



Digital Journalism >

Volume 6, 2018 - [Issue 2: Trust, Credibility, Fake News](#)

96,735 | 1,356 | 350  
Views | CrossRef citations to date | Altmetric

Original Articles

# Defining “Fake News”

A typology of scholarly definitions

Edson C. Tandoc Jr. , Zheng Wei Lim & Richard Ling

Pages 137-153 | Published online: 30 Aug 2017

Cite this article <https://doi.org/10.1080/21670811.2017.1360143>

Check for updates

Sample our  
Arts  
Journals  
>> [Sign in here](#) to start your access  
to the latest two volumes for 14 days

Full Article

Figures & data

References

Citations

Metrics

Reprints & Permissions

Read this article

Share

## Abstract

This paper is based on a review of how previous studies have defined and operationalized the term “fake news.” An examination of 34 academic articles that used the term “fake news” between 2003 and 2017 resulted in a typology of types of fake news: news satire, news parody, fabrication, manipulation, advertising, and propaganda. These definitions are based on two dimensions: levels of facticity and deception. Such a typology is offered to clarify what we mean by fake news and to guide future studies.

## KEYWORDS:

[facts](#) [fake news](#) [false news](#) [misinformation](#) [news](#) [parody](#) [satire](#)

People also read

Recommended articles

Cited by  
1355

## Information for

Authors

R&D professionals

Editors

Librarians

Societies

## Opportunities

Reprints and e-prints

Advertising solutions

Accelerated publication

Corporate access solutions

## Open access

Overview

Open journals

Open Select

Dove Medical Press

F1000Research

## Help and information

Help and contact

Newsroom

All journals

Books

## Keep up to date

Register to receive personalised research and resources  
by email

 Sign me up

  

  