge that is not covered, and grey areas & Dufty-Jones & Madden (Madden & Ma) we find four methods are (1) investor spatial d and (4)



Investor cohorts and property types

Investor cohorts and property types

There are important differences between the various foreign investor and property categories within the global real estate sector. Individual foreign investors are different from institutional investors. A new residential apartment in a middle-class suburb in Sydney is different from an 'ultra-expensive condominium' in New York's recently rebranded 'Billionaire's Row' (Madden & Marcuse, [2016](#), p. 39). These properties are different again from a large cattle station or other large agricultural properties that are purchased by foreign commercial entities. The different investor groups and property types are not always fully teased out in the academic scholarship and they are regularly conflated in the public debate.

Although contested, there are broadly four meta-individual foreign investor cohorts that are beginning to frame the renewed focus on foreign real estate investment (Koh, Wissink, & Forrest, [2016](#)). These are largely conceptualised as a set of financial 'disposable asset' categories – to the exclusion of the first cohort listed below, which is class-based. The investor cohorts are: (1) the new middle-class (NMC); (2) high-net-worth individuals (HNWI); ultra-high-net-worth individuals (UHNWI); and ultra-ultra-high-net-worth individuals (UUHNWI). The NMC is a term that increasingly refers to the expanding middle-class in the BRICS countries. HNWI are often defined as people who hold disposable assets that exceed US\$1 million (Hay, [2013](#), p. 4). UHNWI are defined as individuals with asset holdings in excess of US\$30 million (Hay, [2013](#), p. 4). According to one professional super-rich wealth manager, UUHNWI are defined as people who hold an 'absolute bare minimum' of US\$50 million in disposable assets that



and age and gender (Knowles, [2016](#)), to name a few. Contemporary global real estate practices unthine any class, capital or culturally essentialist assumptions we might use to frame these categories, because the global real estate industries, which are central to moving human and financial capital around the world, are increasingly blurring the cultural boundary between the 'West' and the 'East' (Rogers, [2016a](#), p. 134). Thus, we get both the separating out and the bringing together of different class, cultural and wealth groups within the literature and public debate about foreign real estate investment (also see: Forrest, Koh, & Wissink, [in press-a](#)).

For example, the rise of Chinese foreign real estate investment has made the cultural demarcations of this particular investor cohort more prevalent. These types of culturally mediated analyses show that individuals from the Asia-Pacific region have become the greatest contributors to the HNWI and UHNWI cohorts (Hay, [2013](#), p. 5). This follows on from mid-1980s scholarship by Goldberg ([1985](#)) and others which argued that Asian investments into Pacific Rim countries favoured real estate as an investment strategy. In 2012, Wealth-X ([2012](#)) estimated that there were 11,730 UHNWI real estate investors in Asia with at least 13% of their net worth held in real estate. Brooke Harrington ([2016](#), p. 11) argues, 'as world wealth has grown to record levels ... to an estimated US\$241 trillion - inequality has also grown, with 0.7 percent of the global population owning 41 percent of the assets'. Remarkably, UHNWI real investors from the six markets of China, Hong Kong, India, Indonesia, Malaysia and Singapore constitute 87% of the population of UHNWI real estate investors in Asia, and hold 91% of the net worth that is held in real estate in Asia (calculated from Wealth-X, [2012](#), p. 7). More recently, a survey of UHNWI investments in 2015 (Knight Frank, [2015](#)) shows that the global average in

property allocations in Australia. The perspective they can extend from the intersection of family and Robertson & Rogers' cultural dimensions. It is clear that global migrant-ness exposes a range of investment via a suite of



Wong (2016) shows how foreign investors are motivated by the opportunities that exist in Australia, particular as they relate to their own migration plans, their children's education and the financial security that Australian real estate supposedly guarantees.

Equally, China's state-led housing cooling measures that apply to local real estate markets in Chinese cities are suggested to be motivating Mainland Chinese investors and developers to seek out foreign investment opportunities for their surplus capital.

Within this broader shift in the global landscape of property investments, Wong argues that the knowledge-based economy of Sydney has attracted large numbers of Mainland Chinese skilled migrants, who prefer to live in the central business district where Chinatown is located.

Kim (2016) finds an explicit spatial pattern to foreign real estate investments in different neighbourhoods in Seoul, Korea. Kim's geospatial analysis of three neighbourhoods covers: (1) Yeonhee dong, (2) Yongsan and (3) Gangnam. Yeonhee dong attracts the Hwagyo (non-Korean Asians), who include the Taiwanese and Mainland Chinese diaspora who have been living in Korea for more than a century. Yongsan, north of the Han River, attracts a more diverse composition of foreign investor-buyers and residents. The famous Gangnam district, south of the Han River, attracts Korean emigrants and the returning diaspora community. Kim argues that a working knowledge of the local social, cultural, economic and political landscape of each neighbourhood - either acquired through previous residency or accessible through shared ethnicity - shapes foreign real estate investments in these specific localities. Therefore, the practices of the foreign real estate investors are shaped by more than financial motives. In this case, there are complex ethno-cultural dimensions that shape

foreign real estate investments in these specific localities. Therefore, the practices of the foreign real estate investors are shaped by more than financial motives. In this case, there are complex ethno-cultural dimensions that shape

Focusing on the local context of Seoul, Kim's (2016) analysis of real estate investments in Mainland China contributes to the local investor



affordability, the local politics of land rent extraction and the loss of cultural built heritage in Chinese cities. Kan's (2016) article traces the ebbs and flows of a 'glocal' economy of foreign real estate investment in a 'zone of exception' that has been created as a result of the intertwining of the social, economic and political histories of Hong Kong and Mainland China (also see: Shen, 2003). Notwithstanding the particularities of the Hong Kong–Mainland China case, Kan's work highlights more broadly how 'foreignness' is a contested term within the globalisation of real estate practices.

Beyond this special issue, Atkinson (2016, p. 1309–1310) identifies an additional three types of HNWI and UHNWI investors in terms of their global mobility, which are also useful concepts. The first are the globally mobile 'free-floating' investors who travel around the world, stopping off in various global cities. This group is suggested to have little allegiance to place. The second are those that move between multiple residences, and have place attachments to the cities or neighbourhood that their properties are located in. The third group live in, or send their spouse and/or children to live in the house they have purchased, and, therefore, have an allegiance to place. These groups have very different socio-spatial experiences in the city, they will interact with local infrastructure in different ways (if at all), and will, thus, impact and engage with the city in different ways (see also: Forrest, Koh, & Wissink, [in press-b](#)). In London, for example, neighbourhoods with high concentrations of HNWI and UHNWI investors may appear to be (or may be) devoid of people, and local businesses can become unviable in these neighbourhoods (Webber & Burrows, 2016), to say nothing about the broader questions relating to neighbourhood life.

Therefore, the arrival of new people and capital intersecting with local capital into what might be considered as 'new' landscapes of difference, might be further reported on. However, investors, including those with



across different cities (see Andy Yan's scholarship covering Vancouver for some innovative methodologies).

There is also the question of access to the different investor cohorts by researchers. Looking at the wealth management profession, Harrington (2016, p. 20) provides an insight on how wealth managers assess their UHNWI clients' needs, which goes far beyond wealth management and tax avoidance. The way they diversify their client's wealth globally 'depends on the client's country of origin, he or she may also need help protecting the family fortune from corrupt government officials, kidnappers, or frivolous lawsuits. Other clients may wish simply to avoid paying their debts', writes Harrington (2016, p. 20). Many HNWI, UHNWI and UHNWI go to great lengths to protect their privacy and to isolate themselves from public scrutiny. Recent studies have shown that gaining access to the economic, social and political spaces of the HNWI, UHNWI and UHNWI can be a difficult task, with each group presenting different challenges (see the following authors for methodologies suited to HNWI, UHNWI and UHNWI studies: Atkinson, 2016, p. 1309; Harrington, 2016, p. 22; Webber & Burrows, 2016).

Regulatory settings

The relationship between the global real estate industry and the nation-state is a strange one (Rogers, 2016a, 2016b). Much like the wealth management industry, it 'is a state-building force in some respects and a state-destroying force in others' (Harrington, 2016, p. 21-22). In one sense, many of the professions and professionals

that are agents, repeatedly (2016a). In another cross nation- state bo es and laws the would be holes for rington, 2016, p.


At the na cy is often (2015)

that foreign real estate investment will be good for the local economy and could have secondary benefits, such as jobs growth through targeted skill migration and business development. At times of hardship, the second narrative is one of 'economic necessity', which suggests that the country will be at an economic disadvantage if they refuse the global capital.

In this special issue, Ley's (2015) analysis of Canada's Business Immigration Programme (BIP), and its role in the globalisation of Vancouver's local housing market, shows that the Canadian government attempted to 'reboot a troubled regional economy' (p. 1) by inviting Asian capitalists to transfer their entrepreneurial skills to Canada. This study is located within an emerging suite of work that focuses on the way HNWI and UHNWI foreigners acquire citizenship-like status through a real estate purchase or transnational business strategy (Sumption & Hooper, 2014).

As is the case in many global cities, Ley (2015, p. 1) argues that in Vancouver, '[h]ouse prices have risen rapidly and the detached housing market is now unaffordable to most Vancouver residents'. There are increasing calls from a range of actors for government intervention in the area of housing affordability, and this makes the politics of these regulatory systems an important site for further research. Ley's (2015) analyses also contribute to recent work on the global real estate industry, which appears in this special issue (Wong, 2016) and other places (Rogers, in press). He shows that a sophisticated trans-Pacific real estate industry developed to facilitate the flow of capital from Asia to Canada. In this industry, off-the-plan property sales and the offshore marketing of Vancouver property in the Asia Pacific were used to close sales with 'wealthy

The effective investors are important that Hong Kong was [d] buying in which embodied contributed to the s, 2016a), the 'global



Introduction
Thus, how the home is conceptualised is important for analyses of global real estate. Exploring the home as a repository for capital in relation to the differences between the Investor cohorts and property types investor cohorts is an important empirical task moving forward. David Madden and Regulatory settings (2016, p. 4) put it this way, 'there is a conflict between housing as lived, Geopolitics social space, and housing as an instrument for profitmaking – a conflict between housing as home and as real estate.' Increasingly, the home is viewed as repository for Spatial differences and temporal trajectories placing, storing and building capital (Rogers, 2016b), and this view of the home as real estate stands in stark contrast to other notions of home as a lived space (Rogers, 2013; Acknowledgements Smith, 2008). The motivations of the NMC from Asia to invest in countries like Canada Disclosure statement and Australia are being shaped by a suite of intergenerational migration and education plans that involve the whole family (Robertson, 2013; Robertson & Ho, 2016; Robertson & Rogers, in press). These could prove to be very different to the actions of UHNWI and UHNWI investors (Harrington, 2016; Knowles, 2016; Webber & Burrows, 2016), and ultimately, they may require different regulatory responses. References

Fernandez, Hofman, and Aalbers (in press) recently analysed the global real estate activity of UHNWI and UHNWI investors in London and New York. They developed the idea of a 'safety deposit box' as a way of talking about how UHNWI and UHNWI investors are seeking ultra-expensive global city real estate assets within which to store their wealth. It might no longer be appropriate to understand ultra-expensive global city real estate in locations such as New York as a repository for capital that investors may or may not live in or rent out while they are chasing a capital gain. Ultra-expensive global city real estate, at least in the case of UHNWI and UHNWI investors, might increasingly be used as a part of a wealth management and diversification strategy. In

other words, it might be a way of holding assets that can be brought back into the investment portfolio, which, in turn, might be used to 'create value' (Madden, 2016). This concept is explored in more detail in (Madden, 2016; 2014; Rogers & Darcy, 2016) and is also discussed in the future work for the



Geopolitical scholarship is working its way into analysis of foreign real estate investment (Rogers, [2016a](#); Rogers & Dufty-Jones, [2015](#), p. 223), and this special issue adds two contributions to this field. Büdenbender and Golubchikov ([2016](#)) show how Russian foreign investment has emerged as a soft geopolitical strategy within European international relations. They show how the Russian state uses foreign investment as an explicit strategy of state power in- and ex-territory. In other words, local real estate becomes a technology through which the nation-state performs a modern form of statecraft in both the national and global arenas. Foreign real estate investment becomes a technology of the state in the Russian case in three ways. First, the export of an institutionalised housing finance system enhances state influence over foreign territories through their respective real estate markets. Second, foreign real estate investments, by the state and private actors, act as a conduit to the foreign outposts, which is used to exercise a form of extraterritorial power. Third, major real estate projects – especially mega projects – project an image of the state beyond its own territory. Pushing beyond common understandings of residential real estate as a repository for financial capital, this article highlights how property and real estate construction and consumption can be converted into political capital by the nation-state.

Kan ([2016](#)) also mobilises an analysis that moves from nation-state concerns down to the everyday lived experiences of people who are operating within the geopolitical structures that frame foreign real estate investment. The shifting geopolitical and cultural dynamics that exist between Hong Kong and Mainland China – as we outlined earlier – call into question the very notion of ‘foreign’ within foreign real estate investment.



Spatial

The a
historical
genealogy
the glob
concept


static terms,

and
temporal
analysis of
and
super-rich in

New York (Fernandez et al., [in press](#)), the tycoon city metaphor in Hong Kong (Wissink, Koh, & Forrest, [in press](#)) or the globally focused real estate/financial complex (see work by Manuel Aalbers et al.). Looking across the articles in this special issue reminds us that it is a mistake to assume that foreign investment in Sydney or Hong Kong might manifest along similar cultural, social, material and political lines as foreign investment in London or Seoul.

The importance of considering the conceptual tools in relation to the specific context that is under investigation is clear from this collection of articles. In other words, when we borrow various conceptual tools that are developed for one context, it is important to critically reassess the epistemological and/or methodological utility before they are applied to another context.

One article from this special issue highlights this point well. Pow ([2016](#)) deploys the term 'spatial fix' to analyse the local super-rich housing market in Singapore at two scales of analysis. Pow ([2016](#), p. 2) writes, it 'is worth noting that the term 'spatial fix' is often associated with the Marxist geographer David Harvey'; but in his article, Pow 'extends the notion of spatial fix by examining the dialectical tensions and contradictions associated with the spatial fixities of the super-rich and the Singapore state through investments in the secondary circuit of capital via prime real estate properties' (p. 3). At the local/national scale, Pow shows how the Singaporean state's spatial fix is made manifest in its deliberate attempt to attract HNWI and UHNWI to live and invest in the city-state. At the national/global scale, the spatial fix of HNWI and UHNWI investors is visible in their willingness to park their capital in the 'safe haven' of



Singapore state', w... property
and (re)g... by to capture
and local... on-states
to attrac... the city-state
rising 's... (p. 15) and
privat... luxury and
Singapore... nes for local
instructi... n case is
real esta... n the global
The artic... ing beyond



changing dynamics of global real estate investment more generally. More comparative work, which investigates the similarities and differences between the commonly reported cities of London, New York, Vancouver and Sydney, is needed. The experience of second and third tier cities, like Melbourne or Manchester, or the many cities in the global South and Asia, has been little explored and may prove to have very different cultural, social, material and political dynamics than the first tier global cities.


Whatever analytical tools and categories are deployed within these studies, it might be useful for comparative analyses to draw on scalar notions of space to frame the analysis. This could include country-to-country, city-to-city, neighbourhood-to-neighbourhood or street-to-street comparisons.

It might also be useful to move beyond these rigid notions of scale, to explore the emerging global sphere or assemblage of real estate practices and activity. Recent work has used assemblage theories to explore the ways in which global real estate and other professionals are acting beyond the governance structures of nation-states, by networking complex real estate, financial, education and immigration information together in ways that might be used to circumvent the rules of different nation-states (Robertson & Rogers, [in press](#); Rogers, [2016a](#)).

Furthermore, Atkinson's ([2016](#)) work in London is interested in the way HNWI and UHNWI investors engage with the material spaces of the city. He shows how these investors create spaces of isolation, anonymity, exclusion and retreat, through which the super-rich develop and maintain elaborate strategies to circumvent their entanglement with other social groups (p. 1303). There is perhaps, therefore, some

methodological concepts... López-M...

Finally, t... focusing... industr... (Harr... provides... ownership... 'original... estates...



between global wealth accumulation, global land claiming and global real estate practices (Rogers, [2016a](#)). We hope this special issue prompts new spatial and temporal conceptual interventions within the scholarship of global real estate.

Regulatory settings

Geopolitics

Acknowledgments

Spatial differences and temporal trajectories

We would like to thank the contributors to this special issue for their excellent articles.

Dallas Rogers received funding from Western Sydney University [grant number IG] to complete this special issue. Sin Yee Koh's postdoctoral fellowship (Feb 2014–May 2015)

was supported by a grant from the ESRC/RGC Joint Research Scheme sponsored by the Research Grants Council of Hong Kong and the Economic and Social Research Council [grant number ES/K010263/1].

Disclosure statement

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the authors.

Related Research Data

The p

Sour

Geog

Sour

Re

c

Sour


Limit

spac

Sour



Introduction	Housing pathways, aspirations and preferences of young adults within increasing urban density
Investor cohorts and property types	Source: Informa UK Limited
Regulatory settings	A regional growth ecology, a great wall of capital and a metropolitan housing market:
Geopolitics	Source: SAGE Publications
Spatial differences and temporal trajectories	Living the Way the World Does: Global Indians in the Remaking of Kolkata
Acknowledgements	Source: Informa UK Limited
Disclosure statement	Financialization and the Role of Real Estate in Hong Kong's Regime of Accumulation
References	Source: Wiley From Third To Fifth-Wave Gentrification Source: Wiley The Politics of Foreign Investment in Australian Housing: Chinese Investors, Translocal Sales Agents and Local Resistance Source: Informa UK Limited Wealth Managers and the One Percent Source: Harvard University Press Economic wealth and political power in the second Gilded Age Source: Edward Elgar Publishing Transnational Student-Migrants and the State Source: Palgrave Macmillan UK Transnational real estate in Australia : new Chinese diaspora, media representation and the state Source: Palgrave Macmillan UK The case of Hong Kong Source: Palgrave Macmillan UK Ownership and the City Source: Palgrave Macmillan UK Critical Urban Theory Source: Palgrave Macmillan UK



Airbnb, buy-to-let investment and tourism-driven displacement: A case study

in Lisbon:

Source: SAGE Publications

Multiple property ownership in times of late homeownership: a new conceptual vocabulary

Source: Informa UK Limited

Editorial

Source: Wiley

Explaining the declined affordability of housing for low-income private renters across Western Europe.

Source: SAGE Publications

Inhabitation, place-making and the right to the city: public housing redevelopment in Sydney

Source: Informa UK Limited

The real estate risk fix: Residential insurance-linked securitization in the Florida metropolis:

Source: SAGE Publications

Establishing geographies of the super-rich: axes for analysis of abundance

Source: Edward Elgar Publishing

Inside the world of middle-class Hong Kong transnational property investors: '5980 miles to my second home'

Source: Informa UK Limited

Global city aspirations, graduated citizenship and public housing : analysing the case of Singapore

Source:

Singapore

Source:

Second

the t

S

The

Source:

Buyin

Source:

Cont



Introduction

Source: eScholarship, University of California

Investor cohorts and property types

Courting the 'rich and restless': globalisation of real estate and the new spatial fixities of the super-rich in Singapore

Regulatory settings

Source: Informa UK Limited

Geopolitics

Ethnic connections, foreign housing investment and locality: a case study of Seoul

Spatial differences and temporal trajectories

Source: Informa UK Limited

Acknowledgements

The Poetics of Cartography and Habitation: Home as a Repository of Memories

Disclosure statement

Source: Informa UK Limited

Life in an Alpha Territory: Discontinuity and conflict in an elite London 'village'

References

Source: Sage

The real estate foothold in the Holy Land: Transnational gentrification in Jerusalem:

Source: SAGE Publications

Housing affordability: a framing, synthesis of research and policy, and future directions

Source: Informa UK Limited

Global China and the making of Vancouver's residential property market

Source: Informa UK Limited

Temporalities, materialities and connecting locales: migration and mobility in Asia-Pacific cities

Source: Informa UK Limited

What Motivates Speculators to Speculate

Source:

Beco

Data

Source

Sele

South

S

What

tour

Source

Glob

con



References

1. Atkinson, R. (2016). Limited exposure: Social concealment, mobility and engagement with public space by the super-rich in London. *Environment and Planning A*, **48**(7), 1302–1317. doi:10.1177/0308518x15598323

 Google Scholar

2. Bose, P.S. (2014). Living the way the world does: Global Indians in the remaking of Kolkata. *Annals of the Association of American Geographers*, **104**(2), 391–400. doi:10.1080/00045608.2013.858571

 Google Scholar

3. Büdenbender, M., & Golubchikov, O. (2016). The geopolitics of real estate: assembling soft power via property markets. *International Journal of Housing Policy*, **17**(1), 75–96. doi:10.1080/14616718.2016.1248646

 Google Scholar

4. Darcy, M., & Rogers, D. (2014). Inhabitation, place-making and the right to the city: Public housing redevelopment in Sydney. *International Journal of Housing Policy*, **14**(3), 236–256. doi:10.1080/14616718.2014.934157

5. Fernand...rk as a safe
depos... A, 48(12),
2443–



6. Fields...nity
orgain... New York City.
Journa

7. Forrest, R., Koh, S.Y., & Wissink, B. (in press-a). In search of the super-rich: Who are they? Where are they? in R. Forrest, S.Y. Koh, & B. Wissink (Eds.), Cities and the super-rich: Real estate, elite practices, and urban political economy (pp. 1-18). London: Palgrave Macmillan.

[Google Scholar](#)

8. Forrest, R., Koh, S.Y., & Wissink, B. (Eds.). (in press-b). Cities and the super-rich: Real estate, elite practices, and urban political economy. London: Palgrave Macmillan.

[Google Scholar](#)

9. Goldberg, M.A. (1985). The Chinese connection: Getting plugged in to Pacific Rim real estate, trade, and capital markets. Vancouver: University of British Columbia Press.

[Google Scholar](#)

10. Haila, A. (2015). Urban land rent: Singapore as a property state. London: Wiley-Blackwell.

[Google Scholar](#)

11. Harrington, B. (2016). Capital without borders: Wealth managers and the one percent. London: Harvard University Press.

[Google Scholar](#)

12. Hay, I. (2013). Establishing geographies of the super-rich: Axes for analysis of abundance. In Edward Soja & A. L. M. Ittenham: (Eds.), *Geographies of the super-rich* (pp. 1-18). London: Routledge.

13. Kan, K. (2013). The super-rich in China: A case study. *Urban Studies*, 50(1), 35-55.

14. Kim, H. (2013). The super-rich in Seoul: A case study. *Urban Studies*, 50(1), 57-71.

Investor cohorts and property types

5. Knight Frank. (2015). The wealth report 2015: The global perspective on prime property and wealth. London: Knight Frank Research.

Regulatory settings

[Google Scholar](#)

Spatial differences and temporal trajectories

6. Knowles, C. (2016). Young Chinese migrants in London. Retrieved from

Acknowledgements


http://www.gold.ac.uk/media/documents-by-section/departments/sociology/Young_Chinese_Migrants_in_London.compressed.pdf

Disclosure Statement

[Google Scholar](#)

References

7. Koh, S.Y., Wissink, B., & Forrest, R. (2016). Reconsidering the super-rich: Variations, structural conditions and urban consequences. In I. Hay & J. Beaverstock (Eds.), Handbook on wealth and the super-rich (pp. 18-40). Cheltenham: Edward Elgar Publishing.



[Google Scholar](#)

8. Lees, L., Shin, H.B., & López-Morales, E. (2016). Planetary gentrification. Cambridge, MA: Polity Press.

[Google Scholar](#)

9. Ley, D. (2015). Global China and the making of Vancouver's residential property market. *International Journal of Housing Policy*, 17(1), 15-34.

doi:10



10. Madde

[Goog](#)



11. Mc

econo

doi:10

2. Pow, C.P. (2016). Courting the 'rich and restless': Globalisation of real estate and the new spatial fixities of the super-rich in Singapore. *International Journal of Housing Policy*, 17(1), 56-74. doi:10.1080/14616718.2016.1215964

Regulatory settings [Google Scholar](#)

Geopolitics

23. Robertson, S. (2013). *Transnational student-migrants and the state: The education-migration nexus*. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan.

Acknowledgements [Google Scholar](#)

Disclosure statement

24. Robertson, S., & Ho, E.L.-E. (2016). Temporalities, materialities and connecting locales: Migration and mobility in Asia-Pacific cities. *Journal of Ethnic and Migration Studies*, 42(14), 2263-2271. doi:10.1080/1369183X.2016.1205804

[Google Scholar](#)

25. Robertson, S., & Rogers, D. (in press). Education, real estate, immigration: Brokerage assemblages and Asian mobilities. *Journal of Ethnic and Migration Studies*.

[Google Scholar](#)

26. Rogers, D. (2013). The poetics of cartography and habitation: Home as a repository of memories. *Housing, Theory and Society*, 30(3), 262-280. doi:10.1080/14036096.2013.797019

[Google Scholar](#)

27. Rogers, D. (2013). The poetics of cartography and habitation: Home as a repository of memories. *Housing, Theory and Society*, 30(3), 262-280. doi:10.1080/14036096.2013.797019

[Google Scholar](#)

28. Rogers, D. (2013). The poetics of cartography and habitation: Home as a repository of memories. *Housing, Theory and Society*, 30(3), 262-280. doi:10.1080/14036096.2013.797019

[Google Scholar](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

29. Rogers, D. (2013). The poetics of cartography and habitation: Home as a repository of memories. *Housing, Theory and Society*, 30(3), 262-280. doi:10.1080/14036096.2013.797019

In this article



(pp. 85–104). London: Paigrave Macmilian.

Investor cohorts and property types

[Google Scholar](#)

Regulatory settings

30. Rogers, D., & Darcy, M. (2014). Global city aspirations, graduated citizenship and

public housing: Analysing the consumer citizenships of neoliberalism. *Urban, Spatial differences and temporal trajectories*

Planning and Transport Research, 2(1), 72–88. doi:10.1080/21650020.2014.906906

Acknowledgements [Google Scholar](#)

Disclosure statement

31. Rogers, D., & Dufty-jones, R. (2015). 21st Century Australian housing: New frontiers

in the Asia-Pacific. In R. Dufty-jones & D. Rogers (Eds.), *Housing in twenty-first century Australia: People, practices and policies* (pp. 221–236). Aldershot: Ashgate.

[Google Scholar](#)

32. Rogers, D., Lee, C.L., & Yan, D. (2015). The politics of foreign investment in Australian

housing: Chinese investors, translocal sales agents and local resistance. *Housing*

Studies, 30(5), 730–748. doi:10.1080/02673037.2015.1006185

[Google Scholar](#)

33. Shen, J. (2003). Cross-border connection between Hong Kong and Mainland China

under 'Two Systems' before and beyond 1997. *Geografiska Annaler. Series B, Human*

Geography, 85(1), 1–17. doi:10.2307/3554377

[Google Scholar](#)

34. Short, J. (2003). The New Urban Order. In J. Short & J. Gold (Eds.), *The New Urban Order*. In I.

Hay (Ed.), *The New Urban Order*. London: Edward Elgar

Publis

35. Smeyers, P. (2003). The New Urban Order. In J. Short & J. Gold (Eds.), *The New Urban Order*. In I.

Hay (Ed.), *The New Urban Order*. London: Edward Elgar

8287.10.1080/00141801.2003.10555555



6. Smith, S.J. (2008). Owner-occupation: At home with a hybrid of money and materials.

Environment and Planning A, 40(3), 520-535.

Investor cohorts and property types

Google Scholar

Regulatory settings

7. Sumption, M., & Hooper, K. (2014). Selling visas and citizenship: Policy questions

Geopolitics

from the global boom in investor immigration. Washington, DC: Migration Policy

Spatial differences and temporal trajectories

Institute.

Acknowledgements

Google Scholar

Disclosure statement

8. Wealth-X. (2012). Real estate and the Asian UHNW investor. Retrieved from

References

[http://www.wealthx.com/wp-content/uploads/2013/01/Real-Estate-And-The-Asian-](http://www.wealthx.com/wp-content/uploads/2013/01/Real-Estate-And-The-Asian-UHNW-Investor.pdf)

[UHNW-Investor.pdf](http://www.wealthx.com/wp-content/uploads/2013/01/Real-Estate-And-The-Asian-UHNW-Investor.pdf)

Google Scholar

9. Webber, R., & Burrows, R. (2016). Life in an alpha territory: Discontinuity and conflict in an elite London 'village'. Urban Studies, 53(15), 3139-3154.

doi:10.1177/0042098015612983

Google Scholar

10. Wissink, B., Koh, S.Y., & Forrest, R. (in press). Tycoon city: Political economy, real estate and the super-rich in Hong Kong. In R. Forrest, S.Y. Koh, & B. Wissink (Eds.), Cities and the super-rich: Real estate, elite practices, and urban political economy (pp. 229-252). London: Palgrave Macmillan.

Goog



11. Wong, ...pora, media
repres ...ional Journal
of Hou



Downloa

Relate

In this article



Introduction **People also read** Recommended articles Cited by 93

Investor cohorts and property types in Australian Housing: Chinese Investors, Translocal Sales Agents and

Local Resistance >
Regulatory settings

Geopolitics Rogers et al.
Housing Studies
Published online: 1 Apr 2015
Spatial differences and temporal trajectories

Acknowledgements
Foreign direct investment in the UK real estate market >

Disclosure statement

References

Joanna Poon
Pacific Rim Property Research Journal
Published online: 6 Sep 2017

Platform Real Estate: theory and practice of new urban real estate markets >

Joe Shaw
Urban Geography
Published online: 17 Oct 2018

[View more](#)



Modal dialog box with a close button (X) in the top right corner.

[Information for](#)

[Open access](#)

[Authors](#)

[Overview](#)

[R&D professionals](#)

[Open journals](#)

[Editors](#)

[Open Select](#)

[Librarians](#)

[Dove Medical Press](#)

[Societies](#)

[F1000Research](#)

[Opportunities](#)

[Help and information](#)

[Reprints and e-prints](#)

[Help and contact](#)

[Advertising solutions](#)

[Newsroom](#)

[Accelerated publication](#)

[All journals](#)

[Corporate access solutions](#)

[Books](#)

Keep up to date

Register to receive personalised research and resources by email

 [Sign me up](#)

